## STRONGER HEREFORD

## Notes from a meeting of the STRONGER HEREFORD – PROJECT DEVELOPMENT GROUP (PDG) MEETING held on 10 September 2025 from 8.30 – 9.15 am via MS Teams

Members: Frank Myers MBE FM Chair

Abigail Appleton MBE AA Principal, Hereford College of Arts (and Chair of

Hereford Stronger Towns Board)

Graham Biggs GB Councillor, Herefordshire Council

James Newby JNe President & CEO, NMITE

Attendees: Ivan Annibal IA Rose Regeneration (RR)

Christian Dangerfield CD Rose Regeneration (RR)
Jane Mann JM Rose Regeneration (RR)

Jesse Norman JNo MP, Hereford City & South Herefordshire

Ruth Parry RP Director Operations & Marketing, Simple Design Works Ltd

Ben Proctor BP Councillor, Hereford City Council

Rachael Sanders RS Section 151 Officer, Herefordshire Council
Paul Walker PW Chief Executive, Herefordshire Council

Apologies: Paul Stevens PS Hereford City BID

Notes: Jan Bailey JB

ITEM	NOTES	ACTION
1.	New Declarations of Interest	
	None	
2.	Notes of Previous Meetings	
	The notes of the PDG meetings held on 20 and 27 August were approved as a correct record.	
3.	Hereford Museum and Art Gallery (HMAG) Project	
3.1	The Chair welcomed PW and RS to the meeting.	
3.2	PW stated he recognised the importance of the HMAG and Library & Learning Centre projects to STF, being the largest in the programme portfolio. He said they were also the two largest non-infrastructure projects for the council, and of significant importance as part of its 'year of delivery'. As such, he wanted to reassure PDG that they are receiving significant political and financial oversight throughout all stages of delivery.	
3.3	RS and PW gave a presentation on the current situation with the HMAG project, referring to slides previously circulated to attendees. This particularly focused on project funding and assurance, as requested by the Chair.	
3.4	PW referred to the cost plan which is expected from the contractors (Vinci) in mid- November. Once this document is received, he requested time for the council to review its content before sharing the findings and options with the PDG. It was agreed that the council be given three weeks to review the cost plan before presenting to PDG. The Chair and AA will be given an overview before it is presented to PDG.	

ibrary and Learning Centre Project	
S gave a presentation on this project, presenting slides that had been circulated to ttendees in advance of today's meeting. This covered key dates and background to the roject, funding and current expenditure, contingency amounts, project oversight and overnance, risks and issues.	
W added information on the current status of the project, which is at pre-contract PCSA) stage.	
B added his reassurance that the last time both this and the HMAG project were iscussed at cabinet, members had indicated their commitment to the delivery of both rojects.	
Ne raised some queries to do with the contingency amounts for this project. pecifically, how the budget figures presented included the contingencies. RS provided detailed response to this question stating that the contingency is included in the budget gure as a reporting requirement but that no allocation had been made against the ontingencies. She assured PDG that she will return to PDG for further discussion efore any contingency amounts are spent.	
was agreed that PW/RS would return to PDG within three weeks after pre-contract urvey reports had been received from the contractors (Vinci). These would provide reater cost certainty and enable the council to review outcomes and options before resenting to PDG. RR to liaise with PW/RS to confirm timelines and schedule meetings or this and the HMAG project reviews. A programme of on-going reviews will also be greed to provide updates that cover the duration of the projects.	RR
With regard to contingency amounts, the Chair commented on the importance of inderstanding the extent of any unspent contingencies across all projects so that monies an be reallocated to other projects within the #StrongerHereford portfolio. He referred to ecent conversations with Sean Dubberley (Government officer) who had indicated that rocesses surrounding both the movement of funds between projects and capital vs evenue expenditure are going to be simplified, enabling greater flexibility in terms of ow resources can be spent. RS confirmed that there is more freedom as a result of simplification'	
A welcomed the detailed information provided by council colleagues at today's meeting nd their commitment to getting these projects delivered. She said she was reassured to ear about the assurance processes in place at the council but that these needed to be here regularly communicated and understood by the STF Board.	
B commented that projects of this nature, ie involving the development of listed uildings, would always bring with them challenges. However, he welcomed the eassurance he had heard from RS/PW that processes are in place to manage these hallenges.	
ate of Next Meetings	
<b>DG</b> – Fortnightly. The next scheduled meeting is 24 September at 8.30-9.30 am via eams.	
<b>coard</b> – Friday 12 September 8.30-10am (hybrid and in person at Hereford College of rts, College Road campus).	
Strone Sign Na Social Street S	S gave a presentation on this project, presenting slides that had been circulated to tendees in advance of today's meeting. This covered key dates and background to the oject, funding and current expenditure, contingency amounts, project oversight and overnance, risks and issues.  W added information on the current status of the project, which is at pre-contract CSA) stage.  B added his reassurance that the last time both this and the HMAG project were scussed at cabinet, members had indicated their commitment to the delivery of both ojects.  Be raised some queries to do with the contingency amounts for this project. Projects are a reporting requirement but that no allocation had been made against the intingencies. She assured PDG that she will return to PDG for further discussion offer any contingency amounts are spent.  Was agreed that PW/RS would return to PDG within three weeks after pre-contract rivey reports had been received from the contractors (Vinci). These would provide eater cost certainty and enable the council to review outcomes and options before essenting to PDG. RR to liaise with PW/RS to confirm timelines and schedule meetings in this and the HMAG project reviews. A programme of on-going reviews will also be greed to provide updates that cover the duration of the projects.  With regard to contingency amounts, the Chair commented on the importance of iderstanding the extent of any unspent contingencies across all projects so that monies in be reallocated to other projects within the #StrongerHereford portfolio. He referred to cent conversations with Sean Dubberley (Government officer) who had indicated that occesses surrounding both the movement of funds between projects and capital venue expenditure are going to be simplified, enabling greater flexibility in terms of we resources can be spent. RS confirmed that there is more freedom as a result of mplification.  A welcomed the detailed information provided by council colleagues at today's meeting did their commitment to getting these projects