Site Ref HLAA/314/001

Site Address Land at Michaelchurch Escley

Information Source Call for sites

Site Area (ha) 0.50 Potential Housing <sub>N/A</sub> Capacity	Greenfield Brownfield □	Agricultural Land Classification 4
Is the site suitable for development?	Is development achievable on the site?	Is the site available?
Yes 🗌	Yes	Yes 🔽
No 🔽	No 🔽	No 🗆
Part	Part	Don't Know
Possible timescale for devel	opment?	
1-5 Years	11-15 Years	Not In Current Plan Period
6-10 Years	16-20 Years	

## **Flood information**

Zone 1 - Development is appropriate. A flood risk assessment will be required for sites greater than 1 Ha. Nearby ordinary watercourses and other sources of flooding such as surface water flooding should be explored for potential flood risk.

### Water information

DCWW water supply infrastructure only. Issues with water supply network. Current sewerage capacity not known.

### **Biodiversity information**

Two UK BAP Priority Habitats (Habitats of Principal Importance)- Traditional Orchard + Woodpasture & Parkland.

### Highways information

Comments: Site access to derestricted road at track to cricket pavilion. Visibility right is very poor, visibility left just satisfactory.

Conclusion: There are major issues with this site.

```
Information Source Call for sites
```

### Landscape and Historic Environment information

Landscape Sensitivity: High - Moderate Landscape Capacity: Low - Moderate

Sensitivity & Capacity Analysis: The area is a very good example of landscape type and historic landscape locally, of very good quality and condition. The area is sparsely settled, with a very sensitive landscape and villagescape. There is very limited capacity for new development. Development is inappropriate in this location.

## Site probability

No potential

### Justification

Site access is inadequate and is situated within sensitive landscape and villagescape area

Information Source Call for sites

Site Area (ha) 0.39 Potential Housing <sub>5</sub> Capacity	Greenfield	Agricultural Land Classification <sup>4</sup>		
Is the site suitable for development?	Is development achievable on the site?	Is the site available?		
Yes 🖌	Yes 🔽	Yes 🔽		
No 🗆	No 🗆	No		
Part	Part	Don't Know		
Possible timescale for development?				
1-5 Years	11-15 Years 🛛	Not In Current Plan Period		
6-10 Years 🗹	16-20 Years			

## **Flood information**

Zone 1 - Development is appropriate. A flood risk assessment will be required for sites greater than 1 Ha. Nearby ordinary watercourses and other sources of flooding such as surface water flooding should be explored for potential flood risk.

### Water information

DCWW water supply infrastructure only. Issues with water supply network. Current sewerage capacity not known.

# **Biodiversity information**

No protected species or statutory habitats recorded at this location.

### **Highways information**

Comments: Site access to derestricted road, no footways, but visiblity acceptable. Road width satisfactory.

Conclusion: There are some issues with this site.

### Landscape and Historic Environment information

Landscape Sensitivity: High - Moderate Landscape Capacity: Low - Moderate

Sensitivity & Capacity Analysis: The area is a very good example of landscape type and historic natural landscape locally, of very good quality & condition. The area is sparsely settled, with a very sensitive landscape and villagescape, and there is very limited capacity for new development. Site is in open countryside and part of villagescape and landscape character. But, in context of roadside, for residential to North there may be very limited potential, subject to constraints especially the tree avenue, access and sensitive, appropriate design etc.

## Site probability

Medium potential

## Justification

Highly sensitive area but could accommodate a small carefully designed scheme that could be appropriate for this location

Information Source Call for sites

Site Area (ha) 0.71 Potential Housing <sub>N/A</sub> Capacity	Greenfield Brownfield	Agricultural Land Classification
Is the site suitable for development?	Is development achievable on the site	Is the site available? ?
Yes 🗌	Yes	Yes 🔽
No 🖌	No 🔽	No 🗆
Part	Part	Don't Know
Possible timescale for develo	pment?	
1-5 Years	11-15 Years	Not In Current Plan Period
6-10 Years	16-20 Years	

### **Flood information**

Zone 1 - Development is appropriate. A flood risk assessment will be required for sites greater than 1 Ha. Nearby ordinary watercourses and other sources of flooding such as surface water flooding should be explored for potential flood risk.

### Water information

DCWW water supply infrastructure only. Issues with water supply network. Current sewerage capacity not known.

### **Biodiversity information**

UK BAP Priority Habitat (Habitat of Principal Importance)- Traditional Orchard.

# Highways information

Comments: Possible access to two roads. Good visibility opposite apex of bend but best access on road to south as road is very wide. Visibility to main route from side road slightly restricted both ways.

Possible mitigation: Pull hedge back on development site (subject to hedgerow regulations).

Conclusion: There are some issues with this site.

#### Landscape and Historic Environment information

Landscape Sensitivity: High - Moderate Landscape Capacity: Low - Moderate

Sensitivity & Capacity Analysis: The site is in Ancient Border Farmland. This Landscape Character type and the local area are both highly vulnerable to change, especially new development. The area is a very good example of its landscape type and historic landscape locally, of very good quality & condition. The area is sparsely settled, with a very sensitive landscape and villagescape, with very limited capacity for new development.

## Site probability

No potential

### Justification

Development is inappropriate in this location due to its historic landscape pattern. The site also contains a Traditional Orchard, which is a BAP priority habitat where development is inappropriate

Information Source Call for sites

Site Area (ha) 0.30 Potential Housing <sub>N/A</sub> Capacity	Greenfield Brownfield	✓ Agricultural Land Classification		
Is the site suitable for development?	Is development achievable on the site	Is the site available?		
Yes 🗆	Yes 🗌	Yes 🔽		
No 🗹	No 🔽	No		
Part 🗌	Part	Don't Know		
Possible timescale for development?				
1-5 Years	11-15 Years	Not In Current Plan Period		
6-10 Years	16-20 Years			

## **Flood** information

Zone 1 - Development is appropriate. A flood risk assessment will be required for sites greater than 1 Ha. Nearby ordinary watercourses and other sources of flooding such as surface water flooding should be explored for potential flood risk.

## Water information

DCWW water supply infrastructure only. Issues with water supply network. Current sewerage capacity not known.

# **Biodiversity information**

No protected species or statutory habitats recorded at this location.

### **Highways information**

Comments: Difficult to get access with any form of visibility due to bend.

Conclusion: There are major issues with this site.

### Landscape and Historic Environment information

Landscape Sensitvity: High - Moderate Landscape Capacity: Low - Moderate

Sensitivity & Capacity Analysis: The site is set in Ancient Border Farmland, this Landscape type and local area are both highly vulnerable to change, especially new development. The area is a very good example of its landscape type, and historic and natural landscape locally, of very good quality & condition. The area is sparsely settled, with a very sensitive landscape and villagescape, with very limited capacity for new development. The site is in open countryside and part of the villagescape and landscape character. But in context of roadside residential, for development to the South there may be very limited potential for 1 or 2 dwellings along the lane in local vernacular.

## Site probability

No potential

## Justification

Difficult access making the site unsuitable.

Information Source Call for sites

Site Area (ha) 0.38 Potential Housing <sub>N/A</sub> Capacity	Greenfield Brownfield	✓ Agricultural Land Classification		
Is the site suitable for development?	Is development achievable on the site	Is the site available?		
Yes 🗆	Yes 🗌	Yes 🔽		
No 🗹	No 🔽	No		
Part	Part	Don't Know		
Possible timescale for development?				
1-5 Years	11-15 Years	Not In Current Plan Period 🔽		
6-10 Years	16-20 Years			

## **Flood information**

Zone 1 - Development is appropriate. A flood risk assessment will be required for sites greater than 1 Ha. Nearby ordinary watercourses and other sources of flooding such as surface water flooding should be explored for potential flood risk.

### Water information

DCWW water supply infrastructure only. Issues with water supply network. Current sewerage treatment capacity not known.

### **Biodiversity information**

No protected species or statutory habitats recorded at this location.

### **Highways information**

Comments: Good location in centre of village. Access to road to north possible though visibility slightly restricted both ways. Road is reasonably wide. Access via road to west visiblity is good but road is narrow and hedge would need to be removed to improve visibility/widen road (subject to hedgerow regulations).

Conclusion: There are some issues with this site.

### Landscape and Historic Environment information

Landscape Sensitivity: High - Moderate Landscape Capacity: Low - Moderate

Sensitivity & Capacity Analysis: The site is in Ancient Border Farmland, this Landscape Character type and local area are both highly vulnerable to change, especially new development. The area is a very good example of its landscape type and historic and natural landscape locally, of a very good quality & condition. The area is sparsely settled, with a very sensitive landscape and villagescape, with very limited capacity for new development. The site is in open countryside, and part of the villagescape & landscape character. There is potential for adverse effects, especially the gateway, Listed Buildings and Public Right of Way.

## Site probability

No potential

### Justification

The site has very limited capacity to accommodate new development due to its sensitive landscape setting and type. Due to the potential adverse effects on landscape features, the site is innappropriate for development.

