Settlement	Name	Pencombe
------------	------	----------

Site Address Land west of Burghope Orchard

Site Area (ha) 2.13 Potential Housing <sub>N/A</sub> Capacity	Greenfield Brownfield	Agricultural Land Classification <sup>3</sup>		
Is the site suitable for development?	Is development achievable on the site	Is the site available? ?		
Yes 🗆	Yes	Yes 🔽		
No 🗹	No 🖌	No 🗆		
Part	Part	Don't Know		
Possible timescale for development?				
1-5 Years	11-15 Years	Not In Current Plan Period		
6-10 Years 🛛	16-20 Years			

## **Flood information**

Zone 1 - Development is appropriate. A flood risk assessment will be required for sites greater than 1 Ha. Nearby ordinary watercourses and other sources of flooding such as surface water flooding should be explored for potential flood risk.

## Water information

DCWW operational area. Feasibility study required (to identify if improvements required).

## **Biodiversity information**

No protected species or statutory habitats recorded at this location.

#### **Highways information**

Comments: Difficult access. Could combine with access to Cottage but topography not good elsewhere. 'C' Class 30mph.

Conclusion: There are major issues with this site.

Site Address Land west of Burghope Orchard

#### Landscape and Historic Environment information

Landscape Sensitivity: Moderate Landscape Capacity: Moderate

Sensitivity & Capacity Analysis: The landscape is of moderate condition locally. The village has some limited capacity for expansion. The site is not highly visible, but intervisible with the historic core of the village and church. The site as shown would extend too far south into open countryside, but there is potential for small scale, sensitive development on the Northern section of site, subject to constraints (including steep slopes and listed building settings). Single storey development is preferable if the larger area of the site comes forward. Boundary vegetation should be retained and/or improved.

## Site probability

No potential

## Justification

Due to difficulties with access because of topography, this site is not suitable for development.

Settlement Name	Pencombe
-----------------	----------

Site Address Land north and east of New House Farm

Information Source LA officer identified

Site Area (ha) 1.45 Potential Housing <sub>20</sub> Capacity	Greenfield Brownfield	✓ Agricultural Land Classification 2
Is the site suitable for development?	Is development achievable on the site	Is the site available?
Yes 🗆	Yes 🗌	Yes 🗌
No 🗆	No 🗆	No 🗌
Part 🗹	Part 🗹	Don't Know 🔽
Possible timescale for develo	pment?	
1-5 Years	11-15 Years	Not In Current Plan Period
6-10 Years 🗹	16-20 Years	

## **Flood information**

Zone 1 - Development is appropriate. A flood risk assessment will be required for sites greater than 1 Ha. Nearby ordinary watercourses and other sources of flooding such as surface water flooding should be explored for potential flood risk.

## Water information

DCWW operational area. Feasibility study required (to identify if improvements required).

## **Biodiversity information**

No protected species or statutory habitats recorded at this location.

#### Highways information

Comments: Access to north is good but road needs widening. Access to south satisfactory but there are no footways in the village. Pedestrian connection through site would help connect village. 'C' Class. 30mph limit.

Conclusion: There are some issues with this site.

Site Address Land north and east of New House Farm

Information Source LA officer identified

#### Landscape and Historic Environment information

Landscape Sensitivity: Moderate Landscape Capacity: Moderate

Sensitivity & Capacity Analysis: The landscape is of moderate condition locally. The village has some limited capacity for expansion. The site is not highly visible but the North West end would extend the village too far to the West. It is also constrained by the watercourse and trees. There is some potential for development on the East section of the site. Boundary vegetation should be retained and/or improved.

## Site probability

Medium potential

## Justification

Potential for development in eastern area of the site as a large development would extend too far westwards into open countryside. Access possible at southern end of site. Need to consider cumulative effects of development in this village small pockets of development preferable.

Settlement Name	Pencombe
-----------------	----------

Site Address Land west of Court Farm

Information Source LA officer identified

Site Area (ha) 0.80 Potential Housing <sub>N/A</sub> Capacity	Greenfield Brownfield □	Agricultural Land Classification <sup>2</sup>
Is the site suitable for development?	Is development achievable on the site?	Is the site available?
Yes 🗌	Yes 🗌	Yes
No 🔽	No 🔽	No 🗆
Part	Part	Don't Know 🔽
Possible timescale for deve	lopment?	
1-5 Years	11-15 Years	Not In Current Plan Period 🔽
6-10 Years	16-20 Years	

## **Flood information**

Zone 1 - Development is appropriate. A flood risk assessment will be required for sites greater than 1 Ha. Nearby ordinary watercourses and other sources of flooding such as surface water flooding should be explored for potential flood risk.

## Water information

DCWW operational area. Feasibility study required (to identify if improvements required).

## **Biodiversity information**

No protected species or statutory habitats recorded at this location.

#### Highways information

Comments: Centre of village, access by church very limited and along face of triangle needing road widening. Best access point at western end on south bend next to site 004. 30mph limit.

Conclusion: There are some issues with this site.

Site Address Land west of Court Farm

#### Landscape and Historic Environment information

Landscape Sensitivity: High - Moderate Landscape Capacity: Moderate - Low

Sensitivity & Capacity Analysis: The landscape is of a moderate condition locally. The village has some limited capacity for expansion. There are open views of the countryside beyond, which are important to the villagescape character. The site is within the historic village core: there is potential for adverse effects on the setting of listed buildings, church and village green.

## Site probability

No potential

## Justification

Potential adverse harm to the historic core of the village in this particular sensitive setting.

Site Address Land south of Old Rectory, Bromyard Road Information Source LA officer identified

Site Area (ha) 0.49 Potential Housing <sub>N/A</sub> Capacity	Greenfield Brownfield	✓ Agricultural Land Classification 2
Is the site suitable for development?	Is development achievable on the site	Is the site available?
Yes 🗆	Yes 🗌	Yes 🗌
No 🗹	No 🔽	No 🗆
Part 🗌	Part	Don't Know 🔽
Possible timescale for develo	opment?	
1-5 Years	11-15 Years	Not In Current Plan Period
6-10 Years	16-20 Years	

#### **Flood information**

Zone 1 - Development is appropriate. A flood risk assessment will be required for sites greater than 1 Ha. Nearby ordinary watercourses and other sources of flooding such as surface water flooding should be explored for potential flood risk.

#### Water information

DCWW operational area. Feasibility study required (to identify if improvements required).

## **Biodiversity information**

UK BAP Priority Habitat (Habitat of Principal Importance)- Traditional Orchard covering part of site and remainder of site is also a Priority Habitat

#### **Highways information**

Comments: Access only possible via sewage treatment works access lane.

Conclusion: There are some issues with this site.

Site Address Land south of Old Rectory, Bromyard Road Information Source LA officer identified

#### Landscape and Historic Environment information

Landscape Sensitivity: Moderate Landscape Capacity: Moderate

Sensitivity & Capacity Analysis: The landscape is of a moderate condition locally. The village has some limited capacity for expansion. The site is not highly visible, but residential properties are adjacent. The site has potential for small scale development, but the orchard and mature vegetation should be retained and protected.

## Site probability

No potential

#### Justification

Due to the presence of a BAP Priority Habitat (part traditional orchard and part with no main habitat) this site is an inappropriate location for new development.

Settlement Name P	encombe
-------------------	---------

Site Address Land north of Springfield, Risbury Road

Information Source LA officer identified

Site Area (ha) 1.11 Potential Housing <sub>20</sub> Capacity	Greenfield Brownfield	Agricultural Land Classification 2		
Is the site suitable for development?	Is development achievable on the site	Is the site available?		
Yes 🔽	Yes 🔽	Yes 🗌		
No 🗌	No 🗆	No 🗆		
Part	Part	Don't Know 🔽		
Possible timescale for development?				
1-5 Years	11-15 Years	Not In Current Plan Period		
6-10 Years 🗹	16-20 Years			

## **Flood information**

Zone 1 - Development is appropriate. A flood risk assessment will be required for sites greater than 1 Ha. Nearby ordinary watercourses and other sources of flooding such as surface water flooding should be explored for potential flood risk.

## Water information

DCWW operational area. Feasibility study required (to identify if improvements required).

## **Biodiversity information**

No protected species or statutory habitats recorded at this location.

# Highways information

Comments: Probably best site in terms of highways in village to develop. Road already widened. Footway could help. 'C' Class 30mph limit.

Conclusion: There are no or minor issues with this site.

Site Address Land north of Springfield, Risbury Road

Information Source LA officer identified

#### Landscape and Historic Environment information

Landscape Sensitivity: Moderate Landscape Capacity: Moderate

Sensitivity & Capacity Analysis: The landscape is of a moderate condition locally. The village has some limited capacity for expansion. The site is not highly visible, but intervisible with a listed cottage. The site as shown would extend too far West into open countryside, but there is potential for development in line with the Western end of the existing houses. A single storey development would be preferable, especially as the land is higher this side of the road, and there are mainly bungalows opposite. Boundary vegetation must be retained and improved.

## Site probability

Medium potential

## Justification

Some scope for small scale development but avoid going too far west into open countryside. Single storey more appropriate as land is higher in this location.

Settlement Nar	ne Pencombe	Site Ref	O/Pen/005	Post Code	HR7 4RL	
Site Address	Land east of Cot Cowarne Road	swold House, Little	Information	n Source LA d	officer identified	
Site Area (ha) 2.02 Potential Housing <sub>N/A</sub>			Greenfield		Agricultural Land Classification <sup>3</sup>	
Capacity						
Is the site sui		Is development achievable on tl	he site?	Is the site a	available?	
Yes 🗌		Yes 🗆		Yes		
No 🗹		No 🔽		No		
Part		Part		Don't Know	/	
Possible timescale for development?						
1-5 Years		11-15 Years	Not In Curr	ent Plan Perioc		
6-10 Years		16-20 Years				

## **Flood information**

Zone 1 - Development is appropriate. A flood risk assessment will be required for sites greater than 1 Ha. Nearby ordinary watercourses and other sources of flooding such as surface water flooding should be explored for potential flood risk.

## Water information

DCWW operational area. Feasibility study required (to identify if improvements required).

# **Biodiversity information**

No protected species or statutory habitats recorded at this location.

#### **Highways information**

Comments: Site access satisfactory, but right on edge of village with little opportunity for sustainable connection.

Conclusion: There are major issues with this site.

Site Address Land east of Cotswold House, Little Cowarne Road

Information Source LA officer identified

#### Landscape and Historic Environment information

Landscape Sensitivity: High - Moderate Landscape Capacity: Moderate - Low

Sensitivity & Capacity Analysis: The landscape is of a moderate condition locally. The village has some limited capacity for expansion. The site is not highly visible, but there are probably mid-distance views from the ridge to the East (Three Rivers Ride). The site as shown would extend too far South into open countryside, and unacceptably increase the size of the settlement to South East.

## Site probability

No potential

#### Justification

Site would extend too far south into open countryside and unacceptably increase size of settlement. There is not a strong enough connection with the built form to connect this site to the village.

