

#### Hereford Stronger Towns Board Meeting Friday 3<sup>rd</sup> May 2024 (8.30 am – 10.15 am), held at Hereford College of Arts and via Zoom Notes and Action Points

Chair:	Abigail Appleton	AA	Principal, Hereford College of Arts
Board Present:	Judith Faux	JFa	Trustee, HVOSS
	Cat Hornsey	CH	Councillor, Hereford City
	Frank Myers	FM	Herefordshire Business Board
	James Newby	JNe	Chief Officer, NMITE
	Jesse Norman	JNo	MP for Hereford and South Herefordshire
	Paul Stevens	PS	Hereford Business Improvement District
Other Attendees:	Ivan Annibal	IA	Rose Regeneration (RR)
	Graham Biggs	GB	Councillor, Herefordshire Council
	Christian Dangerfield	CD	Rose Regeneration (RR)
	Jago Frost	JFr	Youth Board Member (new member)
	Joni Hughes	JH	Portfolio Manager, Capital Development, HC
	Elizabeth Parbutt	EP	Orphan's
Guests:	Lou Foti	LF	Southside Project (part)
	Nic Millington	NM	Digital Culture Hub Project (part)
	Chris Tipping	CT	Southside Project (part)
Apologies/Absent:	Alex Collins Andrew Lovegrove Ruth Parry Lauren Rogers Paul Walker	AC AL RP LR PW	DLUHC Chief Finance Officer, Herefordshire Council Director Operations & Marketing, Simple Design Works Ltd Rural Media Company Chief Executive, Herefordshire Council
Notes:	Jan Bailey	JB	Herefordshire Business Board

#### **Summary of Actions**

ltem	Notes	Action
2.6	JH to clarify when an STF project is 'complete' with AC.	JH
6.3	Quarterly financial report to be completed for submission to DLUHC (after checking with AA/AL)	RR
7.9	Southside Project funding discussions	AA/IA + AL
9.1	Fix date for Wyeside Project Lead to attend PDG	RR
9.2	Draft programme management tender document	JH

ltem	Notes	Action
1.	Welcome and Apologies	
1.1	The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting. Apologies and absences are as noted above.	
2.	Presentation – Digital Culture Hub	
2.1	The Chair welcomed NM to the meeting.	

## Herefordshire Council

2.2	NM provided a comprehensive update on the Digital Culture Hub Project. In particular, he highlighted:	
	<ul> <li>Packer's House purchase completion date (15 November).</li> <li>STF Board Members invited and very welcome to view the premises.</li> <li>Refurbishment works to the first floor of the premises will be commissioned within the next two-three weeks. First work will be development of a new kit store.</li> <li>Greenleaf Architects are working closely with a newly appointed Project Manager. Hoping to create another two new appointments.</li> <li>Powerhouse (tenants) have now signed their Financial Agreement and able to progress. Regular meetings being held between Powerhouse and the Digital Culture Hub.</li> <li>The project will be monitoring impact under a number of headings: business, community sector and voluntary, service, education and training/schools, skills, talent development, advocacy and signposting, equipment loan, facilities hire. Data is already being captured and looks very positive. There will be a hiatus during refurbishment works.</li> <li>Risks outlined including rising costs of material/labour.</li> <li>NM confirmed he was linking in with EP to maximise communications opportunities.</li> <li>Ground floor tenancy arrangements.</li> <li>Considerable stakeholder interest in the Digital Culture Hub and other STF projects, including from the Department of Digital, Media, Culture and Sport (DMCS).</li> </ul>	
2.3	NM advised Board members that the Rural Media Company is seeking new Board members. Further <u>details are available here:</u>	
2.4	NM confirmed that target numbers remain the same, even though costs of material/labour have risen.	
2.5	NM confirmed that although one current tenant at the building is shortly to vacate the premises (space to be used by Powerhouse), the other is on an ongoing tenancy.	
2.6	NM asked for clarification as to when a project is designated as 'complete' in terms of the STF. JH said she understood this is when the project outcomes have been achieved and reported back to Government. However, she will clarify with AC.	JH
	NM left the meeting	
3.	Declarations of Interest / New Members	
3.1	There were no new Declarations of Interest	
3.2	The Chair introduced JFr who she and CH recommend being appointed as new Board Youth Member. The Board approved this nomination.	
4.	Minutes of the STF Board Meeting held on 5 April 2024	
4.1	The Minutes of the Meeting held on Friday 5 April were approved as a correct record.	

5.	Matters Arising				
5.1	See updates below:				
	Item	Notes	Action	Update	
	6.4	Write note to Board members	AA	On	
		regarding secretariat		today's	
		arrangements. Add item on	RR	Agenda	
		renewal of secretariat			
		arrangements to May Agenda			
		for discussion.	/		
	6.7	Write to Government regarding	AA/JNo	Drafted	
		potential to utilise unspent Towns Funds from elsewhere.			
	6.11	Wyeside Project Team to come	RR	Ongoing	
		to PDG ASAP.		ongoing	
	6.15	Organise special PDG to look	RR	Ongoing	
		at cross-programme financial			
		predictions.			
	7.2	Programme Review items to be	AA	Ongoing	
		followed up with Board			
	8.2	members. Any Board member interested	All	No Eols	
	0.2	in being part of the		received	
		communications sub-group to			
		contact the Chair.			
6.		s Report, Programme Review an ard Papers <u>can be viewed via this</u>			
6.1	IA Refer	red to the Board Report, as follows	:		
6.2	complete	er of PIDs (Project Initiation Docum ed but are in progress. Powerhouse k's PDG (Project Development Gro	e presented	their PID to	
6.3	IA said spend is progressing as hoped. RR will be completing a comprehensive financial report for DLUHC for submission by the end of May. This will be submitted to AA/AL for sign-off in the next few weeks before submission to Government.			RR	
6.4	In terms of individual project risks, the four requiring most focused effort are the Shire Hall, Southside, Wyeside and Encore (building acquisition). IA said he has no concerns about any of the projects				
	failing, b	ut they need to be closely monitore	ed.		
6.5	RAG rating – As outlined on page 19 of the Board report. Wyeside and the Museum project remain the only two rated 'Red', the former due to the scale and complexity of the project and the latter due to a range of issues to do with planning and reputation.				
6.6	Budget -	- as outlined on page 35-36 of the	Board report	t.	
7.	Southsi	de Project – Budget Review			
7.1		nted an overview of the current situ 7-29 of the Board report. In essenc			

# Herefordshire Council

	funding gap of c £345K (5% contingency) or £419K (7.5% contingency).	
7.2	IA asked the Board to consider a number of options to meet this funding gap (see slides). He said that there is scope within the current overall Towns Fund Budget to move funding around, but this could only be done with the consent of the Projects involved. He reminded Board that there are also some uncommitted funds in the Programme Management budget which could be utilised. Finally, he pointed to previous discussions at Board and PDG in relation to potential unspent monies from the national fund that could be redirected to Hereford.	
7.3	PS asked JNo if he could comment on the likelihood of monies coming to Herefordshire from the national fund. JNo said he could provide no insight on this but advised the Board to approach DLUHC as quickly as possible.	
7.4	FM referred to monies outlined in Southside's budget for items that could be deferred until after the main construction works are completed at which time there would be more certainty regarding additional funds available.	
7.5	JH asked IA about the deadline for confirming the budget and commissioning the building works. IA responded that this needs to be as soon as possible (within the next 8-10 weeks) in order to avoid the risk of additional cost rises. Also, the Football Association (who are contributing a large grant to the project) are expecting the contract to be let by early summer.	
7.6	LF added that the tender for construction works is almost ready for release. She confirmed that there are costs within the overall project that are not linked to the initial construction works (eg loose fittings such as computer equipment, tables and chairs for the café) and that there is sufficient money in the budget to pay for the construction.	
7.7	In response to a query from JNe, LF confirmed that the Quantity Surveyor had developed a very detailed cost plan and was confident that no more than a 5% contingency would be needed.	
7.8	In response to a query from JFa, CT confirmed that options for alternative funding sources were being explored.	
7.9	The Board agreed that AA would discuss with IA and AL to explore potential phasing of costs and related risks and exploring options for funding. This will then be considered by PDG. Noted the urgency regarding timescales LF and CT left the meeting	AA/IA + AL
8.	Communications Update	
9.	Any Other Business	
9.1	The Wyeside Project: The Chair referred to discussions as this week's PDG when a number of concerns had been expressed	
-		

# Herefordshire Council

	about this project, including management of risks and relationships with key stakeholders. RR to arrange a date for the Project Lead to attend PDG, where these issues can be further discussed.	RR
9.2	<ul> <li>Programme Management Bid (NB IA and CD not present for this item)</li> <li>The Chair reminded Board members that the current Programme Management Contract with RR expires at the end of June. The proposal is for the new Contract to be awarded via a framework, rather than full open tender. JH is developing a draft for consideration by the Board.</li> </ul>	JH
10.	Date of Next Meeting Stronger Towns Fund Board: Friday 7 <sup>th</sup> June 2024 at 8.30 – 10.00 am at Hereford College of Arts and online.	