Environmental Report



Staunton on Wye & District Group Neighbourhood Area

January 2016



Contents

Non-technical summary

1.0	Introduction	2
2.0	Methodology	4
3.0	The SEA Framework	5
4.0	Appraisal of Objectives	11
5.0	Appraisal of Options	11
6.0	Appraisal of Policies	11
7.0	Implementation and monitoring	13
Appen	dix 1: Initial SEA Screening Report dix 2: SEA Scoping Report incorporating Tasks A1, A2, A3 and A4 dix 3: Responses to Scoping Report consultation	

Appendix 3a: Responses to Draft Environmental Report consultation Appendix 4: SEA Stage B incorporating Tasks B1, B2, B3 and B4 Appendix 4a: SEA Stage B incorporating amended Tasks B2 and B3

Appendix 5: Environmental Report checklist

Appendix 6: Feedback on Draft Environmental Report consultation

Appendix 7: Table of Examiner's recommended modifications

Appendix 8: Task D3 – Assessment following examination modifications

Non-technical summary

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) is an important part of the evidence base which underpins Neighbourhood Development Plans (NDP), as it is a systematic decision support process, aiming to ensure that environmental assets, including those whose importance transcends local, regional and national interests, are considered effectively in plan making.

The Staunton on Wye parish has undertaken to prepare an NDP and this process has been subject to environmental appraisal pursuant to the SEA Directive. Staunton-on-Wye is a rural parish with a relatively small population (under 500) and includes the hamlets of Letton, Brobury and Monington-on-Wye. Within Staunton village there are numerous listed buildings and the village itself is a Conservation area. Surrounding Staunton-on-Wye is high quality arable land and a wealth of natural features.

The Staunton on Wye NDP includes 6 objectives and it is intended that these will be delivered by 17 criteria based planning policies; no site allocations are proposed here.

The environmental appraisal of the Staunton on Wye NDP has been undertaken in line with the Environmental Assessment of Plan and Programmes Regulations 2004. Stage A of the SEA process involved Scoping and Stage B provided a review and analysis of the NDP. Stage C involved preparing a Draft Environmental Report and Stage D comprised a formal consultation on both this and the Draft Plan itself and an update following the receipt of the modifications within the Examiners Report.

The NDP was then refined by the NDP steering group to reflect feedback from consultation on the Draft Plan and Draft Environmental and Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) Reports, as well as the proposed Main Modifications to the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy). None of these refinements materially affected the outcomes of Stage B of the SEA process, as they did not involve the introduction of new policies or change the overall aims and objectives of the existing planning policies, despite the addition of new criteria in certain places. In general, the refinements related to the composition of certain sentences and phrases, minor points of accuracy in relation to technical information and references to evidence base studies and other documentation. The recommendations of statutory consultees have been incorporated where necessary, too.

The Draft NDP has been through a successful Examination with minor modifications recommended by the Examiner, these modifications have been accepted by Herefordshire Council and have now also been assessed as part of the SEA, the modifications do not change the overall conclusion of the SEA.

On that basis, the conclusion set out in the Draft Environmental Report remains valid. That is, the Staunton on Wye NDP is in general conformity with both national planning policy contained in the National Planning Policy Framework and strategic policies set within the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy). Nor does it propose any growth that would be over and above that prescribed by strategic policies. Therefore no changes to the NDP are recommended as a result of the SEA.

HRA screening has been carried out as the parish falls within the catchment for the River Wye, which is a European site (Special Area of Conservation). The HRA assesses the potential effects of the NDP on the River Wye SAC.

Once made (adopted) by Herefordshire Council, the effects of the policies within the Staunton on Wye NPD will be monitored annually via the Council's Annual Monitoring Report (AMR).

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This report forms the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) of the Staunton on Wye Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP).
- 1.2 Whilst the Staunton on Wye NDP does not propose any site allocations, it will provide general policies for guiding future development across the parish as a whole up to 2031.

Purpose of the SEA

- 1.3 SEA is a requirement of EC Directive 2001/42/EC (the SEA Directive) which requires the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment to ensure that the proposals in that plan or programme contribute to the achievement of sustainable development.
- 1.4 The Directive was transposed into domestic legislation through the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 and which applies to plans with significant environmental effects.
- 1.5. A screening opinion was carried out on the Staunton on Wye NDP and it concluded that due to the range of environmental designations in and around the parish, there may be significant environmental effects and consequently an SEA would be required.

Parish Neighbourhood Plan Context

- 1.6 Staunton-on-Wye is a rural parish with a relatively small population (under 500) and includes the hamlets of Letton, Brobury and Monington-on-Wye. Within Staunton village there are numerous listed buildings and the village itself is a Conservation area. Surrounding Staunton-on-Wye is high quality arable land and apple orchards.
- 1.7 Staunton-on-Wye Neighbourhood Plan covers 6 objectives covering five themes within the plan; these are divided into housing, business and employment, community facilities, design principles, The Old School and Staunton on Wye Conservation Area.
- 1.8 The Staunton on Wye NDP objectives are as follows:
 - 1. Objective 1 To secure proportionate open market and intermediate housing focused on Staunton on Wye village.
 - 2. Objective 2 To ensure that all development is sensitive to residential amenity and to the local environment.
 - 3. Objective 3 To protect and sustain social and rural service facilities and enable additional provision.
 - 4. Objective 4 To encourage and support local enterprise and the creation of job opportunities through appropriate economic development.
 - 5. Objective 5 To protect the existing open space provision and provide additional open space, recreational and sports facilities at appropriate locations.
 - 6. Objective 6 To involve local people on an ongoing basis in the process of plan making and delivery of development.

Context of Neighbourhood Plans

- 1.9 NDPs are a relatively new type of planning document that form a key part of the Government's localism agenda. They enable local communities to develop plans that reflect local aspirations, in accordance with strategic policies.
- 1.10 The Staunton on Wye NDP must therefore conform to national planning policy set within the NPPF and strategic level local policy including the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy).

- 1.11 The Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy) was adopted on 16 October 2015.
- 1.12 Table 4.14 of the Local Plan (Core Strategy) lists Staunton on Wye among the settlements which it considers to be sustainable locations for proportional levels of growth, while the settlement of Letton is listed in Table 4.15 as being among the settlements which are considered to be suitable for the provision of smaller market homes which meet the needs of people with local connections or affordable housing in line with the provisions of Policy RA2.
- 1.13 Once made (adopted) by Herefordshire Council, the Staunton on Wye NDP will have a role in guiding future development proposals within the Group Parish, by setting out setting out policies against which planning applications will be determined.

Structure of SEA

- 1.14 The structure of the document is as follows:
 - Section 2 Explains the SEA methodology and summarises the comments received in respect of the SEA Scoping Report
 - Section 3 Introduces the SEA framework
 - Section 4 Appraises the objectives set within the NDP against the SEA framework
 - Section 5 Appraises the options set within the NDP against the SEA framework
 - Section 6 Appraises the policies set within the NDP against the SEA framework
 - Section 7 Discusses the implementation and monitoring of the NDP

2.0 Methodology

- 2.1 The SEA process comprised several stages and which are summarised, in some detail, below.
- 2.2 Stage A involved 4 tasks and culminated in a Scoping Report:
 - *Task A1:* Identified and reviewed relevant policies, plans and programmes and environmental protection objectives from European, National and Local sources.
 - *Task A2:* Collected baseline information to provide a picture of past, present and likely future conditions within the area. This helped to establish indicators which will be used to monitor the effects and performance of the Staunton on Wye NDP.
 - *Task A3:* Focused on the environmental issues identified from the baseline, highlighting key issues and problems within the neighbourhood area.
 - *Task A4:* Used the information gathered from Tasks A1-A3 to develop a set of SEA objectives, sometimes referred to as the 'sustainability framework'.
 - *Task A5:* Collated the results of Tasks A1-A4 within a Scoping Report, a document which was subject to a statutory 5 week consultation.
- 2.3 Stage B involved 4 tasks and assessed the effects of the NDP.
 - Task B1: Tested NDP Objectives against the SEA Objectives
 - Task B2: Developed and refined the NDP options and policies.
 - Task B3/B4: Predicted and evaluated the significant effects of the NDP

2.4 Stage C involved preparing an Environmental Report. This report presents information compiled during Stage B and now following the Regulation 16 consultation and examination Stage D of the SEA process and constitutes the Environmental Appraisal of the NDP. It will accompany the final Plan through to formal of adoption by Herefordshire Council if the Plan is successful at Referendum.

Scoping Report Consultation

- 2.5 With regard to the SEA scoping assessments, documents A1 to A4 were completed by a Herefordshire Council Planning Officer and sent to the Parish Council for comment, in readiness for a 5 week consultation with statutory bodies, pursuant to the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004.
- 2.6 After the document was approved by the Parish Council, the Staunton on Wye SEA Scoping Report was available to four¹ statutory bodies for consultation from 20 June to 25 August 2014.

Consultation outcomes from Statutory Consultees

- 2.7 The consultation resulted in 2 responses, both of which are attached at Appendix 3.
- 2.8 Both responses were collated and incorporated within this document where relevant.

Natural England: Natural England commented on adding further plans, policies and programmes to the A1 document. For the A2 section of the scoping report NE suggested the inclusion of data on priority habitats. It was also recommended that data on soil and geodiversity be added to the baseline information, as well as information concerning landscape character and the Water Framework Directive. The necessity to undertake a full Habitat Regulations Assessment Screening was made clear given the area's proximity to the River Wye Special Area of Conservation (SAC).

Response: The documents referred to have been added to A1 and further baseline data has been incorporated where necessary.

English Heritage: Recommended minor amendments to A2 and A4.

Response: Changes to the wording of relevant indicators and targets have been incorporated into A2 and A4.

Environment Agency: No comments received.

Response: N/A

Natural Resources Wales: No comments received.

Response: N/A

Draft Environmental Report consultation

- 2.9 The Draft SEA was consulted on for a 6 week period between 7 November 2014 and 19 December 2014. The three statutory bodies listed above were consulted and comments received from one of these can be found in Appendix 3a, with a summary provided at Appendix 6.
- 2.10 The document was available on Herefordshire Council's website for comment during the period highlighted above, but representations were sent to the Parish Council. However, apart from the comments received from one statutory consultee, none of the representations were directed at content or structure of the Draft Environmental Report.

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council.

¹ Statutory consultation bodies: Natural England; English Heritage; Environment Agency Natural Resources Wales

Please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part

- 2.11 None of the respondents to the consultation disputed or requested an amendment to the SEA of the Staunton on Wye NDP and Natural England made clear that the Draft Environmental Report satisfies the requirements of the relevant legislation and regulations, and concur with its conclusions.
- 2.12 As such, there are no suggested changes to the Environmental Report prior to the NDPs adoption, subject to the outcome of the local authority consultation and subsequent independent examination.

Withdrawal and resubmission of the NDP

- 2.13 The Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy) underwent an Independent Examination at the same time the Initial Staunton on Wye Submission NDP was out to consultation. The EiP has resulted in proposed Main Modifications to the Local Plan (Core Strategy) and consequently the Submission NDP was withdrawn and modified, in order to ensure its conformity with the said proposed Main Modifications, and the housing policies of the Local Plan (Core Strategy) in particular.
- 2.14 The August 2015 version of the NDP incorporated changes to the level of housing proposed in the Group Parish up to 2031 and further details about where the growth would take place within Staunton on Wye, which is listed in the Local Plan (Core Strategy) at Table 4.14 as being a sustainable location for growth.
- 2.15 It is considered that none of these proposed amendments are likely to significantly affect the earlier conclusions given that they are relatively minor changes.

3.0 The SEA Framework

- 3.1 As mentioned previously, Stage A of the SEA identifies and reviews relevant policies, plans and programmes and environmental protection objectives from European, National and Local sources (refer to Table A1 in Appendix 2 for details of those documents that were reviewed in completing Stage A of SEA on the Staunton on Wye NDP).
- 3.2 The requirement to undertake this 'context review' is contained in Annexes 1(a) and (e) of the SEA Directive which states that an Environmental Report should include:

"...an outline of the contents, main objectives of the plan or programme and relationship with other relevant plans and programmes" and

"...the environmental protection objectives, established at international, Community or Member State level, which are relevant to the plan or programme and the way those objectives and any environmental considerations have been taken into account during its preparation"

Policy context

- 3.3 The Staunton on Wye NDP will deliver the Local Plan (Core Strategy) at parish level by adding locally specific detail to strategic policies. As a consequence, the Scoping Report for the NDP was based on the context review Herefordshire Council undertook for its Local Plan (Core Strategy).
- 3.4 The results of this assessment (context review) provide the source of the local baseline data and have been incorporated into the SEA framework. It should be noted that:
 - No list of policies, plans and programmes can ever be exhaustive and that Herefordshire Council has selected those considered to be of particular relevance to the planning system;
 - New or revised plans and policies can emerge during the SEA process

- 3.5 The following strategies and plans have been reviewed and, where appropriate, incorporated within the SA Framework objectives:
 - The EC Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations (2010) These regulations transpose the Habitats Directive in England, Wales and to a limited extent Scotland by ensuring that activities are carried out in accordance with the Habitats Directive, which is to protect biodiversity through the conservation of natural habitats and species of wild flora and fauna.
 - The EC Water Framework Directive (2000) Commits all EU member states to achieve good qualitative and quantitative status of all water courses by 2015
 - The Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981) The major legal instrument for wildlife protection in Britain, although other significant acts have been passed since. It has numerous parts and supplementary lists and schedules many of which have been amended since publication.
 - Biodiversity 2020: A strategy for England's wildlife and ecosystem services (2011) -Forms part of the UK's Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework by setting out England's contribution towards the UK's commitments under the United Nations Convention of Biological Diversity.
 - The Countryside and Right of Way Act (2000) Creates a statutory right of access on foot to certain types of open land, to modernise the public rights of way system, to strengthen nature conservation legislation, and to facilitate better management of AONBs
 - The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (2006) Designed to help achieve a rich and diverse natural environment and thriving rural communities through modernised and simplified arrangements for delivering Government policy.
 - *Revised EU Sustainable Development strategy* (2009) Sets out a single strategy on how the EU will more effectively meet its long-standing commitment to meet the challenges of sustainable development.
 - National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2012) Consolidates the suite of PPG/PPS into one succinct planning policy document.
 - *Planning Practice Guidance (2013)* Sets out the vision, objectives and policies for the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy), which will guide development across the county up to 2031.
 - Herefordshire Local Transport Plan 3 (LTP) 2013-2015 Sets out the Council's strategy for supporting economic growth, social inclusion and reducing the environmental impacts of transport, as well as the program of investment for the period April 2013 to April 2015.
 - Understanding Herefordshire Report (2014) Important to understand the place such as the local economy natural and built environment in which people live, learn and work as part of understanding their quality of life. Enable development for economy and housing to required levels and growth should be supported by sustainable transport measures.
 - *Malvern Hills AONB Management Plan 2009-2014 (2009)* Identifies the issues and challenges facing the special features of the area and contains 24 guiding principles and 46 strategic objectives which will help address them.

- Wye Valley AONB management Plan 2009-2014 (2009) The Management Plan is the prime document which sets out the vision for the area and the priorities for its management.
- *Herefordshire Economic Development Strategy 2011-2016* Aims to increase the economic wealth of Herefordshire by setting out proposals and to support business growth up to 2016.
- Herefordshire Employment Land Study (2012) Includes employment land assessments for the plan period 2011-2031. The study includes Quantitative and Qualitative assessments of employment land, assessment of market demand and need, as well as providing forecasts and recommendations for future employment need over the plan period.
- Herefordshire Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) (2009) The SHLAA aims to justify site allocations in plans by:
 - o Identifying sites which are capable of delivering housing development
 - o Assessing sites for their housing potential; and
 - Predicting when a site could be developed for housing.
- Herefordshire Local Housing Market Assessment (LHMA) (2013) Builds on an earlier Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) developed for Herefordshire and Shropshire. Its purpose is to inform the Local Plan's policies regarding housing need and demand (for market and affordable housing) within each of the 7 Housing Market Areas (HMAs) in Herefordshire between 2011 and 2031.
- Herefordshire Local Housing Requirements Study (2012) Technical assessment of the housing market and potential future local housing requirements which supports planning policy regarding the amount of growth, housing tenure and housing type needed within Herefordshire up to 2031.
- Herefordshire Rural Housing Background Report (2013) Provides the justification for the proportional housing growth targets outlined in the Core Strategy
- *Herefordshire Draft Gypsies and Travellers Assessment (2013)* Assesses the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers across Herefordshire.
- *Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan (2007)* Focuses conservation efforts on the areas within Herefordshire that will result in the greatest benefit for ecological networks, habitats and species.
- Building Biodiversity into the LDF (2009) Provides the Council's Local Plan (Core Strategy) with evidence in respect of biodiversity and geodiversity, identifying both opportunities and constraints across Herefordshire.
- *Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy (2010)* Develops a framework of natural and culturally important features and functions so that planning for a sustainable future is at the heart of planning within Herefordshire.
- *Renewable Energy Study (2010)* Assesses the energy demand within Herefordshire and the ability for the county to accommodate renewable and low carbon energy technologies.
- Herefordshire Playing Pitch Assessment (2012) Produces a strategic framework, audit and assessment and needs analysis of outdoor sports pitches and facilities for Herefordshire. The document arises as a result of a

recommendation in the Herefordshire and Worcestershire Sports Facilities Framework to develop local standards for playing fields and sports pitches throughout Herefordshire.

- Open Spaces Study (2006) The 2006 space audit and assessment of need is a snap shot of the quality, quantity and distribution of open space across Herefordshire.
- *Play Facilities Study (2012)* The Play Facilities Study 2012 updates the previous play facilities analysis under the Open Spaces Study 2006 and provides guidance and a framework for the development, delivery and continued sustainability of providing new and improved play facilities for children and young people in Herefordshire to 2031.
- Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) and Water Cycle Study (2009) The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) provides a summary of flood risk in Herefordshire to inform the location of future development. The Water Cycle Study examines how water resources and water supply infrastructure, wastewater treatment, water quality, sewerage and flood risk could constrain growth across Herefordshire.
- 3.6 Appendix 1 of the Staunton on Wye Scoping Report provides additional detail on the Plans, Policies and Programmes mentioned above and identifies the implications for the SEA and NDP.

SEA Objectives and baseline characteristics

3.7 The SEA objectives that were used at Stages A and B of the process are listed in the following table.

SEA C	SEA Objective			
1	To maintain or enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)			
2	To maintain or enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes			
3	To improve the quality of surroundings			
4	To conserve or where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage			
5	To improve air quality			
6	To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment			
7	To reduce contributions to climate change			
8	To reduce vulnerability to climate change			
9	To improve water quality			
10	To provide for sustainable sources of water supply			
11	To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk			
12	To conserve soil resources and quality			
13	To minimise the production of waste			
14	To improve the health of the population			
15	To reduce crime and nuisance			
16	To conserve natural and manmade resources			

- 3.8 The SEA objectives detailed above conform to the SEA Directive, and are derived from the Sustainability Appraisal undertaken for the Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy 2011-2031.
- 3.9 Baseline information gathered during Stage A of the SEA process provided details of the current environmental characteristics of the neighbourhood area and the status of its natural

assets and features (refer to Appendix 2). This information was analysed as part of Task B2 of SEA, which looked at the extent to which the emerging NDP policies will help or obstruct these characteristics.

- 3.10 Following the completion of Task B2 of SEA it was apparent that the largest environmental issue within the Group Parish has an impact on the County as a whole is water quality; the River Wye, though currently meeting all its conservation objectives, will require effective management, in order to ensure that the quality of water does not deteriorate to the point that nutrient targets are missed further downstream.
- 3.11 The NDP does not propose that sites be allocated for development and contains only criteriabased policies. On this basis, the delivery of the NDP should not exacerbate existing problems regarding water quality or have an unacceptable adverse impact.
- 3.12 Baseline characteristics within the SEA detail the current environmental status of characteristics in the neighbourhood plan area from different sources. The source of Baseline Information used in Table A2 are listed below.

SEA Objective	Baseline-Current status	Future baseline trends
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.2010/11: 27% of Herefordshire's SSSI land was in favourable condition. 04/08/14 – status of the SSSIs are: River Wye – unfavourable recovering. Monnington Scar – favourable. The existing status of the Wye SAC between Hay and the Lugg confluence is currently meeting its phosphate target and is meeting the conservation targets. The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data. 2010/11: 17 Habitat Action Plans and 14 Species Action Plans are currently in operation across Herefordshire. Staunton-on-Wye & District Group has: 2 SSSI, 7 SWS. The River Wye SAC borders Brobury and Monnington-on-Wye in the south of the Parish. There are no NNRs and SINCs within the parish.	% of SSSI land in favourable condition (Increase) % of SSSI land in unfavourable condition but recovering (Increase) % of SSSI land in unfavourable condition and declining (Decrease)
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning locally important buildings within Staunton-on- Wye at present. Brobury, Monnington-on-Wye and Letton do not have a conservation area	Successful completion of the Nutrient Management Plan will provide future targets
To improve quality of surroundings	In terms of Staunton-on-Wye itself, the study reveals that the play area is in poor condition and will require refurbishment. In addition there is currently no hectares of playing pitch area and no hectares of secured community access playing pitch area.	To wherever possible improve upon or otherwise maintain current status.
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage	Whilst there is no qualitative, locally specific data available at present, there is one scheduled monument in Staunton- on-Wye and District, with a further two SAMs on the border of the Parish, according to the latest version of the register.	To capitalise on opportunities to enhance the areas of value to nature and built conservation as much as possible.
To improve air quality	Between 2005 and 2010 Herefordshire's total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 7% and 8% respectively; while UK's total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 8% and 12% respectively within the same period. This suggests that air quality is improving.	No specific targets identified.

To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	% of Herefordshire residents who travel to work by: Car: 70.1%, Foot: 14.7:,Bicycle: 4.3%,Bus: 2%, Train: 0.8%,Motorbike: 0.8%,Taxi: 0.3%,Other: 7%.	To increase the take up of alternative modes to the private car.
To reduce contributions to climate change	Herefordshire latest figure of C02 emissions per capita- datesback to 2010: 1.61 million tonnes (mtCO ²)	To increase the take up of alternative modes to the private car.
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	Reduce the risk of flooding-There have been no approvals contrary to EA advice since reporting began in 2004.The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.	To contribute towards the national target. And to reduce the overall carbon emissions.
To improve water quality	Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality and ecological quality as required by the Water Framework Directive. Latest figure dates back to 2005: 84%.	To ensure that rivers meet their conservation objectives and do not fall below the required standard of quality.
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	Staunton Group parish falls into the Herefordshire Conjunctive Use Water Resource Zones (WRZs), one of 6 zones covering Herefordshire. 39% of demand is from non-household use.	To have no applications permitted contrary to EA advice.
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood defence grounds. The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.	To have no applications permitted contrary to EA advice.
To conserve soil resources and quality	Around the village of Staunton on Wye the soil classification is Grades 1 and 2. Around the remainder of the group parish it is mainly Grades 2 and 3. Percentage of all new development completed on previously developed land.2010/11: 67%. 2011-13: 57%	No specific targets identified.
To minimise the production of waste	No baseline data available	No specific targets identified.
To improve health of the population	No baseline data available	No specific targets identified.
To reduce crime and nuisance	No baseline data available	No specific targets identified.
To conserve natural and manmade resources	There are numerous listed buildings within the parish and one SAM. The SAM is currently recorded in the Buildings at Risk Register, as generally satisfactory but with localised problems. There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning locally important buildings within Staunton-on-Wye & District Group parish at present.	To maintain current status for the Listed Buildings, however management is required to prevent any further deterioration of the SAM. Promote opportunities to achieve the removal of heritage assets from the at risk register.

3.13 There are three objectives for which there is no local baseline data available and therefore this provides a limitation on the baseline data and whether the NDP policies are able to more towards or away from this data, this also means that there are no future trends to link the SEA objective too.

4.0 Assessing the NDP Objectives

- 4.1 The following are objectives listed in the NDP that aim to realise the vision for Staunton on Wye in 2031:
 - 1. To secure proportionate open market and intermediate housing focused on Staunton on Wye village.
 - 2. To ensure that all development is sensitive to residential amenity and to the local environment.
 - 3. To protect and sustain social and rural service facilities and enable additional provision.
 - 4. To encourage and support local enterprise and the creation of job opportunities through appropriate economic development.
 - 5. To protect the existing open space provision and provide additional open space, recreational and sports facilities at appropriate locations.
 - 6. To involve local people on an ongoing basis in the process of plan making and delivery of development.
- 4.2 These objectives were tested against the SEA objectives as part of Task B1 of SEA, the full results of which are available at Appendix 4 of this report.

5.0 Assessing the NDP Options

- 5.1 Numerous options were considered by Staunton on Wye parish during the development of their NDP and all were assessed as part of the SEA, with the summary matrix of the assessment presented in Table B2 (refer to Appendix 4).
- 5.2 The overall outcome was to take no additional action. Some of the options were considered to overlap and therefore could be merged to formulate the policies. The options have been assessed against the SEA objectives and appear to move generally towards the SEA objectives.

6.0 Appraisal of the policies

- 6.1 Listed below are the policies for Staunton on Wye NDP:
 - SOWG1 Restriction of development
 - SOWG2 Protection and enhancement of Green Infrastructure
 - SOWH1 New Housing development- Staunton-on-Wye Village
 - SOWH2 New Housing or other Residential Accommodation in Staunton Conservation Area
 - SOWH3 Affordable Housing
 - SOWH4 Rural Exceptions Housing (Non affordable)
 - SOW B1 Retail Development
 - SOWB2 Expansion of Existing (Non-agricultural) businesses
 - SOWB3 Agricultural/ Horticultural Diversification and Expansion
 - SOWB4 Poly tunnel Development
 - SOWB5 Change of Use
 - SOWB6 New Business Enterprises
 - SOWC1 Retention of existing Community Facilities
 - SOWC2 Retention and Extension of Recreational and Community Facilities
 - SOW D1 Change of Use (Design Principles)
 - SOWD2 New Buildings
 - SOWE Development and Design Principles.

Stage B of SEA

- 6.2 SEA B1: Overall the majority of the NDP objectives have met the SEA objectives, and the rest had either a neutral compatibility with the different objectives, had no relationship with the SEA objective, or if needed further information such as the location of development.
- 6.3 SEA B2: This task involved measuring SEA objectives against the baseline with the NDP policies. The majority of the NDP policies have a positive effect as the policies are mainly criteria based and therefore require that potential developments adhere to each of the criteria, and so most schemes will be considered on a case by case basis. However, some policies place a restriction of how many units are allowed to be developed, which will affect the scale of the environmental impact for each development.
- 6.4 SEA B3: The results show that the cumulative impact is generally positive as well as of neutral impact. The majority of the policies will have a neutral impact as the development objectives proposed are balanced with sustainable polices to minimise environmental impact. There are also a lot of uncertain effects from new development as this will depend on the schemes which come to fruition. Although the development policies may have a neutral or negative in the short-term, due to the development works that would be undergoing, the criteria set within additional NDP policies should provide adequate mitigation.
- 6.5 SEA B4: The assessment which identified the cumulative effects of the NDP's policies reveals that the policies contained in the Staunton-on-Wye NDP are not over and above the Core Strategy and consequently the cumulative effect will not exceed that of equivalent policies within the Core Strategy, which themselves have been subject to a full Sustainability Appraisal.
- 6.6 Environmental issues and problems that could arise from the Staunton-on-Wye NDP could include the loss of some good quality agricultural land. Although the River Wye SAC runs along the borders with Brobury in the south of the parish, it is unlikely that there will be a significant impact upon its quality as the scale of housing within the villages will not exceed the indicative targets set out in Policy RA1 of the Local Plan (Core Strategy).
- 6.7 None of the NDP policies are considered to be in direct conflict with or propose greater levels of growth and development than strategic policies contained in the Local Plan (Core Strategy), which themselves have undergone a full Sustainability Appraisal.
- 6.8 Two additional policies were added to the NDP following the Regulation 14 Draft Plan consultation and the results of the screening of these are presented in Appendix 4a. Neither of these policies materially affect the above conclusion.
- 6.9 Following the Draft plan consultation (Regulation 14) and the amended Draft NDP for the Regulation 16 consultation, the Plan has now been subject to an Independent Examination (During January 2016) by Ann Skippers who has submitted her report stating that the NDP will meet the Basic Conditions subject to a number of Minor Modifications to the policies. The recommended modifications can be viewed in Appendix 7.
- 6.9 The policies that underwent examination and subsequently were re-assessed following modification were:
 - SOWG1 Sustainable Water Management
 - SOWG2 Protection and enhancement of green infrastructure
 - SOWH1 New Housing development in Staunton-on-Wye Village
 - SOWH4 Rural Exception Housing (non-affordable)
 - SOWB6 New employment generating enterprises
 - SOWC1 Retention of existing community facilities
- 6.9 The Modifications have been accepted by Herefordshire Council and have been made to the Plan; the policies that have had modifications made to them have now been re-assessed as part of this SEA process. The modifications were in the main minor wording changes to ensure consistency with the Framework and to provide more clarity for decision makers.

- 6.10 It has been concluded that the modifications made will not have a significant adverse impact on the SEA objectives and therefore the conclusions of the SEA remain the same as with the Draft Plan, no significant effect is likely from the implementation of the Staunton-on-Wye Group NDP policies.
- 6.11 The full results of the re-assessed policies can be found in Appendix 8 of this Environmental Report.

7.0 Implementation and monitoring

- 7.1 Herefordshire Council as the Local Planning Authority should make arrangements to monitor the significant effects of implementing a neighbourhood plan.
- 7.2 Indeed, Regulation 17 of the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 requires the Local Planning Authority to monitor the significant environmental effects of the implementation of any NDP that was subject to SEA, in order to identify unforeseen adverse effects at an early stage and to enable appropriate remedial actions.
- 7.3 Accordingly, Herefordshire Council will monitor outcomes from the NDP policies and the results of these will be reported in the Council's Annual Monitoring Report (AMR).
- 7.4 The AMR runs from 1 April to 31 March each year and the topics covered therein include the following:
 - Housing delivery;
 - Previously developed land
 - Housing completions
 - Affordable housing conditions
 - Employment land delivery.

Appendix 1



Initial Habitat Regulations Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening Notification

The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulation 2012 (Reg. 32)

Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (d)


Neighbourhood Area:	Staunton on Wye & District Group Neighbourhood Area
Parish Council:	Staunton on Wye & District Group Parish Council
Neighbourhood Area Designation Date:	06/09/2012

Introduction

This Initial Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening has been undertaken to assesses whether any European sites exist within or in proximity to the neighbourhood area which could be affected by any future proposals or policies.

Through continual engagement the outcomes of any required assessments will help to ensure that proposed developments will not lead to Likely Significant Effects upon a European Site or cause adverse impacts upon other environmental assets, such as the built historic or local natural environment.

HRA Initial Screening: Map showing relationship of Neighbourhood Area with European Sites (not to scale)



Initial HRA Screening

River Wye (including the River Lugg) SAC:

Does the Neighbourhood Area have the River Wye (including the River Lugg) in or next to its boundary?	Y	The River Wye borders Brobury with Monnington on Wye to the south
Is the Neighbourhood Area in the hydrological catchment of the River Wye (including the River Lugg) SAC?	Y	The Group Parish is within the hydrological catchment of the River Wye.
If yes above, does the Neighbourhood Area have mains drainage to deal with foul sewage?	Y	There is mains drainage at Staunton on Wye

Downton Gorge SAC:

Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of Downton Gorge SAC?	Downton Gorge is 26.23km away from the Group Parish

River Clun SAC:

Parish Council?	Does the Neighbourhood Area include: Border Group Parish Council or Leintwardine Group Parish Council?	N	River Clun does not border the Group Parish
-----------------	--	---	--

Usk Bat Sites SAC:

Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of the SAC boundary?	Ν	Usk Bat Sites are 31km away from the Group Parish
SAC boundary?		Group Parish

Wye Valley & Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC:

Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of any of the individual sites that make up the Wye Valley & Forest of Dean Bat Sites?		The Group Parish is 35.4km away from Wye Valley and Forest of Dean Bat Sites
--	--	---

Wye Valley Woodlands SAC:

Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of any of the individual sites that make up the Wye Valley Woodlands Site?	N The Group Pa the Wye Valle	rish is 31.5km away from y Woodlands
--	---------------------------------	---

HRA Conclusion:

The assessment above highlights that the following European Sites will need to be taken into account in the future Neighbourhood Development Plan for the Staunton on Wye & District Group Neighbourhood Area and a Full HRA Screening will be required.

European Site

(List only those which are relevant from above)

River Wye (including the River Lugg) SAC

Strategic Environmental Assessment Initial Screening for nature conservation landscape and heritage features

The following environmental features are within or in general proximity to the Staunton on Wye & District Group Neighbourhood Area and would need to be taken into account within a Strategic Environmental Assessment. In addition, the NDP will also need to consider the other SEA topics set out in Guidance Note 9a to ensure that the plan does not cause adverse impacts.

SEA features	Total	Explanation	SEA
Air Quality Management Areas	0	There are no AQMA's within the Group	required
Ancient Woodland	5	Parish Oakers Hill Wood; Tin Hill Wood; Bredwardine Wood; Mill Copse (border); 1 x unlabelled in south of Group Parish	Y
Areas of Archaeological Interest	0	No AAI's within the Group Parish	N
Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty	0	There are no AONB's within the Group Parish	Ν
Conservation Areas	1	Staunton on Wye village	Y
European Sites	1	The River Wye	Y
Flood Areas		Flood Zones 2 and 3 are in the east, south and west of the Group Parish	Y
Listed Buildings	Numerous	There are numerous listed buildings within the Group Parish	Y
Local Nature Trails	3	Three Rivers Ride; Vaughans Way and Wye Valley Walk	Y
Local Sites (SWS/SINCs/RIGS)	8 (SWS)	River Wye; Depple Wood & Castle Coppice; Trap Coppice & Mill Leasowe Wood; Land at Dukeins Moor; Land at Little London, Staunton On Wye; Tumpey Ley, Worlds End, Staunton on Wye; Glebe Land, Worlds End; Ox Bow Lake, Letton Court (border)	Y
Long distance footpaths/trails (e.g. Herefordshire Trail)	3	See Local Nature Trails above	Y
Mineral Reserves	0	There are no Minerals Reserves sites within the Group Parish	Ν
National Nature Reserve	0	There are no NNR's within the Group Parish	Ν
Registered & unregistered parks and gardens	1 Registered 7 Unregistered	Registered: Moccas Court Unregistered: Jarvis's Charity; Monnington Deer Park; Monnington Court; Brobury House; Letton Court; Bredwardine Castle (border); Bredwardine Vicarage (border)	Y
Scheduled Ancient Monuments	3	Moated site and fishponds immediately west of Upper House Farm; Bredwardine Castle (border); Moated site known as Old Court Mound at Old Court (border)	Y
Sites of Special Scientific Interest	2	River Wye (Unfavourable Recovering); Monnington Scar (Favourable)	Y

Decision Notification:

The initial screening highlights that the Neighbourhood Development Plan for the Staunton on Wye & District Group Neighbourhood Area:

a) Will require further environmental assessment for Habitat Regulations Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment.

Assessment date: 03/06/2013

Assessed by: James Latham

Appendix 1: European Sites

The table below provides the name of each European Site, which has been screened in for the purposes of neighbourhood planning in Herefordshire; includes their site features of integrity; and vulnerability data. This is based on the sites individual features of integrity and their vulnerabilities, which could include distance criteria. This has been used in identifying which parishes are likely to require a full HRA Screening of their future Neighbourhood Development Plan, to establish if their plan might have Likely Significant Effects on a European Site.

Downton Gorge

Site Features: Tilio-Acerion forests of slopes, screes and ravines

Vulnerability data: 10km for air quality associated with poultry units or other intensive agricultural practices.

River Clun

Site Features: Freshwater pearl mussel Margaritifera margaritifera

Vulnerability data: Water quality is important to maintain the site feature. Parishes either side of the River Clun will be affected.

River Wye

Site Features: Water courses of plain to montane levels with the *Ranunculion fluitantis* and *Callitricho-Batrachion* vegetation. Transition mires and quaking bogs. White-clawed (or Atlantic Stream) crayfish *Austropotamobius pallipes.* Sea lamprey *Petromyzon marinus.* Brook lamprey *Lampetra planeri.* River lamprey *Lampetra fluviatilis.* Twaite shad *Alosa fallax.* Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar.* Bullhead *Cottus gobio.* Otter *Lutra lutra.* Allis shad *Alosa alosa*

Vulnerability data: Proximity: Developments should not be within 100m of the designated bank. Some developments beyond 100m may also have impacts based on proximity and these issues should be addressed where possible when developing NDP policy and choosing site allocations.

Water Quality: Within the whole catchment of the River Wye, which includes the River Lugg, mains drainage issues with regards to water quality are being resolved through the Core Strategy / Local Plan and development of a Nutrient Management Plan. Welsh Water should be consulted to ensure that the proposed growth will be within the limit of their consents.

Otters: "An otter will occupy a 'home range', which on fresh waters usually includes a stretch of river as well as associated tributary streams, ditches, ponds, lakes and woodland. The size of a home range depends largely on the availability of food and shelter, and the presence of neighbouring otters. On rivers, a male's home range may be up to 40km or more of watercourse and associated areas; females have smaller ranges (roughly half the size) and favour quieter locations for breeding, such as tributary streams.

Otters without an established home range are known as 'transients'. They are mostly juveniles looking for a territory of their own, or adults that have been pushed out of their territories. Transient otters may use an area for a short while, but they will move on if conditions are not suitable or if they are driven away by resident otters. Transients will have been important in extending the range of otters, but they are very difficult to identify from field signs.

Within a home range an otter may use many resting sites. These include above-ground shelters, such as stands of scrub or areas of rank grass, and underground 'holts' – for example, cavities under tree roots and dry drainage pipes."

(Source: EA website: http://www.environmentagency.gov.uk/static/documents/Business/Otters the facts.pdf accessed 09/04/2013)

Usk Bat Site

Site Features: Annex I habitats present as a qualifying feature, but not a primary reason for site selection: European dry heaths, Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration, Blanket bogs, Calcareous rocky slopes with chasmophytic vegetation, Caves not open to the public, *Tilio-Acerion* forests of slopes, screes and ravines. Annex II species of primary reason for site selection: Lesser horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus hipposideros*, UK population 5%, although it is suggested this is an underestimate.

Vulnerability data: Lesser Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 5km and 10km between their summer and winter roosts. The Lesser Horseshoe Bat is vulnerable to disturbance; light pollution; and habitat loss. Check with the planning ecologist for other issues.

Wye Valley and Forest of Dean Bat Sites

Site Features: Annex II species that are a primary reason for site selection: Lesser horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus hipposideros*. Greater horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*

Vulnerability data: Lesser Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 5km and 10km between their summer and winter roosts. The Lesser Horseshoe Bat is vulnerable to disturbance; light pollution; and habitat loss. Check with the planning ecologist for other issues.

Greater Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 20-30km between their summer and winter roosts.

NDPs closest to the European Site will need to consider:

Woodland habitat buffer.

Lesser Horseshoe Bat: Old buildings; woodland locations; sheltered valleys, extensive deciduous woods or dense scrub, close to roost sites. In areas of fragmented habitats, linear habitats such as hedgerows are important corridors. Vulnerable to loss or disturbance of both summer and winter roosts and removal of linear habitat.


Greater Horseshoe Bat: Large buildings, pasture, edge of mixed deciduous woodland and hedgerows. Mixed land-use especially south-facing slopes, favours beetles, moths and insects they feed on. During the winter they depend on caves, abandoned mines and other underground sites for undisturbed hibernation. A system/series of sites required. Vulnerable to loss of insect food supply, due to insecticide use, changing farming practices and loss of broad-leaved tree-cover and loss / disturbance of underground roosts sites.


Wye Valley Woodlands


Site Features: Annex I habitats that are a primary reason for site selection: Beech forests *Asperulo-Fagetum, Tilio-Acerion* forests of slopes, screes and ravines, *Taxus baccata* woods of the British Isles. Annex II species present as a qualifying feature, but not a primary reason for site selection: Lesser horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus hipposideros*, 51-100 residents

Vulnerability data: Lesser Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 5km and 10km between their summer and winter roosts. The Lesser Horseshoe Bat is vulnerable to disturbance; light pollution; and habitat loss. Check with the planning ecologist for other issues. NDPs closest to the European Site will need to consider: Woodland habitat buffer. Lesser Horseshoe Bat: Old buildings; woodland locations; sheltered valleys, extensive deciduous woods or dense scrub, close to roost sites. In areas of fragmented habitats, linear habitats such as hedgerows are important corridors. Vulnerable to loss or disturbance of both summer and winter roosts and removal of linear habitat.

Appendix 2: Wye Catchment Map







Appendix 2

Strategic Environmental Assessment



Staunton on Wye Group Neighbourhood Area

Scoping Report

October 2014



Consultation on the Scoping Report

The aim of the consultation process is to involve and engage with statutory consultees and other relevant bodies on the scope of the appraisal. In particular, it seeks to:

- Ensure the SEA is both comprehensive and sufficiently robust to support the Neighbourhood Development Plan during the later stages of full public consultation;
- Seek advice on the completeness of the plan review and baseline data and gain further information where appropriate;
- Seek advice on the suitability of key sustainability issues;
- Seek advice on the suitability of the sustainability objectives.

Comments on this Scoping Report have been invited from the three consultation bodies as required by the SEA regulations, together with the Natural Resources Wales.

The three consultation bodies are as follows:

- 1. Natural England;
- 2. English Heritage;
- 3. Environment Agency.



Template A1: Identification and review of local Neighbourhood Area relevant plans, policies and programmes

Parish Council Name: Staunton-on-Wye Group

Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Staunton-on-Wye group plan

Date completed: April 2014

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Revised EU Sustainable Development strategy	European Union Strategy	2009	Sets out a single strategy on how the EU will more effectively meet its long-standing commitment to meet the challenges of sustainable development.	Recognises the need to gradually change current unsustainable consumption and production patterns and move towards a better integrated approach to policy making. The Strategy sets overall objectives, targets and concrete actions for seven key priority challenges, predominantly environmental: • Climate change and clean energy; • Sustainable transport • Sustainable production and consumption; • Public health threats; • Better management	 Air Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Population and human health 	The Neighbourhood Plans should take into account the objectives of the strategy. The aim of sustainable development should be implicit in its approach.

¹ Derived from the LDF General Scoping Report (June 2007) This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council, please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
				of natural resources; • Social inclusion, demography and migration; • Fighting global poverty.		
The EC Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations	European Union Legislation	2010	These regulations transpose the Habitats Directive in England, Wales and to a limited extent Scotland by ensuring that activities are carried out in accordance with the Habitats Directive, which is to protect biodiversity through the conservation of natural habitats and species of wild flora and fauna.	The Regulations make it an offence (subject to exceptions) to deliberately capture, kill, disturb, or trade in the animals listed in Schedule 2, or pick, collect, cut, uproot, destroy, or trade in the plants listed in Schedule 4. However, these actions can be made lawful through the granting of licenses by the appropriate authorities.	 Biodiversity Cultural heritage and the landscape 	The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations.
The EC Water Framework Directive	European Union	2000	Commits all EU member states to achieve good qualitative and quantitative status of all water	Aims for 'good status' for all ground and surface waters (rivers, lakes, transitional waters, and coastal waters) in the EU	Water	The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
The Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981)	Domestic Legislation	1981	courses by 2015 The major legal instrument for wildlife protection in Britain, although other significant acts have been passed since. It has numerous parts and supplementary lists and schedules many of which have been amended since publication.	The principle mechanism for the legislative protection of wildlife in Great Britain.	 Biodiversity Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Soil 	The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations.
The Countryside and Right of Way Act (2000)	Domestic Legislation	2000	Creates a statutory right of access on foot to certain types of open land, to modernise the public rights of way system, to strengthen nature conservation legislation, and to facilitate better management of AONBs.	The Act provides for a new right of access on foot to areas of open land comprising: Mountain (land over 600 metres); Moorland; Heath; Downland; Registered common land. There are provisions to consider extending the right in the future to coastal land, but not woodland despite some early publicity suggesting this.	 Biodiversity Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Soil 	The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations.
The Natural	Domestic	2006	Designed to help	Provides that any public	Biodiversity	The Neighbourhood

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Environment and Rural Communities Act (2006)	Legislation		achieve a rich and diverse natural environment and thriving rural communities through modernised and simplified arrangements for delivering Government policy.	body or statutory undertaker in England and Wales must have regard to the purpose of conservation of biological diversity in the exercise of their functions.	 Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Soil 	Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations.
Biodiversity 2020: A strategy for England's wildlife and ecosystem services	National Strategy	2011	Forms part of the UK's Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework by setting out England's contribution towards the UK's commitments under the United Nations Convention of Biological Diversity.	Sets out to halt overall biodiversity loss, support healthy well-functioning ecosystems and establish coherent ecological networks, with more and better places for nature for the benefit of wildlife and people.	Biodiversity	The NDP should take account of the provisions of the strategy, making the most of opportunities to enhance wildlife habitats or restore degraded ecosystems in the process.
National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)	National planning policy.	2012	Consolidates the suite of PPG/PPS into one succinct planning policy document.		 Air Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape 	The NDP should take into account the relevant policies set within the NPPF.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
					 Flora and fauna Material assets Population and human health Soil Water 	
Planning Practice Guidance	Government Guidance	2014	Provides guidance to local planning authorities and others on the operation of the planning system.		 Air Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Population and human health Soil Water 	The NDP should take into account the planning guidance provided within these documents.
Draft Herefordshire Core Strategy 2011-2031	Development Plan Document (DPD)	2013	Sets out the vision, objectives and policies for the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy), which will guide development across the county up to 2031.	Outlines the emerging suite of countywide planning policies relating to housing, economic development and the environment, which the NDP will need to be in conformity with where relevant. The Draft Core Strategy includes a range of objectives, five of which directly relate to rural areas:	 Air Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Population and human health Soil Water 	The NDP should take account of relevant policies set within in the Core Strategy. Where necessary, the NDP should provide services, facilities and employment opportunities that are accessible to both local and neighbouring communities. Approx 15 dwellings will

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
				 To meet the housing needs of all sections of the community To improve access to services in rural areas To strengthen the economic viability of the villages and their rural hinterlands To achieve sustainable communities and protect the environment To conserve, promote, utilise and enjoy our natural, built, historic and cultural assets for the fullest benefit of the whole community 		need to be delivered within the village of Staunton-on-Wye, unless there is robust and sound evidence to demonstrate the existence of unavoidable constraints on site delivery.
				To achieve a thriving rural Herefordshire, the Core Strategy seeks to enhance the role the villages have traditionally played in as accessible, sustainable centres for their rural catchments. Seeks proportional growth of up to 12% in the village of Staunton-on-Wye		

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
		0040		(Kington HMA) over the plan period.		
Herefordshire Local Transport Plan 3 (LTP) 2013-2015	Corporate	2013	Sets out the Council's strategy for supporting economic growth, social inclusion and reducing the environmental impacts of transport, as well as the program of investment for the period April 2013 to April 2015.	The document includes three key objectives, one which seeks to maintain access for rural residents and people without access to a car. Intrinsic to this is the retention of a 'core network' of bus services which focus on journeys between Hereford and the market towns, along with main transport corridors close to larger rural settlements. To this end, the strategy aims to increase the number of bus users by 1.3% (4,700 journeys) by 2015.	 Air Climate factors Population and human health 	The LTP does not explore current transport issues in the Staunton-on-Wye Group neighbourhood area, but any new development proposed through the NDP should seek to reduce the environmental impacts of transport.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Malvern Hills AONB Management Plan 2009- 2014	Corporate	2009	Identifies the issues and challenges facing the special features of the area and contains 24 guiding principles and 46 strategic objectives which will help address them.		 Air Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Population and human health Soil Water 	The Staunton-on-Wye Group neighbourhood Area is not within or adjacent to the Malvern Hills AONB.
Wye Valley AONB management Plan 2009- 2014	Corporate	2009	The Management Plan is the prime document which sets out the vision for the area and the priorities for its management.		 Air Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Population and human health Soil Water 	The Staunton-on-Wye Group neighbourhood Area is not within or adjacent to the Wye Valley AONB.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Herefordshire Economic Development Strategy 2011-2016	Corporate	2011	Aims to increase the economic wealth of Herefordshire by setting out proposals and to support business growth up to 2016.	 The document outlines the path and direction to foster economic vitality within Herefordshire. Key objectives therefore include: Sustaining business survival and growth Increasing wage levels, range and quality of jobs Having a skilled population to meet future work needs Developing the county's built infrastructure so enterprise can flourish. 	 Cultural heritage and the landscape Material assets Population and human health 	None of merit.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Herefordshire Employment Land Study	Evidence	2012	Includes employment land assessments for the plan period 2011-2031. The study includes Quantitative and Qualitative assessments of employment land, assessment of market demand and need, as well as providing forecasts and recommendations for future employment need over the plan period.	This study covers existing employment sites in Hereford, the five market towns and their rural hinterlands. There are no employment land allocations within Staunton-on-Wye group at present and so the study does not identity sites which are worthy of continued protection from alternative uses. Nor does it make any recommendations in respect of employment need within the neighbourhood area.	 Material assets Population and human health 	None of merit; however the NDP process may have to explore whether there is any employment need locally and if so whether there is any scope for providing employment land and premises.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Herefordshire Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA)	Evidence	2009	 The SHLAA aims to justify site allocations in plans by: Identifying sites which are capable of delivering housing development Assessing sites for their housing potential; and Predicting when a site could be developed for housing. 	 In terms of Staunton-on- Wye, previous SHLAA identifies that: There is potential land available for 267 additional dwellings could be provided on 10 sites in and around the existing settlement, however it is unlikely that this number would materialise due to significant constraints. Of these identified sites only one site with a possible 10 dwellings has low or minor constraints. 	 Air Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Population and human health Soil Water 	The SHLAA assesses the potential availability of land for housing in Staunton-on-Wye. The Staunton-on-Wye Group NDP should be informed by the findings of the SHLAA if undertaking site allocations, if site allocations are to be undertaken then a local update to the SHLAA should be undertaken.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Herefordshire Local Housing Market Assessment (LHMA)	Evidence	2013	Builds on an earlier Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) developed for Herefordshire and Shropshire. Its purpose is to inform the Local Plan's policies regarding housing need and demand (for market and affordable housing) within each of the 7 Housing Market Areas (HMAs) in Herefordshire between 2011 and 2031.	 Staunton-on-Wye falls within the Kington HMA. Here, the study reveals that: 57% of households are unable to afford market housing. There is an annual requirement for 17 affordable dwellings between 2012 and 2017. The study identifies that, in rural parts of the HMA, there is a need for: 336 market houses 181 affordable houses. The study highlights that within the Kington HMA the overall estimated housing need by size 2012-2017 is as follows: Bed - 64.7%, 2 bed - 23.1%, 3 bed - 12.2% 	 Air Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Population and human health Soil Water 	The LHMA provides an indication of housing needs and affordability within the Kington HMA. It provides evidence that could be used to inform policies or market and affordable housing requirements in the NDP.
Herefordshire	Evidence	2012	Technical	The delivery of 5,300	• Air	This study provides an

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Local Housing Requirements Study			assessment of the housing market and potential future local housing requirements which supports planning policy regarding the amount of growth, housing tenure and housing type needed within Herefordshire up to 2031.	 homes in the rural areas would: Support growth in the rural population by 6% Increase the number of households by 14.5% Forecasts also predict that growth in the population of the rural areas is likely to be primarily through an increase in those aged over 75. Moderate growth is expected in the 30-44 and 60-74 age brackets. The Local Housing Requirements Study therefore anticipates continuing improvements in life expectancy; significant growth is expected of those in their 80s, with the existing population in their 40s and 50s moving into retirement. As a consequence, the rural areas will face an increasing urgency to provide more 3 bedroom 	 Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Population and human health Soil Water 	indication of housing requirements in the rural areas and the Kington HMA. This evidence can be used to inform the content of the Staunton- on-Wye Group NDP, which could include policies to facilitate the provision of the right types of homes in the right places.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
				homes, with more 1 and 2 bed homes required in the affordable sector.		
Herefordshire Rural Housing Background Report	Evidence	2013	Provides the justification for the proportional housing growth targets outlined in the Core Strategy	The village of Staunton-on- Wye is listed as RA1 settlements, which means they are considered a sustainable location for proportional growth of up to 12%.	 Air Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Population and human health Soil Water 	The Staunton-on-Wye Group NDP will need to be in conformity with the provisions of Policy RA1.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Herefordshire Draft Gypsies and Travellers Assessment	Evidence	2013	Assesses the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers across Herefordshire.	 Key findings from the survey of Gypsy and Traveller households in 2012 found that: 31% of households surveyed have some sort of accommodation need Of the 17 households with an accommodation need, 7 had a requirement for at least one additional pitch 10 households had a requirement for bricks and mortar housing There is an additional requirement for 7 pitches and 9 units of Registered Social Landlord accommodation within Herefordshire. 	 Air Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Population and human health Soil Water 	The Staunton-on-Wye Group NDP must establish whether any of the need identified in this assessment falls within the neighbourhood area and make appropriate provisions for it.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Building Biodiversity into the LDF	Evidence	2009	Provides the Council's Local Plan (Core Strategy) with evidence in respect of biodiversity and geodiversity, identifying both opportunities and constraints across Herefordshire.	This document provides useful information in respect of Hereford and the market towns only.	 Air Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Population and human health Soil Water 	There is a lack of information about rural areas which means it will be necessary to gather and assess existing biodiversity and geodiversity data, in order to ensure that the Staunton-on-Wye Group NDP can overcome any existing constraints and capitalise on opportunities to enhance habitats and their networks.
Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan	Evidence	2007	Focuses conservation efforts on the areas within Herefordshire that will result in the greatest benefit for ecological networks, habitats and species.	Integrating biodiversity objectives with other environmental, social and economic needs can provide a sustainable living and working environment that benefits both people and nature.	Biodiversity	The NDP can help to achieve the LBAP priorities.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy	Evidence	2010	Develops a framework of natural and culturally important features and functions so that planning for a sustainable future is at the heart of planning within Herefordshire.	Establishes policies and principles for the protection and enhancement of those features and functions that contributes to the environment of Herefordshire across a range of scales.	 Air Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Population and human health Soil Water 	The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the Staunton-on-Wye Group NDP.
Renewable Energy Study	Evidence	2010	Assesses the energy demand within Herefordshire and the ability for the county to accommodate renewable and low carbon energy technologies.	 The total energy demand excluding transport for Herefordshire, at that point in time, was calculated as being: Electrical: 731 GWh/yr Heat: 1,810 GWh/yr Total: 2,541 GWh/yr There is scope for all types of renewable energy production. 	 Air Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Population and human health Soil Water 	The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the Staunton-on-Wye Group NDP.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Herefordshire Playing Pitch Assessment	Evidence	2012	Produces a strategic framework, audit and assessment and needs analysis of outdoor sports pitches and facilities for Herefordshire. The document arises as a result of a recommendation in the Herefordshire and Worcestershire Sports Facilities Framework to develop local standards for playing fields and sports pitches throughout Herefordshire.	The study updates components of the Herefordshire and Worcestershire Sports Facilities Framework 2010 such as updating population forecasts, setting local standards for synthetic turf pitches and grass playing fields within Herefordshire. It identifies any current gaps in provision, and looks forward to 2031 to assess what facilities are likely to be required by that date. In terms of Staunton-on- Wye group parish itself, the study reveals that there is currently no hectares of playing pitch area and no hectares of secured community access playing pitch area.	 Biodiversity Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Population and human health 	The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the Staunton-on-Wye Group NDP. Additional local evidence may be required to identify if there is a need for any secured playing pitch facilities within the Group Parish.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Open Spaces Study	Evidence	2006	The 2006 space audit and assessment of need is a snap shot of the quality, quantity and distribution of open space across Herefordshire.	 The study reveals that within the Kington Area, to which Castle Ward is a part, there is: Extensive under provision of parks and gardens Extensive over provision of natural and semi-natural green space Under provision of amenity green space and average provision for outdoor sport Average provision for children and young people. 	 Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Population and human health 	The open space audit and assessment of does not give a specific indication of open space shortfalls and surpluses in Staunton-on-Wye Group Parish.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Play Facilities Study	Evidence	2012	The Play Facilities Study 2012 updates the previous play facilities analysis under the Open Spaces Study 2006 and provides guidance and a framework for the development, delivery and continued sustainability of providing new and improved play facilities for children and young people in Herefordshire to 2031.	In terms of Staunton-on- Wye itself, the study reveals that the play area is in poor condition and will require refurbishment.	 Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Material assets Population and human health 	The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the Staunton-on-Wye Group NDP.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) and Water Cycle Study	Evidence	2009	The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) provides a summary of flood risk in Herefordshire to inform the location of future development. The Water Cycle Study examines how water resources and water supply infrastructure, wastewater treatment, water quality, sewerage and flood risk could constrain growth across Herefordshire.	Staunton-on-Wye group are classified within the Lower Middle Wye fluvial flood area. Staunton Group parish falls into the Herefordshire Conjunctive Use Water Resource Zones (WRZs), one of 6 zones covering Herefordshire. 39% of demand is from non-household use.	 Biodiversity Climate factors Material assets Population and human health Water 	New development proposed through the Staunton-on-Wye Group NDP should be assessed against the capacity of local infrastructure. Up-to-date flood risk information should be gathered from the Environment Agency, in order to ensure that any flood risks are considered when preparing the Staunton- on-Wye Group NDP.

Plans and Programmes	Type of document	Date	Overview	Key message, target/objective/indicator	SEA topic(s) ¹	Implications for the NDP and SEA
Local Affordable Housing Needs Survey Staunton on Wye	Evidence	2010	Questionnaire based appraisal of local need	5 households found in need up until 2013.2 one bed, 2 two beds and 1 4 beds.3 preferred shared ownership.	 Air Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Population and human health 	Link the public response and requirement to the NPD and LHMA

Template A2 – Baseline information for Staunton-on-Wye & District Group

N.B. This is based on countywide baseline information with some additions relevant to Staunton-on-Wye & District Group (in red). Where no locally specific data is available for current status, trends and targets, only countywide data is reported. Any gaps in data may be filled following additional research.

SA Objective ¹	SA Theme	Proposed indicator	Current status	Trends	Targets	Issues and constraints	Baseline (information) source
SEA Topic cover	red by objective: E	Biodiversity, flora an	d fauna	2006: 22%	% of SSSI land	Herefordshire's	
naintain, restore and expand county biodiversity.	environment	Net change in condition of SSSIs across Herefordshire.	AMR does not contain updated conservation data. 2010/11: 27% of Herefordshire's SSSI land was in favourable condition. 04/08/14 – status of the SSSIs are: River Wye – unfavourable recovering Monnington Scar – favourable	2006: 22% 2007: 22% 2008: 22% 2010: 24 % Proportion of SSSI land that was in unfavourable condition but recovering increased between 2010 and 2012 going from 41% to 65%. Proportion in unfavourable and declining condition had also decreased from 4% to 1%.	% of SSSI land in favourable condition (Increase) % of SSSI land in unfavourable condition but recovering (Increase) % of SSSI land in unfavourable condition and declining (Decrease)	Hereforosnire's SSSIs are in extremely poor condition relative to England as whole, where 96.1% of all SSSI land was in favourable condition in April 2014. The proportion of SSSI in unfavourable condition but recovering is greater than England as a whole, where the figure currently stands at 58.6%.	Understanding Herefordshire: An integrated needs assessment (June 2013).

¹ Derived from the Pre-Submission Core Strategy Sustainability Appraisal Assessment (May 2014)

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council. Please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part.

SEA Scoping: Task A2 (Staunton-on-Wye & District Group) (May 2014)

SA Objective ¹	SA Theme	Proposed indicator	Current status	Trends	Targets	Issues and constraints	Baseline (information) source
13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity.	Natural environment	After use of mineral sites especially wildlife habitat creation	There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.	-	Percentage of opportunities taken	Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations.	-
13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity.	Natural environment	Phosphate levels within the River Wye SAC and adjoining tributaries that receive increased phosphates from proportional growth.	Countywide data is available. The existing status of the Wye SAC between Hay and the Lugg confluence is currently meeting its phosphate target and is meeting the conservation targets.	N/A	Successful completion of the Nutrient Management Plan will provide future targets	New development within the area could lead to the water quality failing the phosphate levels and conservation objectives.	Letter to confirm Statement of Intent to complete a Nutrient Management Plan (2013)

SEA Scoping: Task A2 (Staunton-on-Wye & District Group) (May 2014)

SA Objective ¹	SA Theme	Proposed indicator	Current status	Trends	Targets	Issues and constraints	Baseline (information) source
13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity.	Natural environment	Changes to protected habitats and impacts of species within the Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan.	The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data. 2010/11: 17 Habitat Action Plans and 14 Species Action Plans are currently in operation across Herefordshire. There is no locally specific data available at present.	There are no formal records of any unacceptable adverse impacts on habitats or protected species. Originally 156 Priority Species were identified for inclusion in Herefordshire's LBAP. Similarly Herefordshire's LBAP covered 23 habitats with Action Plans.	To protect and where possible enhance the habitats of protected species identified. No net losses	Herefordshire Biological Records Centre (HBRC) holds limited data on some individual sites.	Herefordshire Council AMR (2010/11)

SA Objective ¹	SA Theme	Proposed indicator	Current status	Trends	Targets	Issues and constraints	Baseline (information) source
13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity.	Natural environment	Changes in the areas of designated nature conservation sites as a consequence of planning permission.	Staunton-on-Wye & District Group has: SSSI: 2 SWS: 7 The River Wye SAC borders Brobury and Monnington-on- Wye in the south of the Parish. There are no NNRs and SINCs within the parish.	As of 2012, there had been no change in the areas of designated nature conservation sites as a consequence of the planning permissions granted.	To capitalise on opportunities to enhance the areas of value to nature conservation as much as possible.	Herefordshire Biological Records Centre (HBRC) holds limited data on some individual sites.	Herefordshire Council Initial Screening Report for the Neighbourhood Plan 2013
13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity.	Natural environment	Proportion of local sites where positive conservation management has or is being implemented.	The Parish Area has the following landscape types: Mainly principal settled farmlands. Wooded estate lands.	-	No specific targets identified.	Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations.	Herefordshire Landscape Character Assessment (2004 updated 2009)

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council. Please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part. Page 4 of 14

SA Objective ¹	SA Theme	Proposed indicator	Current status	Trends	Targets	Issues and constraints	Baseline (information) source
SEA Topic cover	ed by objectives:	Material assets		•	1	•	•
14. Use natural resources and energy more efficiently.	Resource consumption and climate change	Maintaining Herefordshire Council's County Site and Monuments Register.	Countywide data would be too large to incorporate into this template. Whilst there is no qualitative, locally specific data available at present, there is one scheduled monument in Staunton-on-Wye and District, with a further two SAMs on the border of the Parish, according to the latest version of the register.	-	No specific targets identified, but need to ensure that the register is kept up to date.	Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations.	Herefordshire Environmental Records Register (search April 2014).

SEA Scoping: Task A2 (Staunton-on-Wye & District Group) (May 2014)

SA Objective ¹	SA Theme	Proposed indicator	Current status	Trends	Targets	Issues and constraints	Baseline (information) source
14. Use natural resources and energy more efficiently.	Resource consumption and climate change	Monitoring changes to historic landscapes.	Historic Landscape Character assessments have only been undertaken for Hereford City. Rapid townscape Assessments (2010) were only undertaken for Hereford, Ledbury and Ross.	-	No specific targets identified.	Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations.	Historic Landscape Character Assessment for Hereford. Rapid townscape Assessments (2010)
SEA Topic cover	ed by objective: Po	pulation, Biodivers	ity, Flora and Fauna				
15. Value, protect, enhance and restore the landscape quality of Herefordshire, including its rural areas and open spaces.	Natural environment	Number of developments meeting and surpassing national design standards.	There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.	-	No specific targets identified.	Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations.	-

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council. Please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part.

SEA Scoping: Task A2 (Staunton-on-Wye & District Group) (May 2014)

SA Objective ¹	SA Theme	Proposed indicator	Current status	Trends	Targets	Issues and constraints	Baseline (information) source
15. Value, protect, enhance and restore the landscape quality of Herefordshire, including its rural areas and open spaces.	Natural environment	The need for, frequency and outcomes of planning enforcement investigations/ planning appeals concerning the aspects of local loss of heritage assets and locally important buildings particularly within a conservation area	Countywide data would be too large to incorporate into this template. There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning local loss of heritage assets and locally important buildings within Staunton-on- Wye at present. Brobury, Monnington-on- Wye and Letton do not have a conservation area.	No historic records of any planning enforcement action or appeals concerning locally important buildings within the conservation area.	To wherever possible improve upon or otherwise maintain current status.	Current status must be verified by Dvt Mgt and Enforcement Officers, but the NDP can help to avoid enforcement action and appeals during the plan period.	Council Dvt Mgt records (searched April 2014)
SEA Topic cover	ed by objective: C	limatic Factors		1			

SA Objective ¹	SA Theme	Proposed indicator	Current status	Trends	Targets	Issues and constraints	Baseline (information) source
16. Reduce Herefordshire's vulnerability to the impacts of climate change as well as its contribution to the problem.	Resource consumption and climate change.	Transport patronage by mode	% of Herefordshire residents who travel to work by: Car: 70.1% Foot: 14.7: Bicycle: 4.3% Bus: 2% Train: 0.8% Motorbike: 0.8% Taxi: 0.3% Other: 7% <i>There is no locally</i> <i>specific data</i> <i>available at present</i>	The number of people cycling or travelling by bus as the main form transport to get to work declined between 2001 and 2011 – across England and Wales there was little change in either. Walking or driving a car or van on the other hand increased.	To increase the take up of alternative modes to the private car.	There are a lack of transport options for many rural communities and therefore high car ownership and dependency – the last decade has seen a 15 per cent increase in household car ownership, although this is not reflected in traffic flows of recent years with volumes in Hereford City and wider county having decreased. The proportion of people working from home increased over the decade from 15 per cent in 2001 to 17 per cent in 2011.	2011 Census

SEA Scoping: Task A2 (Staunton-on-Wye & District Group) (May 2014)

SA Objective ¹	SA Theme	Proposed indicator	Current status	Trends	Targets	Issues and constraints	Baseline (information) source
16. Reduce Herefordshire's vulnerability to the impacts of climate change as well as its contribution to the problem.	Resource consumption and climate change.	Number of decentralised energy schemes granted permission.	There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.	-	To contribute towards the national target.	Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations.	-

SA Objective ¹	SA Theme	Proposed indicator	Current status	Trends	Targets	Issues and constraints	Baseline (information) source
16. Reduce Herefordshire's vulnerability to the impacts of climate change as well as its contribution to the problem.	Resource consumption and climate	Total CO2 emissions per capita	Latest figure dates back to 2010: 1.61 million tonnes (mtCO ²) There is no locally specific data available at present.	Between 2005 and 2010 Herefordshire's total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 7% and 8% respectively; while UK's total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 8% and 12% respectively within the same period. This trend hides an increase in emissions between 2009 and 2010 when total emissions in the county increased by 5% the same as across the UK (+5%).	To reduce the overall carbon emissions.	CO ² emissions produced are decreasing.	Understanding Herefordshire: An integrated needs assessment (June 2013).

SA Objective ¹	SA Theme	Proposed indicator	Current status	Trends	Targets	Issues and constraints	Baseline (information) source
SEA Topic cover	ed by objective: W	ater		<u></u>	<u></u>		
17. Reduce the risk of flooding and the resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the environment.	Natural environment	Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood defence grounds.	The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data. 2010/11: None There is no locally specific data available at present.	There have been no approvals contrary to EA advice since reporting began in 2004.	To have no applications permitted contrary to EA advice.	None identified.	Herefordshire Council AMR (2010/11)
SEA Topic cover	ed by objective: W	ater, air, soil, mater	rial assets	·			
18. Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental resources.	Natural environment	Agricultural land usage by quality. LA to monitor the number of hectares of the best and most versatile agricultural land (grades 3a and higher) lost to development.	Around the village of Staunton on Wye the soil classification is Grades 1 and 2. Around the remainder of the group parish it is mainly Grades 2 and 3.	-	Measure the number of hectares of best and most versatile soil lost through development.	Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations.	DEFRA 'Magic' website for land classification

SA Objective ¹	SA Theme	Proposed indicator	Current status	Trends	Targets	Issues and constraints	Baseline (information) source
18. Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental resources.	Natural environment	Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality and ecological quality as required by the Water Framework Directive	Latest figure dates back to 2005: 84% There is no locally specific data available at present.	Figure steadily improved before going into decline: Herefordshire 1999 85.9%, 2000 89.5%, 2001 92.2%, 2002 91.8%	To ensure that rivers meet their conservation objectives and do not fall below the required standard of quality.	None identified.	The State of Herefordshire Report (2007) Water Framework Directive (2000)
SEA Topic cover	ed by objective: So	bil					
19. Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use.	Built environment	Percentage of all new development completed on previously developed land.	2010/11: 67% 2011-13: 57% There is no locally specific data available at present.	Completions on PDL had risen to 71% by 2005.	To increase the number of homes built on PDL in line with the provisions of national planning policy.	The number of brownfield completions has fallen slightly in recent years, though this is probably the offshoot of tough market conditions.	Herefordshire Council AMR (2010/11) and (2011-2013)
19. Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use.	Built environment	Housing densities in urban and rural areas	There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.	-	No specific targets identified.	Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations.	-

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council. Please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part.

Page 12 of 14

SA Objective ¹	SA Theme	Proposed indicator	Current status	Trends	Targets	Issues and constraints	Baseline (information) source
19. Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use.	Built environment	Level of development in urban areas compared to rural.	There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present. This indicator would not be applicable to rural NDPs.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SEA Topic covere	ed by objective: Cu	Iltural heritage					
20. Value, protect and enhance the character and built quality of settlements and neighbourhoods and the county's heritage assets, including conservation areas, historic environment and cultural heritage.	Built environment	Number and percentage of listed buildings and Scheduled Ancient Monuments on Buildings at Risk Register (English Heritage).	There are numerous listed buildings within the parish and one SAM. The SAM is currently recorded in the Buildings at Risk Register, as generally satisfactory but with localised problems.	There were 70 heritage assets in Herefordshire that were considered to be at high risk and included in the Heritage at Risk Register 2014.	To maintain current status for the Listed Buildings, however management is required to prevent any further deterioration of the SAM. Promote opportunities to achieve the removal of heritage assets from the at risk register.	The SAMs is considered to be deteriorating at present, this is privately owned.	Buildings at Risk Register (English Heritage; search April 2014)

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council. Please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part.

SEA Scoping: Task A2 (Staunton-on-Wye & District Group) (May 2014)

SA Objective ¹	SA Theme	Proposed indicator	Current status	Trends	Targets	Issues and constraints	Baseline (information) source
20. Value, protect and enhance the character and built quality of settlements and neighbourhoods and the county's heritage assets, including conservation areas, historic environment and cultural heritage.	Built environment	The need for, frequency and outcomes of planning enforcement investigations/ planning appeals concerning aspects of local loss of heritage assets locally important buildings within the parish and particularly within a conservation area.	Countywide data would be too large to incorporate into this template. There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning local loss of heritage assets and locally important buildings within Staunton-on- Wye & District Group parish at present.	No historic records of any planning enforcement action or appeals concerning locally important buildings within the conservation area of Staunton-on- Wye.	To wherever possible improve upon or otherwise maintain current status	Current status must be verified by Dvt Mgt and Enforcement Officers, but the NDP can help to avoid enforcement action and appeals during the plan period.	Council Dvt Mgt records (searched April 2014)

Template A3 - Environmental issues identified from the Staunton-on-Wye & District Group baseline

These environmental issues are the same as most of those identified for the Herefordshire Core Strategy¹

SE	А Торіс	Environmental issue	SA objectives
4	0.5	High reliance upon the private car causing high levels of air pollution and in Hereford in particular	Objective 10
I	Air	Need to reduce carbon emissions by encouraging alternative modes of travel.	Objective 16
		Habitats and species of national, regional and local importance are under pressure from the adaptation and diversification of farming and forestry employment.	
2	Biodiversity	Habitats and species of national, regional and local importance are under pressure from development	Objectives 13 &15
		Minimise loss of biodiversity and expand opportunities for wildlife everywhere.	
3	Climatic factors	Reduce greenhouse gas emissions through planning, design and build.	Objective 16
4	Cultural heritage	Staunton-on-Wye & District Group has one Scheduled Ancient Monument and numerous listed buildings, all of which require ongoing protection and many in need of high levels of maintenance.	Objective 20
5	Flora and fauna	Conserve and enhance the character and quality of historic landscapes, including all types of natural flora and fauna.	Objective 15
6	Material assets	How the countryside can continue to be managed in an economically, socially and environmentally beneficial way in the face of continuing pressures on traditional farming.	Objectives 14 & 18
7	Population	Minimise energy waste through good designs, which help to reduce energy consumption and maximise efficiency.	Objective 15
1	Fupulation	Need to avoid enforcement investigations/action concerning locally important buildings and those within conservation areas in particular.	
8	Soil	Promoting development of previously developed land and buildings as opposed to greenfield sites or agricultural land of the highest quality.	Objectives 18 & 19

¹ Derived from the Pre-submission Core Strategy Sustainability Appraisal Assessment (May 2014) and LDF General Scoping Report (June 2007)

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council. Please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part. Page 1 of 1

9	Water	Issues relating to availability of resources, foul drainage, pollution, and abstraction in a county which supports water dependent biodiversity of international and national importance, given the predicted climate change consequences for water availability and demanding projections for new housing.	Objectives 17 & 18
		Steady decline in the chemical quality of rivers over the last 10 years.	

Template A4: SEA Framework

SEA Scoping Stage A, Task A4 - SEA Framework SEA Objectives, Indicators, Targets

Parish Council Name: Staunton on Wye

Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Staunton on Wye Plan

Date completed: June 2014

SEA Topics	SA Objective	SEA Objective	Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site)	Indicators	Targets
Nature Conservation (Biodiversity, flora and fauna)	Value, maintain, restore or expand county biodiversity. Value, protect, enhance or restore the landscape quality of Herefordshire, including its rural areas and open spaces.	To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	 Protect or enhance habitats of international, national, regional or local importance. Protect international, national, regional or locally important terrestrial or aquatic species. Maintain wildlife corridors and minimise fragmentation of ecological areas and green spaces. Manage access to sites in a sustainable way that protects or enhances their nature conservation value. Create new appropriate habitats. Value, enhance and protect natural environmental assets including AONB's, historic 	Net change in condition of SSSIs across Herefordshire. After use of mineral sites especially wildlife habitat creation Changes to protected habitats and impacts of species within the Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan.	% of SSSI land in favourable condition (Increase) % of SSSI land in unfavourable condition but recovering (Increase) % of SSSI land in unfavourable condition and declining (Decrease) Percentage of opportunities taken To protect and where possible enhance the habitats of protected species identified. No net losses

SEA Topics	SA Objective	SEA Objective	Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site)	Indicators	Targets
			landscapes, open spaces, parks and gardens and their settings		
			Encourage local stewardship of local environments, for example by promoting best practices in agricultural management		
			 Ensure that environmental impacts caused by mineral operations and the transport of minerals are minimised. 		
			 Promote the use of rural areas and open space by all, encourage easy non- car based access, and accommodate the needs of disabled users. 		
Landscape	Not covered in SA	To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	 Protect and enhance the landscape everywhere and particularly in designated areas 	Changes in the areas of designated nature conservation sites as a consequence of planning permission.	To capitalise on opportunities to enhance the areas of value to nature conservation as much as possible.
		To improve quality of surroundings	 Value and protect diversity and local distinctiveness 	Proportion of local sites where positive conservation	No specific targets identified.
			 Improve landscape and ecological quality and character of the 	management has or is being implemented.	
			countryside Improve the quantity and	Monitoring changes to historic landscapes.	No specific targets identified.
			quality of publicly accessible open space	Area resulting in a loss of open space as a result of planning	No specific targets identified
			 Improve satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods as places to live 	permission	

SEA Topics	SA Objective	SEA Objective	Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site…)	Indicators	Targets
			 Decrease litter and graffiti in towns and countryside Result in the loss of open space 		
Heritage	Value, protect or enhance the character and built quality of settlements and neighbourhoods and the county's heritage assets, historic environment and cultural heritage.	To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment , heritage assets and culture heritage	 Preserve, protect and enhance heritage assets including conservation areas, listed buildings, archaeological sites and other culturally important features in both urban and rural settings. Prevent development which is inappropriate in scale, form or design to its setting or to its function or local area. Encourage development that creates and sustains well-designed, high quality built environments that incorporate green space, encourage biodiversity and promote local distinctiveness and sense of place. Encourage cleanliness and/or improve the general appearance of the area. 	The need for, frequency and outcomes of planning enforcement investigations/ planning appeals concerning the aspects of local loss of locally important buildings within a conservation area. Number and percentage of listed buildings and Scheduled Ancient Monuments on Buildings at Risk Register (English Heritage). Ensure that Herefordshire Council's Sites and Monuments Register is kept up to date.	To improve upon or otherwise maintain current status. To improve upon or otherwise maintain current status. No specific targets identified, but need to ensure that the register is kept up to date.

SEA Topics	SA Objective	SEA Objective	Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site…)	Indicators	Targets
Air and Climate	Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental resources. Reduce Herefordshire's vulnerability to the impacts of climate change as well as its contribution to the problem.	To improve air quality To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment To reduce contributions to climate change To reduce vulnerability to climate change	 Minimise water, air, soil, groundwater, noise and light pollution from current activities and the potential for such pollution. Protect or enhance the quality of watercourses. Provide opportunities to improve soil quality or reduce contaminated land. Reduce the county's contribution to climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions from transport, domestic, commercial and industrial sources. Increase the proportion of energy generated from renewable and low carbon sources including by microgeneration, Combined Heat and Power (CHP), district heating and in transportation. 	Transport patronage by mode Number of decentralised energy schemes granted permission. Total CO2 emissions per capita	To increase the take up of alternative modes to the private car. To contribute towards the national target. To reduce the overall carbon emissions.
Water	Reduce the risk of flooding and the resulting detriment to public well-	To improve water quality To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	Reduce flood risk both presently and taking into account climate change.	Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood defence grounds.	To have no applications permitted contrary to EA advice.

SEA Topics	SA Objective	SEA Objective	Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site…)	Indicators	Targets
	being, the economy and the environment. Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental resources.	To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	 Prevent inappropriate development of the floodplain, and include flood protection systems. Include sustainable urban drainage systems where appropriate. Minimise water, air, soil, groundwater, noise and light pollution from current activities and the potential for such pollution. Protect or enhance the quality of watercourses. 	Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality and ecological quality Phosphate levels within the River Wye SAC and adjoining tributaries that receive increased phosphates from proportional growth.	To ensure that rivers meet their conservation objectives and do not fall below the required standard of quality as set out in the Water Framework Directive. To meet the targets set out in the Nutrient Management Plan (2014)
Soil	Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental resources. Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use.	To conserve soil resources and quality Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use.	 Minimise water, air, soil, groundwater, noise and light pollution from current activities and the potential for such pollution. Provide opportunities to improve soil quality or reduce contaminated land. Ensure new developments are in appropriate locations, optimising the use of previously developed land and buildings, primarily focussed on the urban areas and are accessible by walking, cycling or sustainable transport and/or 	Percentage of all new development completed on previously developed land. Amount of land identified as best and most versatile agricultural land lost to development.	To increase the number of homes built on PDL in line with the provisions of national planning policy. Measure the number of hectares of best and most versatile soil lost through development.

SEA Topics	SA Objective	SEA Objective	Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site…)	Indicators	Targets
			will increase the share of these transport modes, thereby reducing the need to travel.		
Population and Human Health	Value, protect, enhance or restore the landscape quality of Herefordshire, including its rural areas and open spaces.	To improve health of the population To reduce crime and nuisance	 Value, enhance and protect natural environmental assets including AONB's, historic landscapes, open spaces, parks and gardens and their settings. 	Number of developments meeting and surpassing national design	No specific targets identified.
			Encourage local stewardship of local environments, for example by promoting best practices in agricultural management.		
			• Ensure that environmental impacts caused by mineral operations and the transport of minerals are minimised.		
			 Promote the use of rural areas and open space by all, encourage easy non- car based access, and accommodate the needs of disabled users. 		
Material Assets	Use natural resources and energy more	To conserve natural and manmade resources	Maximise energy efficiency and minimise the consumption of non-	The need for, frequency and outcomes of planning enforcement investigations/ planning appeals concerning the aspects of local loss	Measure the number of hectares of best and most versatile soil lost through development.

SEA Topics	SA Objective	SEA Objective	Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site)	Indicators	Targets
	efficiently.		renewable energy i.e. from fossil fuels.	of locally important buildings within a conservation area.	No specific targets identified.
	Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental		 Minimise the consumption of water, land, soil, minerals, aggregates and other raw materials by all? E.g. through integrated transport, 	Maintaining Herefordshire Council's County Site and Monuments Register.	No specific targets identified, but need to ensure that the register is kept up to date.
	resources.		sustainable resource-efficient design, local sourcing of food, goods, materials.	Monitoring changes to historic landscapes.	No specific targets identified.
			 Encourage the re- use/enhancement (to high standards of sustainable resource-efficient design) of existing buildings and minimise the need for new build. Encourage the use of clean technologies and water minimisation techniques. 	Agricultural land usage by quality	Measure the number of hectares of best and most versatile soil lost through development.

Appendix 3



WEST MIDLANDS REGION

Neighbourhood Planning Team Herefordshire Council Planning Services PO Box 230 Blueschool House Blueschool Street Hereford HRI 2ZB. Our ref: Your ref:

Telephone0121 625 6887Fax0121 625 6820

15 August 2014

Dear Sir or Madam

CONSULTATION ON SEA SCOPING REPORTS FOR NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANS IN:

(Bartestree & Lugwardine; Breinton; Brimfield little Hereford; Callow & Haywood; Dorstone; Eardisley; Lyonshall; Peterchurch; Staunton on Wye; Stretton Sugwas; Sutton St. Nicholas; Wellington; Whitbourne; Withington).

Thank you for your e-mails and the invitation to comment on the SEA Scoping Reports for the Neighbourhood Plans listed above. We have no substantive objection to the contents of the documents but have the following comments and recommendations which we urge you to consider before finalizing the reports.

Firstly, as regards terminology there appears to be very little reference made to "heritage assets" generally which leaves a perhaps undue emphasis upon designated assets such as conservation areas, listed buildings and SAMs. This is at variance with the Government's objective, expressed as a core planning principle in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) to "conserve heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance, so that they can be enjoyed for their contribution to the quality of life of this and future generations". No distinction is made here between designated and undesignated assets. The accompanying Planning Practice Guidance also states (inter alia) that local planning authorities should identify specific opportunities within their area for the conservation and enhancement of heritage assets and equally this applies to all such assets.

We strongly encourage you, therefore, to weave the term "heritage assets" into the SEA templates wherever reference is made to the cultural heritage. It is difficult to be more specific as there is a degree of variation in the template content across the range of

Neighbourhood Plans covered, presumably due to differing authorships. Nevertheless in English Heritage's view some templates are more successful at reflecting historic environment concerns than others. In this regard we would particularly endorse the approach taken in relation to Dorstone, Breinton, Stretton Sugwas, Peterchurch, Brimfield and Whitbourne and suggest similar wording is applied to the other NP templates. That said, however, we would also suggest slight rewording and additions to those preferred templates.

Taking the Dorstone "Task 4" template (page 3 of 7) and the Cultural heritage SA Objective as an example, we would suggest "Value protect and enhance the character and built quality of settlements and neighbourhoods and the county's *heritage assets*, historic environment and cultural heritage". Similarly under Sub-objectives consider "Preserve, protect and enhance *heritage assets*, including Conservation Areas......" Under Indicators please consider ".....aspects of local loss of *heritage assets and* locally important buildings across the Parish *and particularly* within a conservation area. Under Targets we would suggest "To wherever possible improve upon or otherwise maintain current status...". This reflects (inter alia) the repeated statement for each Parish under Environmental Issues that many listed buildings are in need of high levels of maintenance and there may eg be the potential for grant schemes. In the same vein, where applicable (eg Lyonshall) it should we believe be a target to "Promote opportunities to achieve the removal of heritage assets from the *At Risk Register*".

A further Indicator and Target that features in some templates (eg Staunton on Wye, Brimfield and Withington and we suggest should apply to all is *"Maintaining Herefordshire Council's Sites and Monuments Register"* with a target of *"Ensure that the Herefordshire Council Sites and Monuments Register is kept up to date"*. It should in fact be possible to use the Register as an effective monitoring tool in relation to the changing status of heritage assets and the effectiveness of planning tools in achieving their conservation and enhancement. We would strongly suggest that you hold detailed discussions in this respect with your own Council historic environment specialists in order to achieve an effective indicator for the wider historic environment beyond designated heritage assets.

A final minor comment is that there are apparently stray references to "Huntingdon and Broomy Hill" that appear in the templates for Breinton and Stretton Sugwas and presumably these need editing out.

I hope you find this advice helpful.

Yours faithfully

Pete Boland Historic Places Adviser E-mail: peter.boland@english-heritage.org.uk

Date: 23 July 2014 Our ref: 126876 Your ref: None

James Latham Technical Support Officer Neighbourhood Planning, Strategic Planning & Conservation teams Herefordshire Council

BY EMAIL ONLY neighbourhoodplanning@herefordshire.gov.uk



Customer Services Hornbeam House Crewe Business Park Electra Way Crewe Cheshire CW1 6GJ

T 0300 060 3900

Dear Mr Latham

Staunton-on-Wye Neighbourhood Area Neighbourhood Plan Strategic Environmental Assessment Scoping and Habitat Regulations Assessment Screening

Thank you for your consultation on the above dated 20 June 2014 which was received by Natural England on the same date.

Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.

Where Neighbourhood Plans could have significant environmental effects, they may require a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) under the Environment Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004.

Further guidance on deciding whether the proposals are likely to have significant environmental effects and the requirements for consulting Natural England on SEA are set out in the National Planning Practice Guidance at:

http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/strategic-environmental-assessmentand-sustainability-appraisal/does-a-neighbourhood-plan-require-a-sustainability-appraisal/

We welcome the production of this SEA Scoping report. The following comments are intended to further improve the SEA and its usefulness in assessing the Neighbourhood Plan.

Appendix A1 – Plans, policies and programmes

In addition to the plans, policies and programmes listed, we suggest that the following are relevant and should be added:

- The EC Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010
- The EC Water Framework Directive 2000
- The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981
- The Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000
- The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006
- Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan



Page 1 of 3

Appendix A2 – Baseline information for Staunton-on-Wye

Biodiversity, flora and fauna

Against the proposed indicator *"Net change in condition of SSSIs across Herefordshire"*, Natural England is able to provide up to date information on the condition of SSSI's. Please contact us for this information as and when required.

Under the proposed indicator *"Changes to protected habitats and impacts of species within the Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan"*, we note that data will be sourced from the 2014 Annual Monitoring Report. In addition, maps of priority habitats and species are available on *Magic*, Defra's GIS package for environmental assets (www.natureonthemap.naturalengland.org.uk).

According to our internal data there is are areas of coastal and floodplain grazing marsh, traditional orchard, lowland meadows and deciduous woodland in Staunton-on-Wye CP. We would welcome it if these priority habitats were recognised in the SEA, with information provided in the baseline information and targets included for their protection and enhancement (NPPF paragraph 117).

Population, biodiversity, flora and fauna

Baseline information on the landscape and open spaces needs to be included under SA objective 15: *"Value, protect, enhance and restore the landscape quality of Herefordshire, including its rural areas and open spaces"*. Reference could be made to the county Landscape Character Assessment and Landscape Characterisation studies including Historic Landscape Characterisation if this has been carried out.

Water, air, soil and material assets

This section (or suitable alternative) should include information on geodiversity. The baseline and assessment should make reference to geological conservation and the need to conserve, interpret and manage geological sites and features, both in the wider environment and in relation to designated features. The Herefordshire & Worcestershire Earth Heritage Trust may be of assistance.

The proposed indicator *"Agricultural land usage by quality"* has no countywide data identified. Agricultural land classification maps are available via Magic (website above). We suggest as an indicator that the Local Planning Authority could monitor and report on the number of hectares of best and most versatile agricultural land (grades 3a and higher) lost to development. This indicator might be more appropriately included under the SEA topic *"Soil"*.

The indicator *"Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality"* should also refer to ecological quality. Reference could be made to the Water Framework Directive.

Soil

We note that the best and most versatile agricultural land has not been considered. We suggest including an indicator to monitor the hectares of the best and most versatile agricultural land lost to development.

Appendix A3 – Environmental issues identified from the Staunton-on-Wye baseline

We note that soil and geodiversity are not covered. These environmental issues need to be added to the SEA baseline information, so that environmental issues can be identified and significant impacts on these aspects of the environment can be given appropriate consideration.



Page 2 of 3

Under the SEA topic "Biodiversity", we suggest that development should be noted as an additional pressure.

Appendix A4 – SEA framework (objectives, indicators and targets)

Under the SEA topic "Nature Conservation (Biodiversity, flora and fauna)", we would welcome the inclusion of an indicator/target around the impact/benefit to ecological networks (NPPF paragraph 109, 113 and 117). We note that no targets have been identified against the indicator "After use of mineral sites especially wildlife habitat creation"; we suggest that perhaps the percentage of opportunities taken could be monitored.

Under the SEA topic *"Landscape"* we suggest that reference could be made to the county Landscape Character Assessment and Landscape Characterisation studies including Historic Landscape Characterisation if this has been carried out. Applications resulting in the loss of open space could be monitored.

Under the SEA topic *"Water"*, the indicator *"Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality"* should also refer to ecological quality. Reference could be made to the Water Framework Directive.

Under the SEA topic "Soil", the second objective listed looks to be incomplete. Additional indicators or targets could be included around hectares of the best and most versatile agricultural land lost to development.

Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening

We note the recommendation that a full Habitat Regulations Assessment Screening is undertaken due to proximity to the River Wye Special Area of Conservation (SAC).

We would be happy to comment further should the need arise but if in the meantime you have any queries please do not hesitate to contact us.

For any queries relating to the specific advice in this letter <u>only</u> please contact Hayley Fleming on 0300 060 1594. For any new consultations, or to provide further information on this consultation please send your correspondences to <u>consultations@naturalengland.org.uk</u>.

We really value your feedback to help us improve the service we offer. We have attached a feedback form to this letter and welcome any comments you might have about our service.

Yours sincerely

Hayley Fleming South Mercia area team



Page 3 of 3

Appendix 3a

Date: 19 December 2014 Our ref: 136558 Your ref: Staunton-on-Wye Group

The Clerk to Staunton-on-Wye Parish Council, Mr G Valentine Swan Cottage Letton, Hereford HR3 6DH NATURAL ENGLAND

Customer Services Hornbeam House Crewe Business Park Electra Way Crewe Cheshire CW1 6GJ

T 0300 060 3900

BY EMAIL ONLY

Dear Mr Valentine

Re: Pre-submission Consultation and Publicity. Staunton-on-Wye Neighbourhood Development Plan

Thank you for your consultation on the above dated and received by Natural England on 06 November 2014.

Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.

THE CONSERVATION OF HABITATS AND SPECIES REGULATIONS 2010 (AS AMENDED) (HABITATS REGULATIONS) WILDLIFE AND COUNTRYSIDE ACT 1981 (AS AMENDED)

Draft Staunton-on-Wye Neighbourhood Development Plan 2014-2031

Designated sites

The introduction mentions the River Wye Special Area of Conservation (SAC) which is also a Site of Special Scientific Interest (<u>SSSI</u>). The Plan area also includes Monnington Scar SSSI (a geological SSSI) and this should be acknowledged in the Plan.

Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA) and the Neighbourhood Development Plan The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012, provide for Neighbourhood Plans to be screened for Likely Significant Effects (as the first stage of Habitat Regulation Assessment) and proceed if there are none. However if there were LSE, then they fall foul of the new Regulation 78A added to the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regs 2010. The explanatory Memo that covers this is as follows:

7.14 Schedule 2 makes provision for neighbourhood planning in relation to the Habitats Directive. The Directive requires that any plan or project likely to have a significant effect on a European site must be subject to an appropriate assessment. <u>To achieve this, paragraph 1 prescribes a basic</u> condition that a neighbourhood development plan can only be made if it is not likely to have a significant effect on a European site or a European offshore marine site. Paragraphs 2 to 5 of the Schedule amend the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 so as to apply its provisions to neighbourhood development orders and neighbourhood development plans. In particular paragraph 4 inserts new Regulation 78A which provides that a neighbourhood



Page 1 of 3

development order may not grant planning permission for development which is likely to have a significant effect on a European site or a European offshore site.

Potential effects to SAC (taken from screening assessment)

Deterioration in water quality

We agree with the conclusion of the Habitat Regulation Assessment that due to the scale of development proposed any effects on water quality will be de-minimis.

Disturbance to otters

To prevent disturbance to otters, the Local Plan HRA advised no development should take place within 100m of the River Wye SAC. We advise that this must be carried through to this Neighbourhood Plan (NP) as detailed below.

To ensure that the is no likely significant effect (LSE) to the River Wye SAC from the Neighbourhood Plan, the policies within the plan need to be strengthened, otherwise the Neighbourhood Plan will not be able to proceed until the Local Plan- Core Strategy is adopted. We therefore advise an additional environmental policy must be included in the Plan which specifically prevents any LSE and protects and enhances the European site, specifically excluding development within 100m of the SAC .This policy should be cross referenced to policies 3,4 B2,B3,B4 and B5

Green infrastructure

We note that the plan has not allocated any sites, but the majority of policies relate to new development (housing and commercial), we would advise adding in a policy or expanding SOWC2-Retention and Extension of Recreational and Community Facilities to include <u>green infrastructure</u>, to protect existing green infrastructure within the boundary of the plan area and to promote creation of new green infrastructure if new development proposals come forward. Including traditional orchards around the village of Staunton and other environmental assets in the area to preserve the existing eco-system network.

Multi-functional green infrastructure is important to underpin the overall sustainability of a development by performing a range of functions including flood risk management, the provision of accessible green space, climate change adaptation and supporting biodiversity. An example of a green infrastructure provision is sustainable drainage systems. These can deliver benefits for people and for wildlife and make a valuable contribution to the local green infrastructure network. Actions such as re-naturalising watercourses can also bring multifunctional benefits, including benefiting flood attenuation.

You may find it helpful to refer to the Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Study (2010).

The Neighbourhood Plan area includes a number of priority habitats and Ancient Woodlands, we advise that the new Environmental Assets Policy should also protect and where appropriate enhance these assets.

Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) Report

Section 6 Identification of other plans and projects which may have 'in-combination'

effects

In Section 6, it appears the bases for the conclusion that there will be no likely significant effects in combination with the Core Strategy across Herefordshire, is that in combination effects have been ruled out because the neighbour plan is in line with the Core Strategy. The Core Strategy has not been adopted and therefore can not be used as evidence that there will be no likely significance of effect.

8 Conclusions from the Screening Matrix

8.1. This section states that part of the bases for the conclusion of no likely significant effect on the



Page 2 of 3

River Wye SAC is Herefordshire Core Strategy (Appendix to within the HRA). As stated earlier, as the Core Strategy is not adopted and may change at examination it can not be relied upon as evidence that there will be no likely significance effect. The NP's HRA may however use the evidence supporting the Core Strategy's HRA to draw conclusions as to whether the policies in the plan will have significant effects on the River Wye SAC.

Considering the likely effects of the Plan on the River Wye SAC and the limited development proposed, providing policy wording is altered to prevent development within 100m of the SAC we agree with the screening statement. It is unlikely to significantly affect the site alone. However this will require confirmation within the revised HRA. Furthermore we advise you to consider the emerging Nutrient Management Plan as this addresses in combination issues at a strategic level.

Draft Eardisley Environment Report

Natural England welcomes the production of an Environmental Report. Having reviewed the report Natural England confirms that it meets the requirements of the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) European Directive and national regulations, and that we concur with its conclusions.

We would be happy to comment further should the need arise but if in the meantime you have any queries please do not hesitate to contact us.

For any queries relating to the specific advice in this letter <u>only</u> please contact Gillian Driver on 0300 060 4335. For any new consultations, or to provide further information on this consultation please send your correspondences to <u>consultations@naturalengland.org.uk</u>.

We really value your feedback to help us improve the service we offer. We have attached a feedback form to this letter and welcome any comments you might have about our service.

Yours sincerely

Gillian Driver

Miss Gillian Driver Planning Adviser South Mercia Team



Page 3 of 3

Appendix 4

	Parish Council Name:	NDP Name:	Date completed:	T		
Template B1: Compatibility Test		Staurton-on-Wye Neighbourhood Plan	26/06/2014			
Objectives verses SEA Objectives	SMART and Compatibility Test)			Introduction:		
SEA Stage B1		SMART criteria:	NDP objectives aboutd apecify what is intended to be done in detail and	-		
+ =	Compatible	5 - Scecific	should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations			
-	Possible conflict		It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited	The following matrix appraises the emerging Staunton-on-Wye NP Objectives in terms of their SMART criteria and their compatibility with the SEA Objectives. These have been developed from Government guidance on SEA and from the local evidence base		
0	Neutral	M - MERUTECH.	resource implications. NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale	conjuctives in terms of their SMART chains and their compatibility with the SEA Objectives. These have been developed		
Xu	No relationship between objectives	A – Attainable/achievable:	of growth proposed NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes.	gathered for identifying the NDP issues.		
		R - Realatic	chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes. Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-			
7 =	Unclear, more information needed	T - Time Round	frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should peeply when the target should be achieved.			
	I.	I - Ime-ocura	Tibechy when the terder and/or dis achieved.			
NDP Objectives	Objective 1: • To secure proportionate open market and intermediate housing focussed on Staunton-on -Wys village.	Objective 2: • To ensure that all development is sensitive to residential amenity and to the local environment.	Objective 3: • To protect and sustain social and rural service facilities and enable additional provision.	Objective 4: • To encourage and support local enterprise and the creation of job opportunities through appropriate economic development.	Objective 5: • To protect the existing open space provision and provide additional open space, recreational and sports facilities at appropriate locations.	Objective 6: - To involve local people on an ongoing basis in the process of plan-making and delivery of development.
SMART Test	NDP Objective 1 SMART Analysis: This objective meats all of the SMART criteria except specifying a time frame in which the objective will be archieved to time frame will show order the networks the objective will be a chicked to time frame will show order the networks the objective set.	NDP Objective 2 SMART Analysis: This objective is specific, and development is massurable if compared against SEA objectives. Is attainable, achieveable and realistic if implemented properly. Codd country time forms in sub-th the colorisms is to the antenant for the activity of the second statement of the second statement for the second time forms in sub-th the colorisms is to the activity of the second statement for the second statement of the second statement for the second statement of the second statement for the second statement of the second statement of the second statement for the second statement of the s	NDP Objective 3 SMART Analysis: Meets the criteria of being specific. The objective could specify how much idditional provisor should be provided in order to raike this objective measurable, attainable and achievable. Could specify a timeframe in which this tagge in the mast.	NDP Objective 4 SMART Analysis: This objective meets all of the SMART coloria except specifying a time frame in which the objective will be achieved.	NDP Objective 5 SMART Analysis: This objective meets all of the SMART criteria except specifying a time frame in which the objective will be achieved.	NDP Objective 6 SMART Analysis: This objective meets all of the SMART criteria.
NDP Objectives after SMART test SEA Objectives	Objective 1: To secure proportionate open market and intermediate housing focussed on Staunton on -Wee village within the plan period.	Objective 2: To ensure that all development is sensitive to residential amenity and to the local environment within the plan period.	Objective 3: To protect and sustain social and rural service facilities and enable additional provision. This should adhere to neighbourhood planning time frames	To encourage and support local enterprise and the creation of job opportunities through appropriate economic development within the plan period	Objective 5: To protect the existing open space provision and provide additional open space, recreational and sports facilities at appropriate locations With the plan period	Objective 6: To involve local people on an ongoing basis in the process of plan-making and delivery of development within the plan period
SEA Objectives						
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	0 There is a neutral compatibility between housing and nature conservation.	 This would comply with protecting and enhancing the natural antircoment. 	 Possible conflict-This may conflict with the objective, as additional service provision may have a detrimental effect on biodiverity and the natural environment. 	0 Neutral as local enterprise and job creation be compatible and incompatible with local bodiversity, depending on where the enterprise is based and name of bosiniess. Depending on buliness it could enhance flore, fauna and conserve the natural environment.	 Compatible as protecting open space provison and adding space may have a positive impact on nature conservation and biodiversity. 	Compatible -Local people may have perticular local involvedge of how to conserve and enhance local biodiversity flore and fauna.
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	There is a compatible relationship between quality of landscape and town scape in proportionals growth housing.	 This would comply with maintaing and enhancing quality of landscape and townscape through sensive building and landscape design. 	Compatible as services to rural areas bring vitality and help to austein an area.	0 Neutral it new business or development could have an impact on landscape and towncope. Depending on location and scale of the local enterprise.	This is compatible as protecting open space and adding extra space will enhance the quality of landscape.	Compatible-Involving local people in the process of plan making, make contencus descions to improve and maintain the finest aspects of their own town.
To improve quality of surroundings	 There is a compatible relationship between quilatly of surroundings in relation to proportionate housing development. 	This would be compatible between the objective, as it takes into account the built and natural environment and it's suitability and quality of its surroundings.	Link between quality of surroundings and protect and sustain rural services may improve the quality of surroundings through bringing vitality to areas.	O Neutral -Boosting local enterprises could improve the quality of sumcondings. More jobs and investment in an area could improve the quality of the environment.	Compatible, recreation and open space may help to increase the quality of the environment depending on how it relates to the existing built form and how the open space is facilitated.	 Competible-Inclusion of local people will wish that the quality of their surrounding will be the best they can be.
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage	0 Nautai- New development may conflict with historic environment and cubrait heritage if designed in a particular way. However hexing design cubit also artifaces elementars of the historic environment around.	This would be compatible as if development is aimed to be sensitive to residential amenity it should enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage if appropriate.	0-Neural-Depands on location and type of rural service facility. There is potential to conserve and protect, however this depands on how additional provision of facilities are being developed.	Nutral -This depends on the type and location of a business and how the design enages with its surroundings.	0 This has a neuroil reliationship as open space may enhance the historic environment and support local heritage depending on how and where space is provided.	0 Naussi-Deciding on what to conserve and preserve may be subjective. However local appendies on local biatoric environment and cuture may be benifical to this SEA objective.
To improve air quality	0 Neutral- New development could have a negative effect air quality through construction traffic and more cars added to the roads from new housien Houseant this is reamandent on lower this is mananed.	 If the development met this objective it may have a benifical impact with air quality and development. As it may be sensitive to the local environment. 	 Possible conflict-Additional service provision may have a negative involver on air scalabu 	 More congestion caused by job creation may have a detrimental effect on air quality; unless suistainable traffic management is considered. 	 Compatible as open space and provision for recreation grounds will improve air quality. 	O Neutral Local people in the plan making process have the potential to choose policies which effect air quality.
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	 Depending on who and how development is created, could have a negative or positive effect on the environment. 	0 Nautral- If the proposed development aims to be sensitive to its local environment, if the development is well considered it may have a mildly positive impact with traffic and congestion.	 Possible conflict-Additional service provision may maximise the inpact of traffic and congestion around particular areas. 	 Possible conflict-More jobs may increase traffic and congestion. 	0 Neutral - open space may or may help with air quality, but extra provison for recreasional space may increase traffic to the facility - atriacting more people to the site.	Neutral-Local people can decide if they wish to reduce the traffic impact within their policy making or not.
To reduce contributions to climate change	0 Neutral- Depends on how the development is, vaguely compatible.	0 Neutral- Development may have tenuous links to climate change with 'semiline' development. It depands on the design and how the development is constructed as well as where it is located.	 Possible conflict-Additional development may increase anvicemental impact due to traffic impact to new services. This may have a detimental effect on contributions to chimae change. 	O-Neutrol-Traffic conjection caused by more jobs may have a detrimental effect on climate change.	+ Open space and recreation may increase peoples activity	Neutrid-Local people in the plan making process have the potential to choose policies which could combute to the reduction of cimitae change. Merry policies directed to climate change will be at a national level as well as at a local level.
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	 Development may or may not conflict with policies. 	If development is sensitive to its local and natural environment it may have a positive contribution to climate change policies.	 Possible conflict: More services may have a negative environmental effect, increasing impact of environmental change. 	O Corgestion may potentially increase the impact of climate change but on a small scale.	 Compatible-Open space may help alleviate flood risk/ if it doubles up as a sustainable urban drainage system. 	O Neutral-Local people in the plan making process have the potential to choose policies which could combute to climate change. Therefore could go in any direction depending on opinions and ideats people believe in.
To improve water quality	O Neutral- Investment from development may wish to improve writer costific of an area.	Water quality may be improved through development which is series to the environment and local amenty.	 Compatible as Water quality may be improved through additional preastment to incollegations and amention. 	*O-Neutral-Depends on the type of enterprise-business and the effect it may have on water quality/ may have a negative or positive impact.	0 Neutral -Open space has minimal effect on water.	0 Neutriel-Local people in the plan making processs have the potential to choose policies which noods contribute to water quality installation. How we water choose a status in the process of the status and international level that may effect water quality.
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	 Addisonal development could provide sustanbale sources of water supply. 	O These objectives are generally compatible development may wish to other the unimple water campo within the monosoft development	 Compatible as additional services could potentially provide a sustainable source for water supply. 	0 -Neutral-Additional local enterprise and job creation, may have a neutral impact on suisitainable water supply. As industry may require access to water supply, but enterprise may provide a suistainable source for water.	0 Neutral-Open space may or may not provide sustainable sources for water supply.	O Neutral-Local people in the plan making process have the potentia to choose policies which could contribute to sustainale sources of water supply.
To avoid, reduce and manage Boost risk	 Additional development may contribute to flood management systems, if appropriate. 	O These objectivescould be considered as compatible if proposed readorment is within a flood risk and	0-Neuroi-Sustaining rural service and adding additional facilities may have an impact on the reduction of flood risk It depends how and where services are added and facilited may have a negative or mostion address on theori risk impact	O -Neutral-Depending on type of enterprise or business, business may provide solutions to flood risk management. It may not have any impact on flood risk at all.	+ Compatible-Open space may double up as a sustainable urban drainage system.	O Neutral-Local people in the plan making process have the option to include flood management However it is considered on a regional level as well as on a local level.
To conserve soil resources and quality	 Housing Development may effect soil quality. 	 These objectives are compatible, as sustainable development will consider soil conservation and conserving soil quality 	O Additional services may impact on soil quality.Depending on the jocation of additional services, may have a impact on the quality of soil.	O -Neutral-These objectives are neutral in compatibility because new business and enterprises have to comister intergrated and balanced land use to conserve soil resources and quality.	O Neutral-open space may be benifical or not to preserve soil quality/over usage may be detrimental to the soil quality.	Neutral-Local people in the plan making process have the option to include policies of conservation of soil and it quality.
To minimise the production of wante	 Housing development will have a negative effect on producing visate unless there is a form of weate management / disposal system in place. 	 Sensitive Development should minimise the production of waste in order to be considered containable. 	O Sevices could effect the level of vaste production, and could minise or invesses the level of waste newtortion	 Neutral-Deopends on type and nature of the business could have a negative or positive effect on waste production. 	X- Open space or recreation facilities should not have an effect on wate production. Additional recreation facilita may produce a extra amount of wate but would be de minima in principle.	O Neutral-Local people in the plan making process have the option to consider including policies in minimising waste production.
To improve health of the population		O Sensitive development should help to improve the health of the population but impact may be small	0 Additional service may or may not have a compatible interest / influence on the populations health.	O Job creation and higher levels of economic develop may increase the health and attitude of the local population.	 Compatible-space and recreation may increase peoples activity and improve general health of population. 	 Neutral-Including local community with planning descions may boost social awareness and community invivorment. This could help improve mental and social wellbeing.
To reduce crime and ruisance	0 Neutral- It is considered that there is no relationship between proportionate open market and intermediate housing and crime and naisance.	 These objectives are compatible as if there are more amenities provided for locals this may reduce crime and misance 	0 Provision of services are compatible to reducing crime and nuissance. Better and more facilities provided may preventitudace crime and nuisance.	 Compatible-Creating jobs and reducing crime are compatible. More jobs may help alleviate the orime and nulsance issues. 	Provision of additional open space and recreation grounds may help aliviate crime and nuisance. Recreational facilities for families, herragers may deter anti social behaviour.	0 No evidence if involving local community in planning will reduce or prevent crime and ruleance.
To conserve natural and mammade resources	 There is a possible clash and incompatability between building new homes and conserving ratural and marmade resources. 	 Sensitive approach to development may have a positive impact on conserving and preserving natural and marmade resources. 	0 May have an influence on conservation of natural and mammade resources. Depending on how additional services allocate resources and materials.	0 Local enterprise and job creation may or may not have relevance to the conservation of natural and mammade resources; it will depend on what type of job creation and enterprise are created.	 Competible-protecting netural and made made landscape by ensuring that open space is kept and additional open space added will help meet this SEA oriteria. 	0 Neutral-Depending if the community wishes to include policies to benefit conserve netural or mammade resources.
Conclusions	There is potential for housing to be non compatible with waters production and sold quality-threework it is all dependent on how new housing is developed. There is potential for small conflicts batevean new development and conserving resources. However if development considers the SEA dejectives thoroughly, the NDP objective shouldn't portification the SEA detactives.	The design, location and development methods of any new development will impact the SEA objectives. Therefore if all of these factors are well considered the should meat the SEA objectives.	Providing additional services have a potential to conflict with the SEA objectives. But additional development on services also depends on how and where have services are developed Additional services may conflict with SEA objectives. But services in solution and rund services in Bahr to have an oneal needed affect	Majority of the cases whether it meets SEA objectives or not depends on nature and type of business or enterprise. Location chocal enterprise and business another factor to consider within the SEA objectives	All of the NP objectives meet all of the SEA criteria. Protecting and adding open space and recreation prounds my have a positive impact on biodivenity and having presentation on landscape as well a potential accord influence on poculations lifestate	Athough plan making is based on evidence, it is up to the local people what thus decide goes in the plan or not. Therefore the policys' arises from subjectly, so majority of the SEA objectives will be neural within compatibility; as it depends on the views and opinions of the local community.
General conclusions across the plan objectives	Generally the NDP objective meets all of the SEA objectives and are neutral in comonibility	Overall the NDP objective on sensitive development to residential amenty and to the local environment is compatible to the SEA objectives.	Generally the protect and sustain social and rural service facilities and enable additional provision objective meets SEA objectives and are neutral in corrostibility on average.	Overall objectives are neutral in compatibility-job creation and subable economic development mests most of the ESA objectives. Quesensition of traffic and compasion from new jobs and economic growth may cause compasion and combulan to oblaine. The antion messause could be considered in relation to baraines and enterprise areau. E-1 isofable considered in relation to baraines and enterprise areau. E-1 isofable relations does not be an enterprise.	Generally the objectives meet all of the SEA offensis and are compatible. More open space and recreational facilities can help meet <u>SEA objectives if sushish farilitated</u> . Conserve existing landscape, enrove open spaces are well if at night	Majority of objectives are neutral in compatibility- as it is dependent on the opinions and attitudes of if the steering group/local community.Depending on how they would like to approach introl deal with SEA objectives through their melphouthood planning policies it could either be compatible or incompatible.
Recommendations	Ensure developers aim to meet SEA objectives.	Ensure developers aim to meet SEA objectives.	Ensure new services added to meet SEA objectives.	to pumpes and entrytise areas.1.2-facilitating attemative transport retrieve other than the network car	Conserve excerng landscape, ensure open spaces are well it at right and facilitated with nervessory services	The neighbourhood plan should aim to achieve SEA objectives.

Les	Staunton-on-Wye	Staunton-on-Wye NDP	07/10/2014																						
Move towards significantly	+ Move towards marginally	Move away significantly	 Move away marginally 	0 Neutral	? Uncertain	X No relationship]														
ions			Housing dev	velopment	1		Housing Location	I	Rural H	Housing			Employment	-		Agricultural/ horicult	ural diversification a dundant buildings	ind expansion to		Community Facili	ities		Design p	rinciples	
native Objective	Baseline-Current status (Carried forward from Stage A)	HD1-Unrestricted amount	t HD2-10 or fewer	HD3-5 or fewer	HD4-3 or fewer	HL1-infill between existing houses	HL2-No development sites only single developments allowed	HL3-Re use of redundant housing only.	RH1-Any building of new houses should be on brown field sites.	RH2-Any new houses should be clustered with existing buildings.	E1-Businesses should be allowed to operate wherever they like in the area.	E2-A specific site should be found for new business on brown field site.	E3-A specific site should be found for new business on a green field site.	E4-New site should be near the A438.	E5-In Staunton village.	A1-Conversion to residential use.	A2-Conversion to use as holiday lets.	A3-Use for non- agricultural businesses.	CF1-The existing recreational facilities Staunton should be protected from development.	in CF2-Additional recreational facilities are required	CF3-If you think that additional recreational facilities are needed please let us know what facilities you would like.	D1-No constraints on housing style premises.	D2-To meet design guidelines to blend in with existing buildings.	D3-Specific guidelines on the design and appearance of new business	lings to har il merit.
	The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated romanuface atta 2010/11.77% of Herebotablevic atta 2010/11.77% of Herebotablevic atta of the SSB are Review - structure atta of the SSB are Review - structure the structure atta of the SSB are review - structure processing and a structure the structure processing and a structure the structure atta of the SSB are review - structure the structure atta of the structure the structure atta of the structure data of the sSB are structure the structure atta of the structure data of the structure the structure the structure atta of the structure data of the structu																								
naintain and enhance nature conservation diversity, flora and fauna)	There are no outstanding enforcement actions or		+	+	+	0	0	0	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	?	?	-	+	+ +	
maintain and enhance the quality of idscapes and townscapes	I nere are no outstanding enforcement actions of appeals concerning locally important buildings within Stauton-on-Wye and Letton do not have a corservation area	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	+		+	-	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	?	-	+	+ +	
o improve quality of surroundings	In terms of Staunton-on-Wye itself, the study reveals that the play area is in poor condition and will require refurbishment. In addition there is currently no hectares of playing pitch area and no hectares of secured community access playing pitch area.	?	+	+	+	0	0	+	÷	÷	-	+	-	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	?	-	+	+ +	
conserve and where appropriate hance the historic environment and	Whilst there is no qualitative, locally specific data available at present, there is one scheduled monument in Staurton-on-Wye and District, with a further two SAMs on the border of the Parish, according to the latest version of the register.	×	v						_	Y			v	v	2		_								
ultural heritage fo improve air quality	according to the latest version of the register. Betteen 2005 and 2010 Herefordshifts' total and per capita catholic mission reduced by 7% and 8% respectively, while UK's total and per capita catholic mission induced by 8% and 72% respectively is improving. No of Heandochike catholics and while to soft by Cat. 70.1%, Floct 14.7_Bloydie. 43%, Bair 3%, Trains 0.6%, Mohatke 0.8%, Tak. 0.3%, Ohere	x -	0	0	0	+ ?	x	++	+ ?	x 0	?	x ?	x ?	× +	-	?	+	+ ?	+	? ?	?	x	+ x	+ + x x	
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Train: 0.8%, Motorbike: 0.8%, Taxi: 0.3%, Other: 7%. Herefordshire latest figure of C02 emissions per capita-dates lack to 2010: 1.61 million torups (miCOP) Reduce the risk of flocoling-There have been no approvals contrary to EA advice since reporting began in 2004. The 2011-2013 AMR does not	- ?	? X	? 0	+ 0	? 0	0 X	0	? +	+ ?	?	? +	? ?	+ ?	-	?	+ ?	- ?	? X	? X	? X	x x	x x	x x x x	
	approvals contrary to EA advice since reporting began in 2004. The 2011-2013 AMR does not routrals undeted measuration data Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality and ecological quality as required by the Water	?	?	?	?	0	x	0	+	?	?	+	?	?	?	?	?	?	x	x	x	x	x	x x	
improve water quality	Framework Directive.Latest figure dates back to 2005: 84%. Staunton Group parish falls into the Herefordshire Conjunctive Use Water	?	?	?	?	?	x	0	?	?	-	-	-	?	?	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x x	
o provide for sustainable sources of water supply	Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood defence	?	?	?	?	?	x	?	?	?	-	-	-	?	?	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x x	
avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	grounds. The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain uodated concervation data. Around the village of Stauriton on Wye the soil classification is Grades 1 and 2. Anound the remainder of the group partshi it is mainly Grades and 3. Percentage of all new development completed on previously developed land.2010/11: 67%.	?	?	?	?	?	x	+	+	+	?	?		?	?	?	?	?	?	x	?	?	?	??	
o conserve soil resources and quality o minimise the production of waste	2011-13: 57% No baseline data available	-	-	-	-	- ?	0	+	+ ?	+	- -	+	- ?	?	-	+	+ ?	+ ?	+	?	?	X ?	x +	x x	
nprove health of the population	No baseline data available	?	?	?	?	?	0	X	x	?	?	?	?	?	-	x	X	?	+	?	?	?	?	??	
duce crime and nuisance	No baseline data available There are numerous listed buildings within the	?	?	+	+	+	U	x	x	?	?	?	?	?	-	X	x	?	+	?	?	?	?	??	
conserve natural and manmade resources	parish and one SAM. The SAM is currently recorded in the Buildings at Rick Register, as generally satisfactory but with localised problems. There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning locally important buildings within Stauton-on-Wye & District Group parish at present.	-	-	?	-	-	0	+	0	+	-	+			-	+	+	+	+	?	?	?	+	+ +	
unmary in relation to baseline		Overall move away more marginally away from the SEA objective. As not regulating amount of busing may have an adverse effect on the environment.	relation to the SEA objectives	are neutral, as this development is quite small and should not have a significant effect on the	options in relation to SEA are neutral, as	development is developed in the confines of existing space in development already developed. However may have an impact on exisiting energy and	relation to the SEA	objectives is	Plan objectives is	Plan objectives is	Overall impact is move away from the SEA objectives. As new business may impact contributions to dimate on the location of a development.	Overall Impact is uncertain from the SEA objectives I depends on the location and scale of a development in order to access its environmental impact, and on be measured on a case by case basis. However also can be measured on a case by case basis, However also mode to a case and the source of the source of the effect on the soil, and reduction in climate change.	Overall the options in relation to the SE Adjectives move away from them. As building on the second second second second landscape, quality of environmen and biodiversity. This may also impact greenfield used for flood alleviation schemes, water run off areas.	Overall impact is uncertain to many of the SEA objectives. It may cut down congestion in home sources the second second proximity of the road, but this can be measured on a case by case basis-need of a development in order to access its impact.	Overall this policy options moves away from the BEA objective, as this policy is likely to increase congestion in the town center, this may have an inpact on air quely and biodiversity as well.	Overall this policy option moves towards the set of a building is quite sustainable, and improves the quality of the environment.	option moves marginally towards the SEA	option moves marginally towards the SEA objectives.Overall this policy aims to develop in a sustainable way, Reuse of existing	option moves marginally towards the SEA objectives.Overall this policy aims to develop in a sustainable way, Reuse of existing	way, Reuse of existing building cuts down on carbon emissons.However for additional facilities, theil environmental impact	e Overall this policy option is uncertain towards th SEA objectives.This depends on the communities idea of r what facilities they want and need within the community.	n option is uncertain e as allowing no constraints can leave it open to any design which	marginally towards.Overall this policy aims to develop in a sustainable way,and designing in keeping with the existing historic environment. Reuse of existing building cuts down on carbon emissons.	design new development in line with regulations, these guidelines and develop bu with approp architecture	y Overall this tion aims to ouildings opriate
iveral commontary and any initial ununulative effects		If housing development prestricted to a small number. The development is likely to have a significant impact on the environment.	new residential facilities will have an impact on the environment and	are neutral as new development will have an environmental impact on water	additional housing wihin the area is likely r, to have an impact on the	Overall impact is neutral as there is potential for climate change a detrimental impact on air quality and pollution by	individual development is likely to have a minimal	This policy aims to have a minimal effect on environment and	uncertain towards SEA objectives. It depends on the location and scale of a development in order to access its	objectives. It depends on	traffic levels and resource use.However	increase in traffic levels and recourse use However		on where and at what		effect on environment and landscape issues	Overall this policy option has a positive impact as it aims to have a minimal effect on environment and landscape issues.	Overall this policy option has a positive impact as aims to have a minimal effect on environment and landscape issues.	The impact is likely to be neutral/ positive, as this objective foucses on retaining exist facilities for the community.	on the loaction and scal of the additional facilities	 depends on the public / communities opinion of where and at what scale 	buildings and development may not to be sympathetic to the surrounding area. But this is uncertain, therefore should	t This policy option is likely to have a positive effect as it aims to design in a sustainable way and environmetally sensitive way.It is likely to increase	option is likely to have a positive effect as it aims to design in a sustainable way and environmetall	lesign, and y enhance
Preferred Option(s) (policies and sites) n relation to the SEA objectives			HD4-3 or	fewer	1	HL1-in	fill between existing f	l	RH2-Any new houses s existing	should be clustered with buildings.	•	E	4-New site should be near the A	438.	I	A3-Use for	non-agricultural busine	l	CF1-The exist	ing recreational facilities protected from develo	s in Staunton should be	D2-To meet o	l design guidelines to	blend in with existing buil	ildings.

82	Parish Council Name:	NOP Name:	Date completed:													
	Staurdon-on-Wye	Stauton-on-Wye NDP	04/09/2014	4	1			1		1	1	1	1			
+ + Move towards significantly	+ More towards marginally	Move away significantly	 Move away marginally 	ð Nieumai	9 Lincertain	X No missionship										
biectives and Policies																
Gernative	Bassline-Current status (Carried forward from Stage A)	Policy-SOWH 1-New Housing development- Stauston-on-Wys Village	Policy-SOWH3-New Housing or other Residential Accomadation in Staunton Conservation Area	Policy-SOWHS-Affordable Housing	Policy-SOWH4-Rural Exceptions Housing (Non affordable)	Policy-SOW B1-Retail Development	Policy SOWB2-Expansion of Existing (Non agricultural) Businesses	Policy SOWB3-Agricultural/Horicultural Diversification and Expansion	Policy-SOWB4-Poly turnel Development	Policy-SOWBS-Change of Use	Polcy SOWB6-New Business Enterprises	Policy SOWC1-Retention of existing Community Facilities	Policy SOWC3-Retention and Extension of Recreational and Community Facilities	Policy SOW D1-Change of Use (Design Principles)	Policy-SOWD3-New Building	Policy-SOWE-Development and Design Principle
EA Objective	The 2011 2012 ARE does not carrain updated assessments has 2007FF 27% of Herebotheters SSG2mod new its transmission control (MSMM- mann of the SSG2m SSM Pair - and-carrain mesoning Manning SSG SSG2 - transmission in the SSG2 and the SSG2 SSG2 SSG2 SSG2 applied communities that and the VSp SSC2 SSG2 SSG2 SSG2 SSG2 SSG2 SSG2 SSG2 SSG															
maintain and enhance nature conservation biodiversity, fiora and faunal		 Move away marginally 	 Move away marginally 	- Move away marginally	 Move away marginally 	- Move away marginally	· - Move away significantly	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	+ Move towards marginally	 Move away marginally 	0 Neutral	+ Move towards marginally	+ Move towards marginally	+ Move towards marginally	 Move towards maninally
fo maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and lownscapes	There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning locally important buildings within Stearston-on-Wye at present Biobury, Monnington-on-Wye and Letton do not have a conservation area	0 Neutral	+ Move towards marginally	+ Move towards marginally	0 Neutral	 Move away marginally 		'X No relationship	Move away marginally	0 Neutral	- Move away marginally	0 Neutral	+ Move towards marginally	+ + Move towards sigificantly		
	In serves of Staurtan-on-Wye Ited, the study reveals that the play area is in poor condition and will require enhabilithment in addition there is currently no hectames of playing picts area and no hectares of secured community events with respect to the secure of the	+ Move towards marginally			0 Minuted			· Nove away marginally				- N				
To improve quality of surroundings To conserve and where appropriate enhances the historic environment and column betterne	access playing obch www. White them is no-qualitative, locally specific data available at present, there is one schaduled monument in Staunton-on-Wye and District, with a further two SAMs on the border of the Parish, according to the latest unnion of the nodester.	Notical	Move towards marginally Move towards marginally	Move towards marginally Move towards marginally	V No relationshin	X No relationship	 Move away marginally Neutral 	* more amy marginally	 Move away marginally X No relationship 	2 Uncertain	2 Uncertain	0 Nextral	0 Netral	+ + Move towards sigificantly + + Move towards sigificantly		++ Move towards sigficantly ++ Move towards sigficantly
	Between 2005 and 2010 Hendinthhie's total and per capita cation emission reduced by 7% and 9% respectively, while UK's total and per capita cation emission reduced by 9% and 12% respectively within the													'Y No minformin		
To improve air quality	ame period. This supports that all quality is intervalen. % of Herelandhile residents who musel to work by Clar. 70.1%, Four. 14.7; Bicycler. 4.2%, Burr. 2%, Train: 0.0%, Matorbian: 0.0%, Taul: 0.2%, Offer: 7%.	Move away marginally Move away significantly	* Move away marginally	 Move away marginally Move away marginally 	Move away marginally Move away marginally	Move away marginally . Move away significantly	Move away significantly Move away significantly	Move away significantly Move away significantly	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	Move away marginally Move away significantly	D Neutral	"X No relationship	"X No relationship	Move away marginally Move towards marginally	Neutral Move away mandinally
Fo reduce contributions to climate change	Herefundshire lasest figure of CV2 emissions per capita-dates back to 2012 1.61 million tonnes (IntCOF)	- Move away marginally	'- Move away marginally	- Move away marginally	 Move away marginally 	 Move away marginally 	· · Move away significantly	- Move away significantly	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	5 Move away marginally	0 Neutral	'X No relationship	"X No relationship	+ Move towards marginally	+ Move towards marginally
To reduce valuerability to climate change	Raduce the risk of fooding-There have been to approvalls contrary to EA advice since reporting began in 2004 The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.	 Move away marginally 	- Move away marginally	 Move away marginally 	 Move away marginally 	 Move away marginally 	· • Move away significantly	5 - Move away significantly	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	- Move away marginally	0 Neutral	"X No relationship	"X No relationship	 Move away marginally 	+ Move towards marginally
To improve water quality	Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality and ecological quality as required by the Water Formework Directive Lawer Environ dates back to 2005; BHL. Sourcon Group parish talk into the Hendordthile Conjunctive Like Water	- Move away marginally	 Move away marginally 	- Move away marginally	 Move away marginally 	 Move away marginally 	 Move away marginally 	· · Move away significantly	0 Neutral	7 Uncertain	7 Uncertain	0 Neutral	' X No relationship	X No relationship	7 Uncertain	2 Uncertain
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	Resource Zones (WRZs), one of 6 zones covering Hendordshim. 39% of demand is from non-household use. Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice	- Move away marginally	 Move away marginally 	- Move away marginally	 Move away marginally 	 Move away marginally 	 Move away marginally 	- Move away significantly	0 Neutral	7 Uncertain	? Uncertain	0 Neutral	'X No relationship	'X No relationship	7 Uncertain	? Uncertain
fo avoid, reduce and manage flood tak	of the Environment Agency on flood delence-grounds. The 2011-2013 ABR does not contain updated conservation data. Around the village of Stauton on Wye the soil classification is Grades 1 and 2. Around the remainder of the group parish it is mainly Grades 2 and	7 Uncertain	7 Uhoettain	7 Uncertain	7 Uncertain	? Uncertain	7 Uncertain	7 Uncertain	? Uncertain	7 Uncertain	7 Uncertain	0 Neutral	'X No relationship	? Uncertain	7 Uncertain	7 Uncertain
To conserve soil resources and quality	 Percentage of all new development completed on previously developed land 2010/11: 67%. 2011-02. 67%. 	 Move away marginally 	- Move away marginally	- Move away marginally	 Move away marginally 	 Move away marginally 	0 Neutral	5 - Move away significantly	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	- Move away marginally	0 Neutral	? Uncertain	'X No relationship	- Move away marginally	0 Neutral
o minimise the production of waste	No haveline data available	- Move away marginally	'- Move away marginally	- Move away marginally	- Move away marginally	- Move away significantly	 Move away marginally 	7 Uncertain	? Uncertain	Move towards marginally	 Move away marginally 	0 Neutral	2 Uncertain	0 Neutral	 Move away marginally 	* Move towards marginally
to improve health of the population	No haneline data available	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	 Move away marginally 	> Move away marginally	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	7 Uncertain	"X No relationship	'X No relationship	"X No relationship	"X No relationship	X No relationship
To reduce crime and nuisance	No baseline data available	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	7 Uncertain	? Uncertain	0 Neutral	7 Uncertain	'X No relationship	'X No relationship	'X No relationship	'X No relationship	7 Uncertain
In roomana and sail and maximula server as	There are numerous limit buildings which the parks and one SAM. The SAM is currently encoded in the Buildings at Raik Registers, as generally assistancing but with localised problems. There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning locally important buildings with Statement-on-Wire & Direct closure outside a present.	· · Move away significantly	+ Move towards marginally	0 Notes	0 Novemi	· · Move away significantly	. Mrve away marrinally	Move away marginally	0. Novemi	+ + Move towards significantly	'. Mnue away maninaliy	7 Boosthin	0. Newtral	+ Move towards marginally	 Move away marginally 	+ Move towards marginally
o conserve natural and manmade resources		Overall move away more marginally to	 Move towards manpinally Overall the policies in relation to the SEA objectives is a mixture of move towards maminally and move asses 	 Nexifial Overall more move away marginally, elements of conservation and townscape quality is focused within this notice 	Overall the policies in relation to the SEA rhiertives move away maninally	Overall the policies in relation	Overall the policies in relation to the SEA objectives move away	Move away marginally Overall the policies in relation to the SEA objectives is move away classificantly Expansion of antigriculture may nep the	the	Overall the policies in relation to the		7 Uncertain Overall the policies in relation to the SEA objectives is neutral considering retaining use is generally sustainable, however it denote how they are used and deviational	D Neutral Overall the policies in relation to the SEA objectives is move away marginally. However this a very specific policy that has no significant relationship to many of the SEA inferences.	This a very specific policy that has	Overall this policy aims to build and develop in a austainable way, therefore maiority of the policies are	Majority is move marginally / significantly towards. Overall this policy aims to devel
Veral commutary and any initial communication effects		controls and policies are put in place to	New housing or new residential facilities will have an impact on the environment and is likely to	environmental impact on water,		Additional development such as retail is like to cause more traffic within the area, however may help stimulate and	climate change,air quality and	local economy but may have a neagtive impact on soil and water quality. New parking areas may help	This policy aims to have a minimal effect on environment	This should not have any major disruptions on the the environment. This objective aims to reuse redundant buildings, and aims to have a minimal	New business enterprises may have a negative impact on the environment, due to potential increase in traffic levels and	The impact is likely to be neutral, as this	As this policy is quite specific many of the SEA objectives have no direct relationship to the objective. Retaining existing facility may help to conserve building resources.	This has a potential to improve the quality of the environment through design, and potentially enhance the	This policy aims to be relatively	This policy will help to conserve listed buildings and meet help development to be sympather to the

Template B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Parish Council Name: Staunton on Wye

Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Staunton on Wye Neighbourhood Development Plan

Date completed: September 2014

Key:

NDP Policy- SOWH 1-New Housing development- Staunton-on-Wye Village

SEA Objective	cumulative e effect and ma	t of effect (cor ffects, significa agnitude of the three time perio	nce of the effect in	Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (11 years +)		
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To maintain and enhance the quality of	+ Move towards	+ Move towards	+ Move towards	This Policy is not over and	-

landscapes and townscapes	Marginally	Marginally	Marginally	above the Core Strategy.	
To improve quality of surroundings	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve air quality	- Move away marginally	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	- Move away marginally	- 0 Neutral	- 0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	More houses may have a gradual effect on amount of traffic within an area.
To reduce contributions to climate change	- Move away marginally	- 0 Neutral	- 0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	- Move away marginally	- 0 Neutral	- 0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To improve water quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to

					understand its effect.
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To conserve soil resources and quality	- Move away marginally	- Move away marginally	- 0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect. New development may have a detrimental effect on soil quality.
To minimise the production of waste	- Move away marginally	- Move away marginally	- 0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To improve health of the population	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce crime and nuisance	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To conserve natural and manmade	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to

resources				understand its effect.
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects	natural enviro	nment. Due to	ental impact on air quality, natural ional cars on the road. However im r plot.	

NDP Policy- SOWH2-New Housing or other Residential Accommodation in Staunton Conservation Area

SEA Objective	cumulative effect and material terms of the t	t of effect (con ifects, significa agnitude of the three time perio	nce of the effect in ods)	Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (11 years +)		
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve quality of surroundings	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-

To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve air quality	- Move away marginally	- Move away marginally	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Over time impact of traffic may have a negative effect on air quality.
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	- Move away marginally	- Move away marginally	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect. Over time more developments may increase the amount of traffic.
To reduce contributions to climate change	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To improve water quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.

To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To conserve soil resources and quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To minimise the production of waste	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To improve health of the population	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce crime and nuisance	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To conserve natural and manmade resources	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects				net on climate change. This policy nelp improve the quality of the bu	-

NDP Policy-SOWH3-Affordable Housing

SEA Objective	Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods)			Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (11 years +)	-	
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve quality of surroundings	++ Move towards significantly	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-

To improve air quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To reduce contributions to climate change	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve water quality	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To conserve soil resources and quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To minimise the production of waste	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and	Need to see proposed development to

				above the Core Strategy.	understand its effect.
To improve health of the population	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	
To reduce crime and nuisance	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To conserve natural and manmade resources	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects		•		te and traffic within the area. The second traffic within the area. The proposal is located and h	

NDP-Policy-SOWH4-Rural Exceptions Housing (Non affordable)

SEA Objective	Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods)			Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (11 years +)		

To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	- Move away marginally	- Move away marginally	- Move away marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve quality of surroundings	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve air quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To reduce contributions to climate change	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.

To reduce vulnerability to climate change	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve water quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To conserve soil resources and quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To minimise the production of waste	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To improve health of the population	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce crime and nuisance	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To conserve natural and manmade	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to

resources				understand its effect.
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects	•	•	ed on a case by case basis, t al housing will have on the en	

NDP-Policy- SOW B1-Retail Development

SEA Objective	Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods)Short termMediumLong term			Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	(1 – 5 years)	term (6 – 10 years)	(11 years +)		
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve quality of surroundings	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-

			Marginally		
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve air quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see traffic scheme/ plan to understand the effect.
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see traffic scheme/ plan to understand the effect.
To reduce contributions to climate change	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see traffic scheme/ plan to understand the effect
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve water quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to retail scheme to see if it has an effect.
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to retail scheme to see if it has an effect.
To avoid, reduce and	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and	Need to refer to retail scheme to see if it has

manage flood risk				above the Core Strategy.	an effect.
To conserve soil resources and quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to retail scheme to see if it has an effect.
To minimise the production of waste	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to retail scheme to see if it has an effect.
To improve health of the population	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to retail scheme to see if it has an effect.
To reduce crime and nuisance	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to retail scheme to see if it has an effect.
To conserve natural and manmade resources	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to retail scheme to see if it has an effect.
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects	assessment o environment. environment	of the effect be Additional par through greate	ing uncertain c king needed by r number of tra	heasured on a case by case basis f the impact small retail develop / the development may cause a ffic passing through the develop asures provided.	ment will have on the greater impact on the

NDP-Policy SOWB2-Expansion of Existing (Non agricultural) Businesses

SEA Objective	Assessment of effect (consider	Summary Explanation	Enhancement and
	cumulative effects, significance of the		mitigation
	effect and magnitude of the effect in		

	terms of the	three time peri	ods)		opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (11 years +)		
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve quality of surroundings	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve air quality	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see traffic scheme/ plan to understand its effect.

To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see traffic scheme/ plan to understand its effect.
To reduce contributions to climate change	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see traffic scheme/ plan to understand its effect.
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect.
To improve water quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect.
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect.
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect.
To conserve soil resources and quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect.
To minimise the production of waste	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect.

To improve health of the population	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-		
To reduce crime and nuisance	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-		
To conserve natural and manmade resources	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect.		
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects	The impact of development can be measured on a case by case basis, this leaves the assessment of the effect being uncertain of the impact of non-agricultural business will have on the environment.						

NDP-Policy SOWB3-Agricultural/ Horticultural Diversification and Expansion

SEA Objective	cumulative e effect and ma	t of effect (co ffects, signific agnitude of the three time per	ance of the e effect in	Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term	Medium	Long term		
	(1 – 5	term	(11 years +)		
	years)	(6 – 10			
		years)			

To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve quality of surroundings	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve air quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed expansion plan to understand its effect.
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed expansion plan to understand its effect.
To reduce contributions to climate change	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed expansion plan to understand its effect.

To reduce vulnerability to climate change	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed expansion plan to
					understand its effect.
To improve water quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed expansion plan to understand its effect.
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed expansion plan to understand its effect.
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve soil resources and quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed expansion plan to understand its effect.
To minimise the production of waste	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed expansion plan to understand its effect.
To improve health of the population	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed expansion plan to understand its effect.
To reduce crime and nuisance	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve natural and manmade	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-

resources						
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects	case basis, th	nis leaves the a	ssessment of	ersification and expansion he effect being uncertain have on the environment	of the agr	•

NDP-Policy-SOWB4-Poly tunnel Development

SEA Objective	Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods)			Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (11 years +)		
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve quality of surroundings	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-

To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve air quality	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce contributions to climate change	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve water quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to understand its effect.
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve soil resources and quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed development to

					understand its effect.
To minimise the production	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve health of the population	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce crime and nuisance	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve natural and manmade resources	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects		of the effect be	•	n be measured on a case by cas of the poly tunnel development of	

NDP-Policy-SOWB5-Change of Use

SEA Objective	cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods)			Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (11 years +)		

To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve quality of surroundings	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve air quality	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce contributions to climate change	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-

To reduce vulnerability to climate change	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve water quality	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see the change of use proposal to understand its effect.
To conserve soil resources and quality	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To minimise the production of waste	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve health of the population	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce crime and nuisance	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see the change of use proposal to understand its effect

To conserve natural and manmade resources	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects	•	se policy aims t ing and retain		nal impact on the environment and	helps conserve the

NDP-Policy SOWB6-New Business Enterprises

SEA Objective	cumulative ef effect and ma	e of effect (con fects, significa agnitude of the hree time perio	nce of the effect in	Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (11 years +)		
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business enterprise plan to understand its effect.
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-

To improve quality of surroundings	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve air quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to traffic scheme to understand the effect.
To reduce contributions to climate change	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect
To improve water quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect
To provide for sustainable sources of	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to

water supply					understand its effect
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect
To conserve soil resources and quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect
To minimise the production of waste	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect
To improve health of the population	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect
To reduce crime and nuisance	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve natural and manmade resources	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to see proposed business plan to understand its effect
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects	-		-	an be measured on a case by ca f the New Business Enterprises	

NDP-Policy SOWC1-Retention of existing Community Facilities

SEA Objective	Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods)			Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (11 years +)		
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve quality of surroundings	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve air quality	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No	This Policy is not over and	-

			relationship	above the Core Strategy.	
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce contributions to climate change	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve water quality	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve soil resources and quality	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To minimise the production of waste	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve health of the population	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce crime and	+ Move	+ Move	+ Move	This Policy is not over and	-

nuisance	towards Marginally	towards Marginally	towards Marginally	above the Core Strategy.	
To conserve natural and manmade resources	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects	wellbeing three		sion of social, p	have a positive impact on the pari physical activities and health faciliti ugh activity.	

NDP-Policy SOWC2-Retention and Extension of Recreational and Community Facilities

SEA Objective	cumulative effect and ma	t of effect (con ifects, significa agnitude of the three time perio	nce of the effect in	Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (11 years +)		
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-

townscapes					
To improve quality of surroundings	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve air quality	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce contributions to climate change	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve water quality	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To avoid, reduce and	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No	This Policy is not over and	-

manage flood risk			relationship	above the Core Strategy.	
To conserve soil resources and quality	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To minimise the production of waste	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve health of the population	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce crime and nuisance	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve natural and manmade resources	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects	health and w	ellbeing throug	h the provisior	y facilities may have a positive in of social, physical activities and and nuisance through activity.	•

SEA Objective	Assessment of cumulative effe effect and mag of the three tim	ects, significant initude of the e	ce of the	Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term	Medium	Long term		

	(1 – 5 years)	term	(11 years +)		
		(6 – 10 years)			
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve quality of surroundings	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve air quality	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-

To reduce contributions to climate change	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy	-
To improve water quality	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve soil resources and quality	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To minimise the production of waste	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve health of the population	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce crime and nuisance	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve natural and manmade	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-

resources			Marginally						
Overall commentary	Change of use	change of use policy aims to have a minimal impact on the environment and helps conserve the							
and any cumulative	existing buildin	existing building and retain its soil quality. It may have a positive impact in design quality within							
effects	townscapes.								

NDP-Policy-SOWD2-New Building

cum effec term Sho (1 –	Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods)			Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (11 years +)		
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-

To improve quality of surroundings	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve air quality	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to traffic scheme to understand the effect.
To reduce contributions to climate change	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ + Move towards significantly	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	++ Move towards significantly	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve water quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to proposed scheme to understand the effect.

To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to proposed scheme to understand the effect.
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to proposed scheme to understand the effect.
To conserve soil resources and quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to proposed scheme to understand the effect.
To minimise waste production	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to proposed scheme to understand the effect.
To improve health of the population	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce crime and nuisance	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve natural and manmade resources	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects	natural enviro can be meas	onment. Due to ured on a case	potential addit by case basis	nental impact on air quality, natural ional cars on the road. However a , this leaves the assessment of the n the environment.	new building's impact

NDP-Policy-SOWE-Development and Design Principles.

SEA Objective	cumulative ef	of effect (con fects, significa agnitude of the hree time perio	nce of the effect in	Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (11 years +)		
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve quality of surroundings	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-

cultural heritage					
To improve air quality	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce contributions to climate change	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to the proposed scheme in more detail.
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to the proposed scheme in more detail.
To improve water quality	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to the proposed scheme in more detail.
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to the proposed scheme in more detail.
To conserve soil resources and quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to the proposed scheme in more detail.

To minimise the production of waste	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To improve health of the population	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
To reduce crime and nuisance	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	Need to refer to the proposed scheme in more detail
To conserve natural and manmade resources	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	++ Move towards significantly	This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy.	-
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects		• .	•	a positive impact in design quality v environment through well conserv	•

B4: Assessment of cumulative impacts of the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Parish Council Name: Staunton on Wye

Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Staunton on Wye Neighbourhood Development Plan

Date completed: September 2014

Key:

+ + Move towards significa	mar	e towards ginally	Move Signifi	away - icantly	Move away marginally		al	? Uncertain	X No relat	tionship						
SEA Objective Objective Policy	1. To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	2. To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscape s	3. To improve quality of surroundings	4. To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environme nt and cultural heritage	5. To improve air quality	6. To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	7. To reduce contributio ns to climate change	8. To reduce vulnerability to climate change	9. To improve water quality	10. To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	11. To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	12. To conserve soil resources and quality	13. To minimise the production	14. To improve health of the population	15. To reduce crime and nuisance	16. To conserve natural and manmade resources
Objective 1- To secure proportionate open market and intermediate housing focussed on Staunton-on – Wye village within the plan period.	0	+	+	0	0	-	0	0	0	+	+	-	-	0	0	-
Objective 2- To ensure that all development is sensitive to residential amenity and to the local environment within the plan period.	+	+	+	+	-	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	-	0	+	+
Objective 3- To protect and sustain social and rural service facilities and enable additional provision. This	-	+	+	0	-	-	-	-	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0

should adhere																
to neighbourhood planning time frames.																
Objective 4- To encourage and support local enterprise and the creation of job opportunities through appropriate economic development within the plan period.	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0
Objective 5- To protect the existing open space provision and provide additional open space, recreational and sports facilities at appropriate locations. With the plan period.	+	+	+	0	+	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	X	+	+	+
Objective 6- To involve local people on an ongoing basis in the process of plan-making and delivery of development within the plan period.	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0
NDP Policy- SOWH 1-New Housing development- Staunton-on- Wye Village	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	?	?	?	0	_	X	?	?
NDP Policy- SOWH2-New Housing or other Residential Accommodati on in Staunton	0	++	++	++	0	0	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	X	?	+

Conservation Area																
NDP Policy- SOWH3- Affordable Housing	0	+	+	X	?	?	X	X	X	X	?	?	?	X	?	?
NDP Policy- SOWH4-Rural Exceptions Housing (Non affordable)	-	X	X	X	?	?	?	X	?	?	?	?	?	X	?	?
NDP Policy- SOW B1- Retail Development	+	+	+	+	?	?	?	X	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
NDP Policy- SOWB2- Expansion of Existing (Non agricultural) Businesses	0	+	+	+	0	0	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	+	+	?
NDP Policy- SOWB3- Agricultural/ Horticultural Diversification and Expansion	0	0	0	X	?	?	?	?	?	?	X	?	?	?	0	0
NDP Policy- SOWB4-Poly tunnel Development	0	0	0	X	X	X	X	X	?	?	X	?	0	X	X	0
<i>NDP Policy-</i> SOWB5- Change of Use	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	X	X	?	+	+	+	?	+
<i>NDP Policy-</i> SOWB6-New Business Enterprises	?	+	+	+	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	X	+	?

X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	+	+	X
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	+	+	+
X	++	++	++	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	+	+	X	X	+
X	+	++	++	X	?	+	+	?	?	?	?	?	+	0	0
X	++	++	++	X	X	?	?	X	?	?	?	+	X	?	++
O Overall the effects of the NDP policies and objectives against the SEA objective of maintaining and enhancing nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) will have a neutral effect. The policies and objectives do not go over and above the requirements				O Overall the effects of the NDP policies and objectives against the SEA objective of improving air quality will have a neutral effect. The policies and objectives do not go over and above the requirements within The	O Overall the effects of the NDP policies and objectives against the SEA objective to reduce the effect of traffic on the environment will have a neutral effect. The policies and objectives do not go over and above the requirements within The Core Strategy.	O Overall the effects of the NDP policies and objectives against the SEA objective to reduce contributio ns to climate change will have a neutral effect. The policies and	O Overall the effects of the NDP policies and objectives against the SEA objective to reduce vulnerability to climate change will have a neutral effect. The policies and objectives do not go over and above the requirements within The Core Strategy.	O Overall the effects of the NDP policies and objectives against the SEA objective to improve water quality will have a neutral effect. The policies and objectives do not go over and above the requirements	O Overall the effects of the NDP policies and objectives against the SEA objective to provide for sustainable sources of water supply will have a neutral effect. The policies and objectives do not go over and above	O Overall the effects of the NDP policies and objectives against the SEA objective to avoid, reduce and manage flood risk will have a neutral effect. The policies and objectives	O Overall the effects of the NDP policies and objectives against the SEA objective to conserve soil resources and quality will have a neutral effect. The policies and objectives	O Overall the effects of the NDP policies and objectives against the SEA objective to minimise the production will have a neutral effect. The policies and objectives do not go	O Overall the effects of the NDP policies and objectives against the SEA objective to improve health of the population will have a neutral effect. The policies and objectives		objective to conserve natural and manmade resources will have a neutral effect. The policies
	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	XXXXX	XXXXXXX++++X++++X+++X+++X+++X++++X++++X++++SA++++Policies and objectives against the SEA objective of maintaining and enhancing policies and objectives flora and effect. The policies and objectives do not go over and above the+ Overall the effects of of the NDP policies and objectives have a positive effect on maintaining and enhancing policies and objectives have a positive effect on maintaining and enhancing policies and objectives have a positive enhance the quality of surroundings . The policies and above the requirements within The	XXXXXXXXX++++X++++X++++X++++X+++++X+++++X+++++X+++++X+++++X+++++D Overall the effects of the NDP policies and objectives of maintaining and enhancing (biodiversity, flora and fauna) will have a neutral effect. The policies and objectives do not go over an above the policies and objectives do not go over an above the policies and objectives do not go over an above the policies	XXXXXXXXXXX++++XX++++XX++++XX+++XX++++XX++++XX++++XX++++XX++++XX++++XX++++XX+++XX+++XX+++++X+O Overallof the NDP policies and objectives of maintaining and enhancing fauna) will have a neutral effect.+of order conservation (biodiversity, flora and objectives do not go over and above the policies within The policies and objectives do not go over the requirements policies and objectives do not go over the requirements	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X ++ ++ ++ X X X ++ ++ ++ X X X + + + X X X X + + + X X X X X + + + X	X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X ++ ++ ++ X X X X X X ++ ++ ++ X X X X X X + +++ +++ X X X X X X + +++ +++ X ? + + + X ++ +++ ++ X ? ? ? O-overall the dfocts of the NDP policies and objectives add topictive objectives add anhancing and bove the ftora and objectives do not go over and above the facts on to go over and above the the environment in and above O-overall the store opticies and objectives do not go over and above the environment in and above O-overall the environment in and above O-overall the store opticies and objectives do not go over and above the environment in and above O-overall the environment in and above O-over	X X	X X	X X	X X	X X	X X	X X

	Core Strategy.	do not go over and above the requiremen ts within The Core Strategy	Strategy	do not go over and above the requiremen ts within The Core Strategy.	Strategy.		over and above the requiremen ts within The Core Strategy.		Strategy.	within The Core Strategy.	over and above the requiremen ts within The Core Strategy.	above the requiremen ts within The Core Strategy.	above the requiremen ts within The Core Strategy.	above the requiremen ts within The Core Strategy.	requireme nts within The Core Strategy.	above the requiremen ts within The Core Strategy.
Cumulative effects of whole plan	-	evelopment ob	jectives propos	ed are balance	d with sustainable	above the requirem e polices to minimis				-			-			
Commentary for significant cumulative effects	considered in	more detail w g listed buildi	vithin the NDP ings. For the n	plan. The dev najority of the	velopment and opproposals within	d traffic within the design principles in this NDP the de	have a positiv	ve impact in des	sign quality wit	thin townscap	es. It will have	a positive im	pact in the q	uality of the e	environment	through

Appendix 4a

NDP Objectives versus SEA Objectives (SMART and Compatibility Test)											
SEA Stage B1	Key:	SMART criteria:									
+	Compatible/very compatible	S – Specific:	NDP objectives should specify what is intended to be done in detail and should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations	The following matrix appraises the emerging Staunton on Wye NDP							
-	Possible conflict	M – Measurable:	It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited resource implications.	Policies in terms of their SMART criteria and their compatibility with the SEA							
0	Neutral	A – Attainable/achievable	NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale of growth proposed	Objectives and Baseline data. These objectives have been developed							
х	No relationship between objectives	R – Realistic:	NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes.	from both Government guidance on SEA and from the local evidence base							
?	Unclear, more information needed	T – Time-Bound:	Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should specify when the target should be achieved.	gathered for identifying the NDP issues.							

							Bas	seline	Data/S	SEA Ob	jectiv	/es								
NDP Policies	1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Summary of impact of NDP policy in relation to baseline data	Recommendations	Conformity with Core Strategy
Policy SOWG1 ¹	++	+	. ,	K	x	X	X	x	x	++	x	x	X				+	Overall this policy has mainly a positive impact on the baseline data. This policy will help to protect certain species by preventing development from taking place within 100 metres of the River Wye SAC.	None.	This policy does not go beyond that within the Core Strategy in terms of the SEA.
Policy SOWG2	+	+	x		+	x	x	+	+	Х	X	X	+				+	Overall this policy meets the relevant SEA baseline objectives.	None.	This policy does not go beyond that within the Core Strategy in terms of the SEA.

¹ Refer to Submission NDP for Policy Criteria

	1					
++ Move towards significantly	+ Move towards Marginally	 Move away significantly 	- Move away marginally	0 Neutral	? Uncertain	X No relationship

	Assessment of eff	fect			
SEA Objective		s, significance of the e ffect in terms of the th		Summary Explanation	Enhancement a
	Short term	Medium term	Long term		
	(1 – 5 years)	(6 – 10 years)	(11 years +)		
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	++	++	++	This policy will help to protect certain species by preventing development from taking place within 100 metres of the River Wye SAC.	
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	+	+	++	This policy will help to protect certain species by preventing development from taking place within 100 metres of the River Wye SAC.	
To improve quality of surroundings	x	Х	x	No relationship.	
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	x	x	x	No relationship.	
To improve air quality	Х	Х	Х	No relationship.	
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	x	x	x	No relationship.	
To reduce contributions to climate change	Х	Х	Х	No relationship.	
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	х	X	Х	No relationship.	
To improve water quality	+	++	++	This policy will help to ensure that developments do not exacerbate existing problems by precluding the possibility of building within 100 metres of the River Wye SAC.	
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	x	x	x	No relationship.	
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	X	X	x	No relationship.	
To conserve soil resources and quality	X	X	x	No relationship.	
To minimise the production of waste	N/A	N/A	N/A		

and mitigation opportunities	

g team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part. Page **1** of **4**

To improve the health of the population	N/A	N/A	N/A		
To reduce crime and nuisance	N/A	N/A	N/A		
To conserve natural and manmade resources	+	+	+	This policy will help to conserve the water resources by preventing development from taking place within 100 metres of the River Wye SAC.	
Overall commentary		as mainly a positive i aking place within 10		line data. This policy will help to protect certain species and avoid p ver Wye SAC.	roblems as re

Policy SOWG2: Protection and enhancement	nt of Green Infrastru	cture			
SEA Objective	Assessment of effect (Cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods)			Summary Explanation	Enhancement a
•	Short term	term Medium term Long term			
	(1 – 5 years)	(6 – 10 years)	(11 years +)		
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	+	++	++	This policy will help to ensure that Green Infrastructure is incorporated in development proposals to the benefit of nature conservation.	
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	+	++	++	This policy will help to ensure that Green Infrastructure is incorporated in development proposals to the benefit of the natural and built environs.	
To improve quality of surroundings	X	Х	Х	No relationship.	
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage	+	++	++	This policy will help to ensure that Green Infrastructure is incorporated in development proposals to the benefit of the heritage assets, and particularly within the conservation area.	
To improve air quality	X	Х	Х	No relationship.	
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	x	x	x	No relationship.	
To reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	This policy will help to ensure that Green Infrastructure is incorporated in development proposals, helping to reduce the risk of flooding.	
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	+	+	+	This policy will help to ensure that Green Infrastructure is incorporated in development proposals to the benefit of climate change adaptation.	

st Regulation 14 NDP policies (February 2015)	
	-

s regards water quality by preventing

and mitigation opportunities	

g team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part. Page **2** of **4**

To improve water quality	x	X	Х	No relationship.	
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	x	x	X	No relationship.	
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	x	x	x	No relationship.	
To conserve soil resources and quality	+	+	+	This policy will help to ensure that Green Infrastructure is incorporated in development proposals to the benefit of the best quality agricultural land.	
To minimise the production of waste	N/A	N/A	N/A		
To improve the health of the population	N/A	N/A	N/A		
To reduce crime and nuisance	N/A	N/A	N/A		
To conserve natural and manmade resources	+	+	+	This policy will help to ensure that Green Infrastructure is incorporated in development proposals to the benefit of natural and manmade resources.	
Overall commentary	This policy does not go beyond that within the Core Strategy in terms of the SEA.				

st Regulation	14 NDP	policies	(February	2015)
			(· · · ·)	/

g team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part. Page **4** of **4**

Appendix 5

Template C2: SEA Quality Assurance Checklist

Parish Council Name: Staunton-on-Wye Group

Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Staunton-on-Wye Group

Date completed: January 2016

Objectives and context	Where are the points covered in Neighbourhood Development Plan SEA (insert chapter, section, page references, as necessary)
 The Neighbourhood Development Plan's purpose and objectives are made clear. 	Chapter 1.3 – 1.8
• The Neighbourhood Area's environmental issues and constraints, including acknowledgement of those in the Local Plan (Core Strategy) SA, where relevant, and local environmental protection objectives, are considered in developing objectives and targets.	Chapter 2, methodology, chapter 3 and tables A2 and A3
• SEA objectives are clearly set out and linked to indicators and targets where appropriate.	Chapter 3, paragraphs 3.5 and 3.7
• Links with other locally related plans, programmes and policies are identified, explained and acknowledgement for those set out in the SA of the Local Plan (Core Strategy) is given, where relevant.	Chapter 3, paragraph 3.1
• Conflicts that exist between SEA objectives; between SEA and Neighbourhood Development Plan objectives; and between SEA objectives and other local plan objectives are identified and described.	Chapter 3
Scoping	
 Statutory Consultees_are consulted in appropriate ways and at appropriate times on the content and scope of the 	Chapter 2, paragraphs 2.5-2.9
Environmental Report.	Chapter 2 and chapter 4
• The assessment focuses on significant issues.	Chapter 2
Technical, procedural and other	Chapter 2 and chapter 4

difficulties encountered are discussed;	
assumptions and uncertainties are made	
explicit.	
Alternatives	
Realistic alternatives are considered for	
key issues, and the reasons for choosing	Chapter 4
them are documented.	
 Alternatives include 'do minimum' 	Chapter 5 and Appendix 4
and/or 'business as usual' scenarios	
wherever relevant.	
 The environmental effects (both 	
adverse and beneficial) of each	Chapter 5
alternative are identified and compared.	
 Inconsistencies between the 	
alternatives and other relevant local	Chapter 5
plans, programmes or policies are	
identified and explained.	
 Reasons are given for selection or 	Chapter 5
elimination of alternatives.	
Baseline information	
Relevant aspects of the current state of	Chapter 1, Chapter 4
the local, neighbourhood area	
environment and their likely evolution	
without the Neighbourhood Development	
Plan are described. Acknowledgement to	
the information in the SA of the Local	
Plan (Core Strategy) is given, where	
relevant.	
Environmental characteristics of the	
	Initial agreening report and Chapter
local, neighbourhood area, likely to be	Initial screening report and Chapter
significantly affected are described,	1
including areas wider than the physical	
boundary of the designated	
neighbourhood area, where it is likely to	
be affected by the Neighbourhood	
Development Plan.	
Difficulties such as deficiencies in	Chapter 2
information or methods are explained.	Chapter 2
Prediction and evaluation of likely	
significant environmental effects	
• Effects identified include the types listed	Tables A2, A3 and A4
in the Directive (biodiversity, population,	
human health, fauna, flora, soil, water,	

air, climate factors, material assets, cultural heritage and landscape), as relevant; and other local likely environmental effects are also covered, as appropriate.	
• Both positive and negative effects are considered, and the duration of effects (short, medium or long-term) is addressed.	Tables B2 and B3
• Likely secondary, cumulative (growing in quantity and strength) and synergistic (acting together) effects are identified, where practicable.	Tables B4
• Inter-relationships between effects are considered, where practicable.	Chapter 5
• The prediction and evaluation of effects makes use of relevant accepted standards, regulations, and thresholds (i.e. data gathered for the evidence base).	Chapter 5
• Methods used to evaluate the effects are described.	Chapter 2
Mitigation measures	
• Measures envisaged to prevent, reduce and offset any significant adverse effects of implementing the Neighbourhood Development Plan are indicated.	Chapter 6
 Issues to be taken into account when determining planning applications or other projects, for example funding bids, 	Chapter 6
are identified.	
are identified.	-
are identified. The Environmental Report Is clear and concise in its layout and	-
 are identified. The Environmental Report Is clear and concise in its layout and presentation. Uses simple, clear language and avoids 	-
 are identified. The Environmental Report Is clear and concise in its layout and presentation. Uses simple, clear language and avoids or explains technical terms. Uses maps and other illustrations, 	- - - Chapter 2

 Identifies sources of information, including expert judgement and matters of opinion. 	Paragraph 2.5-2.9
 Contains a non-technical summary covering the overall approach to the SEA, the objectives of the 	Page 2, paragraphs 0.1-0.4 / Paragraph 1.7 / appendix 5
Neighbourhood Development Plan, the main options considered, and any changes to the Neighbourhood Development Plan resulting from the SEA.	Chapter 5
Consultation	
• The SEA is consulted on as an integral part of the plan-making process of the Neighbourhood Development Plan.	Paragraph 2.5-2.9
• Consultation Bodies and the public likely to be affected by, or having an interest in, the Neighbourhood Development Plan are consulted in ways and at times, which give them an early and effective opportunity within appropriate time frames to express their opinions on the draft Neighbourhood Development Plan and Environmental Report.	Chapter 2
Decision-making and information on the decision	
• The environmental report and the opinions of those consulted are taken into account in finalising and adopting the Neighbourhood Development Plan.	Chapter 2 and Chapter 6
 An explanation is given of how they have been taken into account. 	Chapter 6
• Reasons are given for choosing the Neighbourhood Development Plan as adopted, in the light of other reasonable alternatives considered.	Chapter 6
Monitoring measures	Chapter 7
• Measures proposed for monitoring the Neighbourhood Development Plan are clear, practicable and linked to the indicators and objectives used in the SEA.	

• Monitoring is used, where appropriate, during implementation of the Neighbourhood Development Plan to make good deficiencies in baseline information in the SEA.	Chapter 7
• Acknowledgement that monitoring enables unforeseen adverse effects to be identified at an early stage. (These effects may include predictions which prove to be incorrect.) And that	Chapter 7
• Proposals are made for action in response to significant adverse effects arising from the monitoring of the Neighbourhood Development Plan.	Chapter 7

1

Appendix 6

Consultation date: 07/11/14 – 19/12/14

Consultation title: Regulation 14

N.B. This consultation feedback is **only** for comments received on the SEA of the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Response Consultee Date		Summary of Comments			
19/01/*	5 Natural England	Natural England welcomes the production of an Environmental Report. Having reviewed the report Natural England confirms that it meets the requirements of the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) European Directive and national regulations, and that we concur with its conclusions.	Noted.		

nse to Comments

Appendix 7

Policy	Modification recommended	Justification
Recommendations	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Recommendation 1 General comment on Policy layout	Remove grey/green background used throughout the documents to enable legibility.	In terms of accessibility the grey/green background used throughout the documents reduces the contrast between background and text, some headings in capitals and underlining and a small font does not meet the criteria of the, 'See it Right Guidelines'.
Recommendation 2 Page 8	Suggest incorporate explanatory text in bold as follows: These policies have been formulated in response to suggestions received in response to the consultation process that generated this Plan and documented in the Consultation Statement and subsequently via responses received under Regulation 14 and 16 of the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations and apply to all the following policies in so far as they relate to the development of land.	
Recommendation 3 – new policy	Suggest adding a policy regarding Broadband along the similar lines to the 'made' Upper Eden NDP	There has been considerable support for improved broadband connection through the consultations
Recommendation 4	Incorporate the opportunity for contemporary development of a high quality, sustainable design which is appropriate to the context and makes a positive contribution to the surrounding environment and rural landscape into the supporting text to policy SOWE- Development and Design Principles, that relate specifically to the Old School and other buildings within the Conservation Area. For example NDP policy SOWD2 where it allows 'Unless it can be demonstrated that the design and use of materials will result in a building of exceptional and unique architectural merit'.	May provide opportunities for using space more effectively and gracefully which can increase the viability of a development proposal.
Recommendation Consider a regular Plan review 5		To monitor the effectiveness of policies in delivering development and meeting one of the Plan's objectives.
Modifications		
Modification 1 Basic Conditions Statement	Update the third para of page 3 to incorporate the adopted 2015 Plan.	Modification provides accuracy.
Modification 2: Basic conditions Statement	Add headings to the Basic Conditions statement to ensure each of the criteria of the Basic Conditions are detailed	This modification does not make material changes to the Plan and all the relevant information of how the Plan meets the Basic Conditions is contained within the report, therefore Herefordshire Council does not

		support this change.
Modification 3:	add Herefordshire Local Plan Core	Factual change adds clarity
Basic Conditions	Strategy 2011-	
Appendix 1	2031 adopted 16 October 2015.	
Modification 4: Basic Conditions Appendix 1	Update inaccuracies and omissions a follows: (i) Add 'General policies' or Environment' category. Refer to Core Strategy Policy SD3 - Sustainable Water Management, Policy LD2 – Biodiversity and geodiversity, Policy LD3 – Green Infrastructure. (ii) Housing. Add Policy RA3 – Herefordshire's countryside (iii) Business and Employment. Add Policy E4 – Tourism. (iv) Community Facilities. Add OS1: Requirement for open space, sports and recreation facilities (v) Design Principles. Historic environment and heritage assets are significant contributors to sustainable development, it is therefore appropriate to add LD4 - Historic environment and heritage assets and Policy SD1 – Sustainable design and energy efficiency, Policy SD2 – Renewable and low carbon energy generation. (vi) Old School and Conservation Area category, add Policy LD4 – Historic environment and heritage assets. Remove reference to LD2 and LD5.	Modification provides factual accuracy and clarity.
Modification 5: Basic Conditions Statement Appendix 2	Incorporate into the Appendix the formal response from Herefordshire Council designating the Neighbourhood Plan Boundary Area and a map of the Area.	Modification for additional clarity.
Modification 6: All policies	Remove the word "only" from text to read "development will be supported where"	Modification to add clarity
Modification 7: Policy SOWG1	Incorporate Environment Agency response to ensure development may take place, in accordance with NPPF, similar to the following: SOWG1 - Sustainable water management Development will be supported subject to other policies within this Plan where it is located within Flood Zone 1 (Low Risk). Where small-scale development is deemed necessary (with consideration of the Sequential Test) within Flood Zones 2 and 3 proposals will demonstrate that they are safe and will not increase flood risk to third parties, with flood-risk betterment provided	Modification to incorporate a key stakeholders consultation comment to add clarity to a policy.

Modification 8: Policy SOWG 2	where possible. Development within 100 metres of the boundary of the River Wye Special Area of Conservation will not be supported. Within policy supporting text refer to National Planning Policy Guidance (NPPG) and Herefordshire Council's Core Strategy (Policy SD3 - Sustainable Water Management). Reword the policy to the following: Proposals for new development will be supported where they demonstrate regard to the Herefordshire Strategy for Green Infrastructure by: etc	Modification to ensure policy is more positive.
Modification 9: Policy SOWH1	Further proposals for new housing will be supported within the built up area of Staunton village, (excluding land within the Conservation Area) subject to satisfying the following criteria: etc Add: Proposals for more than three dwellings per plot are likely to be refused permission by reason of being out of scale and context to the local pattern of development in the village. Where such proposals are submitted evidence will be required to satisfy appropriateness to context and other impacts upon residential amenity and any other additional benefits that might be brought by the development.	Modification to add clarity
Modification 10: Policy SOWH4 Modification 11:	Reword the policy: In the Parish generally individual houses outside the built up area of the village of Staunton on Wye will be supported etc In all the above cases the proposal will need to demonstrate safe access, neutral or positive landscape and other environmental impacts and etc Add at the end of the first paragraph	Modification to add clarity Modification to add clarity
Policy SOWH4 Modification 12: Policy SOWB5	of explanatory text This may also be linked to live/work proposals. Remove reference to excluding those in SOWC1 as these do not comprise agricultural buildings. Suggested as follows: - Proposals for change of use of agricultural buildings in the Parish to residential and holiday accommodation and non-agricultural business will be supported etc Clause a) add " landscape or other environmental impacts"	Modification to add clarity

Modification 13:	Alter title and add wording as below,	Modification to broaden the
SOWB6	SOWB6 New employment	encouragement to business
	generating enterprises	enterprises that may not be within B1
	Proposals for new employment	use, such as tourism or locally based
	generating enterprises will be	food manufacturing, also other
	supported	changes are recommended to enable
	within the village of Staunton on Wye	this policy to fit within the
	and to the north of, and with direct	requirements of the NPPF and
	access onto, the A438 subject to	sustainability criteria.
	satisfying all of the following criteria:	,
	- remove (a) B1 use class reference,	
	and:	
	- replace (a) with d) iv 'demonstrate	
	that landscape, environmental etc'	
	- retain c and d	
	d) i be accessed by vehicular traffic	
	from the A438 etc	
	d) ii Demonstrate ways in which the	
	proposal can provide	
	employment for the local community	
	d) iii Either be served with pedestrian access and be within reasonable	
	walking distance of the village or	
	provide a travel plan favouring	
	alternative means of transport to the	
	private car where appropriate.	
	Proposals that are related to the	
	local economy such as for	
	tourism, food production or	
	manufacture, environment or	
	agriculture are particularly	
	encouraged through this policy.	
	Proposals with a demonstrable	
	adverse impact on local amenity,	
	landscape and other environmental	
	impacts particularly highways	
	will be refused permission.	
	Explanatory text - Insert alternative	
	word in the third line from bottom of	
	page 'such development will	
	provide employment opportunities	
Modification 14	Additional wording to the policy:	Modification to ensure positive
Policy SOWC1	SOWC1 A. Development proposals	support for development to sustain
	will be supported where they	facilities and to provide additional
	will improve and otherwise	direction from LP Policy SC1
	encourage the use and viability of	
	the following facilities:	
	add list a to h	
	B. Proposals for change of use of	
	these facilities will not be permitted	
	unless it has been demonstrated the	
	community (in the form of the Parish	
	Council and other recognised	
	community organisation) has been	
	given first opportunity to take them	
	on in order to retain their use.	
	Applicants will be expected to	
	show the steps taken in the	
	relevant land or property being	
	marketed to the community,	
•		

	including the parish council and community organisation affected, for a period of at least 12 months. C. There will be a presumption against the loss of these community facilities unless it can be shown they are no longer viable and a community based usage has been explored, as per clause B. above. In such cases, flexibility will be given to enable these facilities, local shops, services or public house to diversify into ancillary retail and tourism opportunities, which may enable the business to continue to serve the local community.	
Modification 15 SOWC2	Reword and re order paragraphs, Add map beneath policy. Development proposals will be supported where they will improve and otherwise encourage the use and viability of the existing recreational facility (abutting the Conservation area and highlighted in the map below). Any development likely to adversely affect the existing recreational facility highlighted on the plan below will not be permitted. Incorporate text "The Parish Council will action and support consideration of further recreational and community facilities within Staunton on Wye village" into the supporting text. This is a declaration of intent not a land use policy.	Modification to add clarity.
Modification 16 SOWD1	No change	
Modification 17 SOWD2	Change wording slightly to: Subject to compliance with the other policies in the Neighbourhood Plan the erection of new buildings will only be supported where they comply with the following criteria: a) Dwellings and other non – agricultural/business buildings. i. Unless it can be demonstrated that the design and use of materials will result in a building of exceptional and unique architectural merit the new building is encouraged to be of one or two storeys and of a scale which matches its surroundings. ii. The use of external materials blend in with surrounding buildings. iii. The incorporation of energy efficient components as well as renewable energy will be encouraged.	Modification to provide a more positive policy

	Where incorporated on a roof these should, whenever possible, be of a low profile and non-reflective. Etc	
Modification 18 Appendix 1	Remove appendix 1	Duplication as it is already in the Basic Conditions Statement
Modification 19 Appendices 2 and 6	Move appendices 2 & 6 to the Basic Conditions Statement	To add clarity
Modification 20 Appendix 7	Move appendix 7 to the consultation statement	To add clarity

Appendix 8

D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the amended Neighbourhood Development Plan policies following Examination

Parish Council Name: Staunton-on-Wye Group

Date completed: January 2016

Key:

++	Move towards significantly	+ Move towards Marginally	 - Move away significantly 	- Move away marginally	0 Neutral	? Uncertain	N/A No relationship
----	----------------------------------	------------------------------	---	---------------------------	-----------	-------------	------------------------

Policy SOWG1 – Sustainable water management

SEA Objective	Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods)			Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (10 years +)		
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	++	++	++	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and	+	+	++	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	

townscapes				
To improve quality of surroundings	x	x	x	
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage	Х	x	x	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To improve air quality	х	x	x	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	х	x	x	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce contributions to climate change	х	x	x	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	х	x	x	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To improve water quality	+	++	++	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To provide for sustainable sources of	Х	x	x	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions

water supply				following examination.
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	x	x	x	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To conserve soil resources and quality	х	х	x	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To minimise the production	N/A	N/A	N/A	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To improve health of the population	N/A	N/A	N/A	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce crime and nuisance	N/A	N/A	N/A	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To conserve natural and manmade resources	+	+	+	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
Overall commentary and any cumulative effectsModification to the policy wording to incorporate the Environment Agency's response with regards to flood plain zones 2 and therefore this does not alter the conclusions that the policy will have no significant effect.				

SOWG2 – Protection and enhancement of green infrastructure

SEA Objective	Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods)ShortMedium term (1 –Long term (10 years +)			Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	5 years)	(6 – 10 years)			
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	+	++	++	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	+	++	++	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	
To improve quality of surroundings	х	Х	x		
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage	+	++	++	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	
To improve air quality	Х	Х	Х	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions	

				following examination.	
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	х	x	x	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	
To reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	+	+	+	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	
To improve water quality	х	x	x	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	х	x	x	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	x	x	x	This policy is not over and above the CoreStrategy and meets the basic conditionsfollowing examination.	
To conserve soil resources and quality	+	+	+	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	
To minimise the production	N/A	N/A	N/A	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	

Overall commentary and any cumulative effects	Modification to the policy wording adding detail to take regard of the Herefordshire Green infrastructure strategy therefore this does not alter the conclusions that the policy will have no significant effect.					
To conserve natural and manmade resources	+	+	+	This policy is not over and above the CoreStrategy and meets the basic conditionsfollowing examination.		
To reduce crime and nuisance	N/A	N/A	N/A	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.		
To improve health of the population	N/A	N/A	N/A	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.		

SOWH1 – New housing development in Staunton-on-Wye village

SEA ObjectiveAssessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods)			ance of the e effect in	Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (10 years +)		
To maintain and enhance nature	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions	

conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)				following examination.
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To improve quality of surroundings	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To improve air quality	- Move away marginally	0 Neutral	0 Neutral	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	- Move away marginally	- 0 Neutral	- 0 Neutral	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce contributions to climate change	- Move away marginally	- 0 Neutral	- 0 Neutral	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.

To reduce vulnerability to climate change	- Move away marginally	- 0 Neutral	- 0 Neutral	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To improve water quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To conserve soil resources and quality	- Move away marginally	- Move away marginally	- 0 Neutral	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To minimise the production	- Move away marginally	- Move away marginally	- 0 Neutral	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To improve health of the population	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce crime and nuisance	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.

To conserve natural and manmade resources	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects		to the policy wordi hat the policy will	-	about restricting to 3 dwellings per plot therefore thi ant effect.	s does not alter the

SOWH4 – Rural Exception Housing (non affordable)

c e te S	Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods)			Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (10 years +)		
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	?	?	+	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	

townscapes				
To improve quality of surroundings	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To improve air quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	+	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	? Uncertain	+	+	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce contributions to climate change	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	+	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To improve water quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To provide for sustainable sources of	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions

water supply				following examination.
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To conserve soil resources and quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To minimise the production	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To improve health of the population	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce crime and nuisance	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To conserve natural and manmade resources	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects	Modification t significant eff		d in live/work pro	pposals therefore this does not alter the conclusions that the policy will have no

SOWB6 – New Employment Generating Enterprises

SEA Objective	cumulative offect and m	nt of effect (con effects, significat nagnitude of the three time perio Medium term (6 – 10 years)	nce of the effect in	Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	
To improve quality of surroundings	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally		
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.	

To improve air quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce contributions to climate change	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce vulnerability to climate change	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To improve water quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To conserve soil resources and quality	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To minimise the production of waste	?	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions

	Uncertain			following examination.
To improve health of the population	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce crime and nuisance	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To conserve natural and manmade resources	? Uncertain	? Uncertain	+ Move towards Marginally	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects	Modification to the policy wording strengthening up where a proposal could have an adverse impact upon the environment therefore this does not alter the conclusions that the policy will have no significant effect.			

SOWC1 – Retention of existing community facilities

SEA Objective	cumulative effect and r	nt of effect (co effects, signific magnitude of th e three time pe	cance of the ne effect in	Summary Explanation	Enhancement and mitigation opportunities
	Short term (1 – 5 years)	Medium term (6 – 10 years)	Long term (10 years +)		

To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To improve quality of surroundings	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	
To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To improve air quality	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce contributions to climate change	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.

To reduce vulnerability to climate change	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To improve water quality	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To provide for sustainable sources of water supply	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To conserve soil resources and quality	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To minimise the production	N/A No relationshi p	N/A No relationship	N/A No relationship	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To improve health of the population	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To reduce crime and nuisance	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	+ Move towards Marginally	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions following examination.
To conserve natural and manmade	N/A	N/A	N/A	This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and meets the basic conditions

resources			following examination.	
Overall commentary and any cumulative effects	Modification t no significant	 ded minor wordir	ng changes therefore this does not alter the conclus	ions that the policy will have