# Bridstow Neighbourhood Development Plan Basic Condition Statement (January 2021)

#### **Section 1 - Introduction**

This Basic Condition Statement is prepared to accompany Bridstow Neighbourhood Development Plan.

It is submitted by Bridstow Parish Council, which is the qualifying body under the Localism Act 2011. Bridstow Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) covers Bridstow Neighbourhood Plan area only and no other Neighbourhood Plan areas. The Local Plan for the Parish is Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy (HCS) which was adopted on 16 October 2015. Herefordshire Council has prepared a Travellers' Sites Development Plan and a draft Minerals and Waste Local Plan. It is expected that, in combination, these will comprise the Development Plan Documents for Bridstow Parish. The NDP specifies the period for which it is to have effect, which is 2011-2031.

This Basic Conditions Statement has been prepared to show that the Bridstow Neighbourhood Development Plan complies with the provisions of Schedule 4B of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as amended. The basic conditions<sup>1</sup> required by this provision are:

- 1. That having regard to national policies and advice contained in guidance issued by the Secretary of State, it is appropriate to make the Neighbourhood Development Plan.
- 2. The making of the neighbourhood plan contributes to the achievement of sustainable development.
- 3. The making of the neighbourhood plan is in general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the development plan for the area of the authority (or any part of that area).
- 4. The making of the or neighbourhood plan does not breach, and is otherwise compatible with, EU obligations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As explained in Planning Practice Guidance

Bridstow Neighbourhood Development Plan – Basic Conditions Statement (January 2021)

5. That the plan meets prescribed conditions in relation to the Neighbourhood Development Plan. In this regard so far as they affect this neighbourhood plan, the prescribed condition is that the 'making' of the neighbourhood plan is not likely to have a significant effect on a European site (as defined in the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2012).

In relation to the first matter, Bridstow Parish Council made an application to Herefordshire Council to designate a Neighbourhood Area under the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations on 2<sup>nd</sup> August 2013. This was approved by Herefordshire Council on 23<sup>rd</sup> September 2013.

Matters 2 and 3 are covered within the schedules in sections 2 and 3 of this document. The fourth and fifth matters are covered in section 4.

Bridstow Neighbourhood Development Plan does not deal with excluded development which covers County matters, waste development, Annex 1 EIA development, or any nationally important infrastructure projects.

# Figure 1 – Bridstow Neighbourhood Plan Area



© Crown copyright and database rights (2014) Ordnance Survey (0100055894)

Figure 2 - Location of Bridstow Parish within Ross-on-Wye Housing Market Area.



© Crown copyright and database rights (2014) Ordnance Survey (0100055894)

# Section 2 – Contribution to the Achievement of Sustainable Development

Sustainable development – Core Principles of the Plan-led system	Bridstow Neighbourhood Development Plan Provisions
Genuinely plan-led empowering local people through a positive local vision for the area (NPPF para 15).	The community contributed to the preparation of the Neighbourhood Plan at a number of stages, and their views and comments were all given serious consideration. Events and surveys were undertaken to seek views. This included involving the community in setting a positive vision and objectives for the plan and the consideration of options. The extent of community involvement is set out in greater detail within the Consultation Statement.
Ensure that a sufficient amount and variety of land can come forward where it is needed and that the needs of groups with specific housing requirements are addressed (NPPF para 59).	A positive approach has been taken so far as has been possible, to accommodating the level of new housing which the Herefordshire Core Strategy has determined for the settlements of Bridstow and Wilton. However, this has been challenging because of major landscape and highway constraints. It is proposed to meet housing development requirements substantially through the allocation of small sites in the vicinity of Buckcastle Hill and Bannuttree Lane, two of the three compartments that comprise the settlement of Bridstow. In addition, a flexible approach has been taken to enabling windfall development through the inclusion of a number of areas within settlement boundaries where planning applications will need to show that landscape, highway and amenity objections can be overcome. The Plan does rely upon the resultant windfall development to meet the required level of proportional housing growth. Advice from Herefordshire Council led to an approach to Ross-on-Wye Town Council to utilise some of its overprovision in the event that there is any shortfall. A process to review supply forms part of the NDP. No specific housing requirements for specific groups were identified within the Parish. Sites of a size to meet the provisions of Core Strategy policy H3 were not available.
Create the conditions in which businesses can invest, expand and adapt, supporting economic growth and productivity, taking into account both local business needs and wider opportunities for development. The area should build on its strengths, counter any weaknesses and address the challenges of the future, especially where Britain can be a global leader in driving innovation, and in areas with high levels of productivity, which should be able to capitalise on their performance and potential (NPPF para 80).	The Plan provides flexibility to support the local economy where this is in scale with the rural nature of the Parish. In particular, the conversion of rural buildings to workshops, working from home and appropriate tourism enterprises are encouraged where this is environmentally acceptable, to be of appropriate scale and not to affect local amenity. These provisions reflect Core Strategy approach to ensure such development is in scale with and does not adversely affect the local character. The plan, however, recognises that the highway network creates a constraint.
Achieve healthy, inclusive and safe places which promote social interaction, are safe and accessible,	The Plan can only address a limited number of such matters given its sparse rural nature. There are limited development needs that would lead to any new mixed developments. Bridstow Parish accommodates a limited range of services and facilities to meet local

and enable and support healthy lifestyles (NPPF para 91). Transport issues should be considered from the earliest stages of plan-making, addressing the potential impacts of development on transport networks; realising opportunities from existing or proposed transport infrastructure, and changing transport technology and usage; identifying and pursuing opportunities to promote walking, cycling and public transport use; taking account of the environmental impacts of traffic and transport infrastructure; and integrating patterns of movement, streets, parking and other transport considerations into the design of schemes, and contribute to making high quality places (NPPF para 102).	community needs, and these are to be protected. This includes a limited amount of open space. The location in relation to Ross-on-Wye reduces the viability of many services and other land use needs that might be accommodated through a mixed-use scheme. The Parish Council has a limited ability to influence many of the transport issues. Both Herefordshire Council and Highways England (in respect of the A40 and A49 trunk roads) control roads within the parish. Highways and transport issues were identified early within the preparation of the plan and discussed with the wider community in order to identify those matters most relevant to the area that should be discussed with the highway authorities in accordance with Core Strategy policy SS4. Relevant design requirements in accordance with this objective have been identified.
Support the expansion of electronic communications networks, including next generation mobile technology and full fibre broadband connections (NPPF para 112).	This objective is covered in the NDP.
Promote an effective use of land in meeting the need for homes and other uses, while safeguarding and improving the environment and ensuring safe and healthy living conditions (NPPF para 117).	Appropriate Core Strategy policies covering pollution are considered appropriate to the Parish although provision is made to protect housing from contaminated land and residential amenity. Sites proposed in the NDP have been assessed against a range of relevant environmental criteria.
Creation of high-quality buildings and places is fundamental to what the planning and development process should achieve through good design (NPPF para 124).	Criteria have been set in a number of policies that would promote high standards of architecture and sustainable design for residential development. Limited other forms of development are anticipated and reliance upon design for these, should they arise, would be through the Core Strategy and NPPF. The character and appearance of that part of the Parish falling within Ross-on-Wye Conservation Area is to be preserved or enhanced.
Support the transition to a low carbon future in a changing climate, taking full account of flood risk and coastal change (NPPF para 148).	The community recognises the importance of addressing climate change. The plan avoids proposals within the areas considered to be at risk of flooding. Provision is made for other appropriate environmental safeguards, the promotion of sustainable design and the sensitive promotion of renewable and low carbon energy.
Contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by protecting and enhancing valued landscapes, sites of biodiversity or geological value and soils; recognising the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside, and the wider benefits from natural capital and ecosystem; minimising impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity; preventing new and existing development from contributing to, unacceptable levels of soil, air, water or noise pollution	Policies in the NDP place particular emphasis upon ensuring the rural character of the Parish is maintained, and these will work in association with Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy's local distinctiveness and sustainable design policies. The Parish falls within the Wye Valley AONB so its landscape is of national importance and must have the highest level of protection. The River Wye SAC is included within its boundary, along part of its boundary. Relevant provisions within the NPPF that protect the natural environment are seen as very important by the local community and should have a high priority. The Plan seeks to balance the need for housing and employment with seeking to protect the high-quality landscape character and quality of its surrounding countryside. The Parish

or land instability, and remediating and mitigating despoiled, degraded, derelict, contaminated and unstable land (NPPF para 170).	Council is aware, in particular, that it must contribute towards ensuring that the natural environmental assets are properly conserved and enhanced, especially those of national or international importance.
Conserve heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance (NPPF para 184).	Relevant assets have been identified and given the appropriate level of protection, including through the assessment of sites for housing. The character analysis and inclusion of appropriate criteria for the range of heritage assets present support Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy polices LD4.

National Planning Policy Guidance Provision	Relevant Herefordshire Core Strategy Policy/Requirement	Bridstow Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) Policy /Approach NDP Policies and references are presented <u>in bold</u>
Achie	eving Sustainable Development – Place Shaping	g Approach
Set out a positive vision for the future of the area addressing housing needs and other economic, social and environmental priorities; and a platform for local people to shape their surroundings (NPPF para 15). Contribute to the achievement of sustainable development (NPPF para 16a). Prepared positively, in a way that is aspirational but deliverable (NPPF para 16b). Shaped by early, proportionate and effective engagement between plan- makers and communities, local organisations, businesses, infrastructure providers and operators and statutory consultees (NPPF para 16c). Policies are clearly written and unambiguous, so it is evident how a decision maker should react to development proposals (NPPF para 16d).	<ul> <li>Vision for the County</li> <li>"Herefordshire will be a place of distinctive environmental, historical and cultural assets and local communities, with sustainable development fostering a high quality of life for those who live, work and visit here. A sustainable future for the county will be based on the interdependence of the themes of social progress, economic prosperity and environmental quality with the aim of increasing the county's self-reliance and resilience".</li> <li>(Policy SS1 - extract) When considering development proposals take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development. Always work proactively to find solutions so that proposals can be approved wherever possible and to secure development that improves the social, economic and environmental conditions.</li> <li>Planning applications that accord with the policies in this Core Strategy and, Neighbourhood Development Plans will be approved, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.</li> <li>Where there are no policies relevant to the application then permission will be granted unless material considerations indicate otherwise taking into account whether:</li> <li>a) any adverse impacts of granting permission would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against national policy taken as a whole; or</li> <li>b) specific elements of national policy indicate that development</li> </ul>	The NDP (Section 4) sets out a positive vision for the Parish, supported by a number of objectives addressing those overarching sustainability objectives that are specifically relevant to the Parish. This is a shared vision following consultation with and endorsement by the community. <b>Policy BR1</b> sets out the high-level sustainable development priorities forming the basis of the strategy for the Parish, complementing HCS policy SS1 and ensuring the plan aligns with the strategic needs and priorities of the wider local area (NPPF para 29). It seeks compliance of development through an integrated policy with those sustainable development objectives that are promoted in the NPPF and HCS and considered most relevant to the community. It is considered that the provisions in this policy do not conflict with but contribute positively to the sustainable development requirements of the NPPF paragraphs 11 to 13 and HCS Policy SS1. The NDP is aspirational yet practical; has involved the whole community at informal and formal stages (See Consultation
Accessible through the use of digital tools to assist public involvement	should be restricted.	Statement), including through internet facilities; and although detailed, contains policies that are clearly identifiable. It is

# Section 3 – Compliance with the NPPF and Herefordshire Core Strategy

and policy presentation (NPPF para 16e). Serve a clear purpose, avoiding unnecessary duplication of policies that apply to a particular area (including policies in this Framework, where relevant) (NPPF para 16f).	available on the Parish Council's website and also through Herefordshire Council's website. There is some duplication in policy elements, but this is to emphasise the community's aspirations in those regards and identify local issues. It does not seek to reduce the levels of development set out in Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy
Set out more detailed policies for specific areas, neighbourhoods or types of development, including allocating sites, the provision of infrastructure and community facilities at a local level, establishing design principles, conserving and enhancing the natural and historic environment and setting out other development management policies (NPPF para 28).	
Neighbourhood plans should develop a shared vision for their area (NPPF para 29).	
Neighbourhood plans should shape, direct and help to deliver sustainable development(NPPF para 29).	
Neighbourhood plans should not promote less development than set out in the strategic policies for the area or undermine those strategic policies (NPPF para 29).	

#### Achieving Sustainable Development – Economic Role Building a strong, responsive and competitive economy by ensuring sufficient land of the right type is available in the right places and at the right time; and by identifying and co-ordinating development requirements including the provision of infrastructure. Building a strong, competitive economy (Policy SS5 - extract) Safeguard existing higher quality Set out a clear economic vision and The NDP sets an objective to support and employment land from alternative uses. New strategic employment strategy which positively and local businesses and economic development land and smaller scale employment sites will be delivered through proactively encourages sustainable activities, including farming and tourism, economic growth, having regard to the plan period. Development of the more traditional employment where these are consistent with the nature sectors such as farming, and food and drink manufacturing will be and scale of the Parish. There are no Local Industrial Strategies and other supported. The diversification of the business base, through the local policies for economic strategic employment requirements development of knowledge intensive industries, environmental development and regeneration identified within the Parish. The strategy for technologies and creative industries as well as business hubs, live-(NPPF para 81a) economic development is set out in Policy work schemes and the adaptive design of residential development, BR1(d). The strategy for the location of will be facilitated where they do not have an adverse impact on the development for business and employment Set criteria, or identify strategic community or local environment. The provision of high-speed is set out in **Policy BR2**. This is given effect sites, for local and inward broadband to facilitate diversification will be supported. investment to match the strategy through a general policy covering rural and to meet anticipated needs over enterprises, diversification and working from (Policy E1 - Extract) The focus for new employment provision in the plan period (NPPF para 81b) home (**Policy BR17**). Tourism development Herefordshire is to provide a range of locations, types and sizes of would also be encouraged through this employment buildings, land and offices to meet the needs of the policy. Policy BR23 supports broadband Seek to address potential barriers to local economy. Larger employment proposals will be directed to the investment, such as inadequate and telecommunications infrastructure that strategic employment sites of Hereford, the market towns and rural infrastructure, services or housing, will assist business and Policy BR18 industrial estates where appropriate. Development proposals which or a poor environment (NPPF para encourages renewable and low carbon enhance employment provision and help diversify the economy of 81c) and energy. These supplement HCS policies for Herefordshire will be encouraged where: the proposal is appropriate aspects that the community wish particular Be flexible enough to accommodate in terms of its connectivity, scale, design and size; the proposal to support. These are considered consistent needs not anticipated in the plan. makes better use of previously developed land or buildings; the with the NPPF and HCS. allow for new and flexible working proposal is an appropriate extension to strengthen or diversify an practices (such as live-work existing business operation. The provision of viable live/work units The NDP does not seek to restrict any accommodation), and to enable a as part of mixed-use developments will also be encouraged. proposals that would be permitted under rapid response to changes in Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy. economic circumstances (NPPF (Policy E2 – Extract) Employment land and buildings rated as para 81d). Policy BR17 encourages flexible working 'best' and 'good' using the methodology in the Employment Land practices [NPPF 81(d)] through home Study 2012 (or successor document) will be safeguarded from Recognise and address the specific workina. locational requirements of different redevelopment to other non-employment uses. Proposals which sectors, including making provision would result in the loss of employment land rated as 'moderate' will HCS does not require the NDP to bring be permitted where: for clusters or networks of forward strategic employment sites, clusters knowledge and data-driven, creative or networks within the parish.

Supporting a prosperous rural economy	- unsociable hours of operation; and - the storage of hazardous materials or emissions from the site.	
	(Policy E3 – Extract) The value of home working will be recognised by allowing some material change of use to part of a dwelling, where the dwelling remains as the principle place of residence for the home worker; and recognising the potential to encourage and expand home working, by allowing small extensions or conversions where the proposed use and operation would be compatible with its location and heritage value, and where it would not adversely affect the amenity of the neighbourhood by any of the following: - changes to the appearance of any building; - noise disturbance from the use or any increased traffic and parking generated;	The NDP in so far as it relates to economic development and the promotion of business is considered consistent with the provisions contained within the NPPF and HCS. The criteria which may restrict such development are similar to safeguards in both the NPPF and HCS and relate primarily to amenity and the environment.
	The provision of ancillary and complementary uses which help meet the day-to-day needs of employment sites and their employees and improve the sites' attractiveness to businesses, will be permitted where they are of a scale which does not impact on the overall supply of employment land.	Reliance is placed upon HCS policy E2 to avoid the unnecessary loss of business premises. There are no sites within the Parish identified within Herefordshire Council's Employment Land Study.
	In all cases: -the viability of the development proposal must be confirmed through a comprehensive assessment; and -there must be evidence of appropriate and active marketing of at least 12 months for a change of use of a B Class employment use and it can be shown that this marketing has been unsuccessful.	Traditional employment sectors such as farming, business diversification and new forms of business are not restricted provided they are in scale with the rural character of the area and do not have any adverse effect on the community (amenity), transport and environment (HCS policy SS5).
or high technology industries; and for storage and distribution operations at a variety of scales and in suitably accessible locations (NPPF para 82).	<ol> <li>the development of the site for other uses would not result in an overall shortage in the quality and quantity of employment land supply in the area; or</li> <li>there would be a net improvement in amenity through the removal of a nonconforming use from within a residential area, and where an alternative use would offer amenity benefits. For sites in existing employment use, consideration should also be given to the ability to relocate existing occupiers where this is necessary; or</li> <li>the proposal would not result in a piecemeal loss of employment</li> </ol>	<b>Policy BR17</b> supports existing business sectors present within the Parish, meeting HCS Policy E1 provisions that support the diversification of the rural economy, with no distinction between traditional forms or those in new or emerging sectors, including high technology forms. It contains safeguards, but these do not extend beyond those expressed in the NPPF or HCS.

(Policy RA5 – Extract) The sustainable re-use of individual and groups of redundant or disused buildings, including farmsteads in rural areas, making a positive contribution to rural businesses and enterprise and support the local economy (including live work units) or which otherwise contributes to or is essential to the social well-being of the countryside, will be permitted where:	The NDP supports the development of all types of local businesses, especially those that result in diversification (Policy BR17), provided they are of an appropriate scale, conforming with relevant HCS policies and this includes the conversion of rural buildings to employment upon
<ol> <li>design respects the character and significance of any redundant or disused building and demonstrates that it represents the most viable option for the long-term conservation and enhancement of any heritage asset affected, together with its setting;</li> </ol>	buildings to employment uses. In this regard the NDP does not restrict support to agricultural diversification (which would include tourism), forestry or other rural
<ol> <li>design proposals make adequate provision for protected and priority species and associated habitats;</li> </ol>	enterprises offered by HCS. Specific protection is provided to retain the character
<ol> <li>the proposal is compatible with neighbouring uses, including any continued agricultural operations and does not cause undue environmental impacts:</li> </ol>	of the rural area with references to scale, traffic generation and amenity in addition to landscape character and heritage assets. As
<ol> <li>the buildings are of permanent and substantial construction capable of conversion without major or complete reconstruction; and</li> </ol>	previously indicated, these accord with both the NPPF and HCS. The NDP does set out parameters for the development of polytunnels ( <b>Policy BR19</b> ),
<ol> <li>the building is capable of accommodating the proposed new use without the need for substantial alteration or extension, ancillary buildings, areas of hard standing or development which individually or taken together would adversely affect the character or appearance of the building or have a detrimental impact on its surroundings and landscape setting.</li> </ol>	but these do not extend beyond those expressed in the NPPF or HCS. Specific protection is provided to retain the character of the rural area with references to scale, traffic generation and amenity in addition to landscape character and heritage assets. As previously indicated these accord with both the NPPF and HCS.
subject to a condition removing permitted development rights for future alterations, extensions and other developments.	
(Policy RA6 – Extract) Employment generating proposals which help diversify the rural economy such as knowledge based creative industries, environmental technologies, business diversification	<b>Policy BR17</b> supports development that would enhance the viability of local services and facilities serving the community (NPPF para 83d).
activities will be supported, including proposals which:	<b>Policy BR17</b> would include support for tourism and visitor activities (NPPF para
<ul> <li>support and strengthen local food and drink production;</li> <li>support and/or protect the vitality and viability of commercial facilities of an appropriate type and scale in rural areas, such as</li> </ul>	83c).
	<ul> <li>groups of redundant or disused buildings, including farmsteads in rural areas, making a positive contribution to rural businesses and enterprise and support the local economy (including live work units) or which otherwise contributes to or is essential to the social wellbeing of the countryside, will be permitted where:</li> <li>design respects the character and significance of any redundant or disused building and demonstrates that it represents the most viable option for the long-term conservation and enhancement of any heritage asset affected, together with its setting;</li> <li>design proposals make adequate provision for protected and priority species and associated habitats;</li> <li>the proposal is compatible with neighbouring uses, including any continued agricultural operations and does not cause undue environmental impacts;</li> <li>the buildings are of permanent and substantial construction capable of conversion without major or complete reconstruction; and</li> <li>the building is capable of accommodating the proposed new use without the need for substantial alteration or extension, ancillary buildings, areas of hard standing or development which individually or taken together would adversely affect the character or appearance of the building or have a detrimental impact on its surroundings and landscape setting.</li> <li>Any planning permissions granted pursuant to this policy will be subject to a condition removing permitted development rights for future alterations, extensions and other developments.</li> <li>(Policy RA6 – Extract) Employment generating proposals which help diversify the rural economy such as knowledge based creative industries, environmental technologies, business diversification projects and home working will be supported. A range of economic activities will be supported, including proposals which:</li> <li>support and strengthen local food and drink production;</li> <li>support and/or protect the vitality and viability of commercial</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>village shops, petrol filling stations, garden centres and public houses.</li> <li>involve the small-scale extension of existing businesses;</li> <li>promote sustainable tourism proposals of an appropriate scale in accordance with Policy E4 -Tourism;</li> <li>promote the sustainable use of the natural and historic environment as an asset which is valued and conserved;</li> <li>support the retention of existing military sites;</li> <li>support the retention and/ or diversification of existing agricultural businesses.</li> <li>Planning applications which are submitted in order to diversify the rural economy will be permitted where they;</li> <li>ensure that the development is of a scale which would be commensurate with its location and setting;</li> <li>do not cause unacceptable adverse impacts to the amenity of nearby residents by virtue of design and mass, noise and dust, lighting and smell;</li> <li>do not generate traffic movements that cannot safely be accommodated within the local road network; and</li> <li>do not undermine the achievement of water quality targets in accordance with Policies SD3 and SD4.</li> </ul>	Any restrictions stipulated within employment related policies for the rural area relate to appropriate scale, character and amenity – as already referred to above but which are particularly indicated as relevant to rural areas. The NDP in so far as it relates to the rural economy is considered consistent with the provisions contained within the NPPF and HCS.
<ul> <li>(Policy E4 – Extract) Herefordshire will be promoted as a destination for quality leisure visits and sustainable tourism by utilising, conserving and enhancing the county's unique environmental and heritage assets and by recognising the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside. In particular, the tourist industry will be supported by a number of measures including:</li> <li>1. recognising the unique historic character of Hereford and the market towns as key visitor attractions and as locations to focus the provision of new larger scale tourist development</li> <li>2. the development of sustainable tourism opportunities, capitalising on assets such as the county's landscape, rivers, other waterways and attractive rural settlements, where there is no detrimental impact on the county's varied natural and</li> </ul>	

	heritage assets or on the overall character and quality of the	
	environment. Particular regard will be had to conserving the	
	landscape and scenic beauty in the Areas of Outstanding	
	Natural Beauty;	
	3. retaining and enhancing existing, and encouraging new,	
	accommodation and attractions throughout the county, which	
	will help to diversify the tourist provision, extend the tourist	
	season and increase the number of visitors staying overnight. In	
	particular, proposals for new hotels will be encouraged. Applicants will be encouraged to provide a 'Hotel Needs	
	Assessment' for any applications for new hotels;	
	<ol> <li>ensuring that cycling, walking and heritage tourism is</li> </ol>	
	encouraged by facilitating the development of long-distance	
	walking and cycling routes, food and drink trails and heritage	
	trails, including improvements to public rights of way, whilst	
	having special regard for the visual amenity of such routes and	
	trails, and for the setting of heritage assets in their vicinity;	
	5. the safeguarding of the historic route of the Herefordshire and	
	Gloucestershire Canal (shown on the Policies Map), together	
	with its infrastructure, buildings, towpath and features. Where	
	the original alignment cannot be re-established, a corridor	
	allowing for deviations will be safeguarded. New developments	
	within or immediately adjoining the safeguarded corridor will be	
	required to incorporate land for canal restoration. Development	
	not connected with the canal that would prevent or prejudice the	
	restoration of a continuous route will not be permitted.	
Supporting high quality		
communications infrastructure		
Support the expansion of electronic	(Policy SD1 – Extract) Development proposals should create safe,	The NDP includes a policy supporting
communications networks, including	sustainable, well integrated environments for all members of the	development that provides high speed
next generation mobile technology	community. In conjunction with this, all development proposals	broadband and telecommunications
(such as 5G) and full fibre		equipment ( <b>Policy BR23</b> ). It also promotes
broadband connections. Policies	should incorporate the following requirements (among others):	an integrated approach to sustainable
should set out how high-quality	<ul> <li>ensuring designs can be easily adapted and accommodate</li> </ul>	design requiring through <b>Policy BR9(a)</b> the
digital infrastructure, providing	new technologies to meet changing needs throughout the lifetime of	provision of broadband infrastructure within
access to services from a range of	the development;	new residential development.
providers, is expected to be	· ,	
delivered and upgraded over time;		

and should prioritise full fibre connections to existing and new		The NDP does not seek to restrict any proposal for communication infrastructure
developments (NPPF para 112).		within the Parish which would be permitted
Use of existing masts, buildings and other structures for new electronic communications capability (including wireless) should be encouraged. Where new sites are required (such as for new 5G networks, or for connected transport and smart city applications), equipment should be sympathetically designed and		under Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy.
camouflaged where appropriate (NPPF para 13).		
Ensure there is evidence to demonstrate that electronic communications infrastructure is not expected to cause significant and irremediable interference with other electrical equipment, air traffic services or instrumentation operated in the national interest (NPPF para 114a).		
Ensure the possibility of the construction of new buildings or other structures interfering with broadcast and electronic communications services has been considered (NPPF para 114b).		
Promoting Sustainable Transport		
Address the potential impacts of development on transport networks (NPPF para 102a). Realise opportunities from existing or proposed transport infrastructure	( <b>Policy SS4 – extract</b> ) New developments should be designed and located to minimise the impacts on the transport network; ensuring that the efficient and safe operation of the network are not detrimentally impacted. Where possible development proposals should be accessible by and facilitate a genuine choice of modes of travel. Development proposals that will	HCS has determined where development should be located, and this takes into account the needs of rural areas. There are no specific proposals in HCS or the Local Transport Plan at this time for
and changing transport technology and usage – for example in relation	generate high journey numbers should be in sustainable	transport infrastructure which affect the

to the scale, location or density of
development that can be
accommodated (NPPF para 102b).

Identify and pursue opportunities to promote walking, cycling and public transport use (NPPF para 102c).

Identify, assess and take into account the environmental impacts of traffic and transport infrastructure – including appropriate opportunities for avoiding and mitigating any adverse effects, and for net environmental gains (NPPF para 102d).

Are patterns of movement, streets, parking and other transport considerations integral to the design of schemes, and do they contribute to making high quality places (NPPF para 102e).

Focus significant development on locations which are or can be made sustainable, through limiting the need to travel and offering a genuine choice of transport modes. Have opportunities to maximise sustainable transport solutions between urban and rural areas been taken into account (NPPF para 103).

Does the NDP support an appropriate mix of uses across an area, and within larger scale sites, to minimise the number and length of

journeys needed for employment, shopping, leisure, education and other activities (NPPF para 104a).

locations, accessible by means other than private car or alternatively, be required to demonstrate that they can be made sustainable by reducing unsustainable transport as required in future local or neighbourhood development plans and developer contributions, which meet the statutory tests, patterns and promoting travel by walking, cycling and public transport. Proposals to provide new and improved existing public transport, walking and cycling infrastructure will be supported. Where appropriate, land and routes will be safeguarded, and developer contributions sought to assist with the delivery of new sustainable transport infrastructure, including that required for alternative energy cars.

Herefordshire Council will work with the Highways Agency, Network Rail, bus and train operators, developers and local communities to bring forward improvements to the local and strategic transport network to reduce congestion, improve air quality and road safety and offer greater transport choices.

Development proposals incorporating commercial vehicular movements that could detrimentally impact on the environmental quality, amenity, safety and character of the surrounding locality will be expected to incorporate evidence demonstrating how the traffic impacts are to be mitigated.

**Policy SS7- Extract)** Development proposals will be expected to include measures which will mitigate their impact on climate change.

At a strategic level, this will include:

• delivering development that seeks to reduce the need to travel by private car and which encourages sustainable travel options including walking, cycling and public transport.

**(Policy MT1 – Extract)** Development proposals should incorporate the following principle requirements covering movement and transportation:

1. demonstrate that the strategic and local highway network can absorb the traffic impacts of the development without adversely affecting the safe and efficient flow of traffic on the network or that

Parish. Highways England has carried out some proposals in relation to the A40 within the Parish. Further such works cannot be ruled out. Nothing in the NDP is considered likely to affect their introduction. The Parish Council remains concerned about a number of issues that should be addressed through Core Strategy policy SS4. In this regard Policy BR11 indicates that the Parish Council will work with Herefordshire Council and Highways England to bring forward transport infrastructure as appropriate. This policy both complements HCS policy SS4 and sets out how the Parish Council intends to undertake its role in implement that strategic policy. Measures are included that promote active travel including through public transport. Herefordshire Council has indicated a number of measures that may be advanced through this policy and these are set out in **NDP paragraph 7.3**. There are a number of footpaths running through the Parish. Better footpath and cycle links are encouraged through policies BR11(c) and BR12 (e) in accordance with the provisions of the NPPF para 98.

No significant traffic generating proposals are proposed in the NDP, but should any come forward, **Policy BR12** contains criteria that would address capacity issues, including the need for transport assessments, should this be a concern. In relation to HCS policies SS4, MT1 and SD1 the above NP policies supplement and strengthen them because of local community concerns.

**Policy BR12** promotes the provision of offroad parking (HCS policy MT1). No specific parking standards are referred to, but

Has there been active involvement of local highways authorities, other transport infrastructure providers and operators and neighbouring councils, so that strategies and investments for supporting sustainable transport and development patterns are aligned (NPPF para 104b).	<ul> <li>traffic impacts can be managed to acceptable levels to reduce and mitigate any adverse impacts from the development;</li> <li>2. promote and, where possible, incorporate integrated transport connections and supporting infrastructure (depending on the nature and location of the site), including access to services by means other than private motorised transport;</li> <li>3. encourage active travel behaviour to reduce numbers of short distance car journeys through the use of travel plans and other promotional and awareness raising activities;</li> <li>4. ensure that developments are designed and laid out to achieve</li> </ul>	provision should be adequate for the purpose. Herefordshire Council as local highway authority would be best placed to judge this. The rural location and scale of development within the parish are such that the breadth of transport infrastructure is limited on routes away from the A 40 and A49. The ability of the Parish Council to influence transport
Have sites and routes which could be critical in developing infrastructure to widen transport choice and realise opportunities for large scale development been identified and protected and with robust evidence, (NPPF para 104c).	safe entrance and exit, have appropriate operational and manoeuvring space, accommodate provision for all modes of transport, the needs of people with disabilities and provide safe access for the emergency services; 5. protect existing local and long-distance footways, cycle-ways and bridleways unless an alternative route of at least equal utility value can be used, and facilitate improvements to existing or provide new	provision and behaviour is similarly so. Consequently, the measure advanced in the NDP are those that are considered relevant to this level of plan. Neither Highways England nor HC's Transportation Section, the specialist
Has the NDP provided for high quality walking and cycling networks and supporting facilities such as cycle parking (drawing on Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plans) (NPPF para 104d).	connections to these routes, especially where such schemes have been identified in the Local Transport Plan and/or Infrastructure Delivery Plan; and 6. have regard to both the council's Highways Development Design Guide and cycle and vehicle parking standards as prescribed in the Local Transport Plan - having regard to the location of the site and need to promote sustainable travel choices.	advisers upon highway matters such as safety, have raised objections to the sites proposed in the draft NDP, although they have highlighted matters that may affect the principle of development. As a consequence, policy BR12, especially the need for transport assessments, is
Has the NDP provided for any large- scale transport facilities that need to be located in the area, and the infrastructure and wider development required to support their operation, expansion and contribution to the wider economy. In doing so they should take into account whether such development is likely to be a nationally significant	Where traffic management measures are introduced they should be designed in a way which respects the character of the surrounding area including its landscape character. Where appropriate, the principle of shared spaces will be encouraged.	considered relevant to all the site allocations within the NDP, and <b>policy BR15</b> is framed with this in mind. <b>NDP paragraphs 8.17 to 8.21</b> highlight this requirement. The condition of the highway network is such that should transport assessments suggest housing development could not be accommodated on any site, then this would no doubt have wider implications for the relevant area as a whole.
infrastructure project and any relevant national policy statements (NPPF para 104e). Does the NDP recognise the importance of maintaining a national network of general aviation airfields,		No large-scale transport facilities are considered to be required within the parish, including lorry parking. There is provision for the latter to the north-east of the parish There are no airfields within the locality.

and their need to adapt and change	The NDP in so far as it relates to the
over time – taking into account their	provision of other transport infrastructure
economic value in serving business,	and requirements is considered consistent
leisure, training and emergency	with the provisions contained within the
service needs, and the	NPPF and HCS.
Government's General Aviation	
Strategy. (NPPF para 104f).	
Does the NDP set local parking	
standards for residential and non-	
residential development which take	
into account:	
a) the accessibility of the	
development;	
•	
b) the type, mix and use of	
development;	
c) the availability of and	
opportunities for public transport;	
opportunities for public transport,	
d) local car ownership levels; and	
e) the need to ensure an adequate	
provision of spaces for charging	
plug-in and other ultra-low emission	
vehicles (NPPF 105).	
Does the plan recognise the	
importance of providing adequate	
overnight lorry parking facilities,	
taking into account any local	
shortages, to reduce the risk of	
parking in locations that lack proper	
facilities or could cause a nuisance.	
Proposals for new or expanded	
distribution centres should make	
provision for sufficient lorry parking	
to cater for their anticipated use	
(NPPF para 107).	

Do sites ensure appropriate opportunities to promote sustainable transport modes can be – or have been – taken up, given the type of development and its location (NPPF	
para 108a). Do sites ensure safe and suitable access can be achieved for all users	
(NPPF para 108b). Do sites ensure any significant impacts from the development on	
the transport network (in terms of capacity and congestion), or on highway safety, can be cost effectively mitigated to an acceptable degree (NPPF para 108c).	
All developments that will generate significant amounts of movement should be required to provide a travel plan, and the application should be supported by a transport statement or transport assessment so that the likely impacts of the proposal can be assessed (NPPF para 111).	
. ,	

Achieving Sustainable Development – Social Role Supporting strong, vibrant and healthy communities, by providing the supply of housing required to meet the needs of present and future generations; accessible local services that reflect the community's needs and supporting its health, social and cultural well-being.		
Delivering a sufficient supply of homes		
Are the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community reflected in policies (including, but not limited to, those who require affordable housing, families with children, older people, students, people with disabilities, service families, people who rent their homes and people wishing to	( <b>Policy SS2</b> – <b>extract</b> ) In the rural areas new housing development will be acceptable where it helps to meet local housing needs and requirements, supports the rural economy and local services and facilities and is responsive to the needs of its community. In the wider rural areas new housing will be carefully controlled reflecting the need to recognise the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside.	The strategy for delivering housing is set out in <b>Section 8</b> of the NDP and more specifically <b>Policy BR2</b> supported by <b>Policies BR13 to</b> <b>BR16. Policy BR2(c)</b> restricts new houses in the open countryside to exceptions, indicating that relevant policies in HCS will be applied, complying in particular with HCS Policy RA3 which itself includes references to other related policies. The NDP must address the
commission or build their own homes) (NPPF para 61). Does the plan specify the type of affordable housing required, and	be encouraged. Residential density will be determined by local character and good quality design. The target net density across the county is between 30 and 50 dwellings per hectare; may be less in sensitive areas.	provisions of HCS Policy RA2 which specifies Bridstow and Wilton as the locations where the majority of new dwellings are to be located.
expect it to be met on sites or use off-site provision or an appropriate financial contribution in lieu (NPPF	( <b>Policy SS3 – Extract</b> ) A sufficient supply of housing land will be maintained to ensure the delivery of the Core Strategy housing	There are no strategic housing site locations identified within the Parish.
para 62). Does the approach contribute to the objective of creating mixed and balanced communities (NPPF para 62b).	target as set out in Policy SS2 over the plan period. The rate of housing delivery and supply will be assessed through the annual monitoring process. If monitoring demonstrates that the number of new dwelling completions is below the cumulative target figure over a 12-month monitoring period (1 April to 31 March) as set out in the housing trajectory in Appendix 4 the Council will prioritise increasing housing supply in the following monitoring periods	Bridstow Parish falls within Ross-on-Wye Housing Market Area and HCS sets a minimum target of 57 new dwellings. Between 2011 and December 2018 some 20 dwellings were built or had received planning permission.
Provision of affordable housing should not be sought for residential developments that are not major developments, other than in designated rural areas (where policies may set out a lower	<ul> <li>using appropriate mechanisms which, depending on the scale and nature of potential under-delivery, will include:</li> <li>A partial review of the Local Plan – Core Strategy: or</li> <li>The preparation of new Development Plan Documents; or</li> <li>The preparation of an interim position statement and utilising evidence from the Strategic Housing Land Availability</li> </ul>	A minimum modest rural windfall allowance (dwellings on very small sites within or outside of settlements) of some 10 dwellings during the remainder of the plan period is suggested. (see NDP para 3.26).
threshold of 5 units or fewer) (NPPF para 63).	Assessment to identify additional housing land	Five housing allocations for a total of 29 dwellings are proposed within the NDP ( <b>Policy BR15).</b> These sites are understood to
To support the re-use of brownfield land, where vacant buildings are	Appendix 5 sets out the relationships between the delivery of housing and the timing of the main infrastructure requirements. It	be available having come forward through the 'Call for Sites'. However, there remains

being reused or redeveloped, any affordable housing contribution due should be reduced by a proportionate amount (NPPF para 63).

Where major development involving the provision of housing is proposed, planning policies and decisions should expect at least 10% of the homes to be available for affordable home ownership, unless this would exceed the level of affordable housing required in the area, or significantly prejudice the ability to meet the identified affordable housing needs of specific groups. (NPPF para 64). Exemptions to this 10% requirement should also be made where the site or proposed development:

a) provides solely for Build to Rent homes;

b) provides specialist accommodation for a group of people with specific needs (such as purpose-built accommodation for the elderly or students);

c) is proposed to be developed by people who wish to build or commission their own homes; or

d) is exclusively for affordable housing, an entry-level exception site or a rural exception site.

Strategic policies set out a housing requirement for designated neighbourhood areas which reflects

also identifies actions necessary to safeguard the integrity of the River Wye Special Area of Conservation (SAC) from significant adverse effects. The Council will actively monitor the relationships identified in this appendix. Any material delays in the implementation of identified infrastructure of environmental safeguards and which will lead to under-delivery of housing supply will inform the implementation of the range of measures set out above to ensure plan-led corrective measures are put in place. The delivery and supply of new housing will be monitored on a regular basis and through the annual monitoring process in particular. Appendix 4 sets out an indicative trajectory for total housing completions, which will provide a basis for monitoring completions over the plan period. In the event that the monitoring process demonstrates that the rate of completions has fallen below targets, an early assessment will be made as to the most appropriate mechanism to boost housing delivery depending upon the scale and nature of the issue.

(Policy RA1 – Extract) In Herefordshire's rural areas a minimum of 5,300 new dwellings will be provided between 2011 and 2031 to contribute to the county's housing needs. The development of rural housing will contribute towards the wider regeneration of the rural economy.

New dwellings will be broadly distributed across the county's rural areas on the basis of seven Housing Markets Areas (HMA) and illustrated in Figure 4.13 This acknowledges that different areas of Herefordshire have different housing needs and requirements.

(A 14% growth target for the Parish is indicated in HCS and this would amount to 57 dwellings over the period 2011 to 2031. This should inform the approach to housing provision to meet future housing needs much of which should be provided through the neighbourhood plan and to be met in a locally focussed way.)

(Policy RA2 – Extract) To maintain and strengthen locally sustainable communities across the rural parts of Herefordshire, sustainable housing growth will be supported in those settlements identified in Figures 4.14 and 4.15. This will enable development that has the ability to bolster existing service provision, improve

concern that they may not be deliverable particularly because the highway network is a major constraint. Contingency arrangements have been put in place to ensure the Parish plays as full part as possible in making its housing contribution within the Housing Market Area.

The completions, commitments, windfall allowance and housing allocation should deliver at least 59 dwellings. (See NDP Table <u>1</u>). The contingencies comprise a Memorandum of Understanding with Ross-on-Wye Town Council for it to take some of the growth if it is found that sites cannot be developed, relatively low housing densities that might be increased should highway constraints permit, flexibility in terms of development within a larger part of Buckcastle Hill (see Policy BR16), and monitoring that might lead to a review in association with Herefordshire Council (see paragraph 1.7).

Development boundaries have been defined for Bridstow in that the settlement comprises a number of clusters. A settlement boundary is defined for Wilton. These are the settlements listed within HCS table 4.14. No settlement falls within the parameters set by table 4.15. Opportunities for infilling within them may present themselves where relevant criteria are met although, with the exception of Buckcastle Hill cluster, these are likely to be limited.

The NDP plans as positively as possible for growth. The development boundaries and site allocations are supported by **Policies BR13 to BR16.** The sites and boundaries are shown on **Bridstow and Wilton Policies Maps**. The defining of settlement or development boundary complies with HCS paragraph the overall strategy for the pattern and scale of development and any relevant allocations. These figures should not need re-testing at the neighbourhood plan examination, unless there has been a significant change in circumstances that affects the requirement (NPPF para 65).

Small and medium sized sites can make an important contribution to meeting the housing requirement of an area and are often built-out relatively quickly (NPPF para 68).

Neighbourhood planning groups should also consider the opportunities for allocating small and medium-sized sites suitable for housing in their area (NPPF para 69).

Where an allowance is to be made for windfall sites as part of anticipated supply, there should be compelling evidence that they will provide a reliable source of supply. Any allowance should be realistic having regard to the strategic housing land availability assessment, historic windfall delivery rates and expected future trends (NPPF para 70).

Plans should consider the case for setting out policies to resist inappropriate development of residential gardens, for example where development would cause harm to the local area (NPPF para 70). facilities and infrastructure and meet the needs of the communities concerned.

The minimum growth target in each rural Housing Market Area will be used to inform the level of housing development to be delivered in the various settlements set out in Figures 4.14 and 4.15. Neighbourhood Development Plans will allocate land for new housing or otherwise demonstrate delivery to provide levels of housing to meet the various targets.

Housing proposals will be permitted where the following criteria are met:

1. Their design and layout should reflect the size, role and function of each settlement and be located within or adjacent to the main built up area. In relation to smaller settlements identified in fig 4.15 proposals will be expected to demonstrate particular attention to the form, layout, character and setting of the site and its location in that settlement and/or they result in development that contributes to or is essential to the social well-being of the settlement concerned;

2. Their locations make best and full use of suitable brownfield sites wherever possible;

3. They result in the development of high quality, sustainable schemes which are appropriate to their context and make a positive contribution to the surrounding environment and its landscape setting; and

4. They result in the delivery of schemes that generate the size, type, tenure and range of housing that is required in particular settlements, reflecting local demand.

Specific proposals for the delivery of local need housing will be particularly supported where they meet an identified need and their long-term retention as local needs housing is secured as such.

(Policy RA3 – Extract) In rural locations outside of settlements, as to be defined in either neighbourhood development plans or the Rural Areas Sites Allocations DPD, residential development will be limited to proposals which satisfy one or more of the following criteria:

4.8.23 and their drafting utilised HC's Guidance Note 20. Both settlements had previously defined boundaries in older planning documents although none where defined in the former Herefordshire Unitary Development Plan which was the previous Development Plan before the Core Strategy.

No particular cases where houses can be brought back into use have been identified. No sites within or adjacent to settlements that might be considered previously developed land were identified.

Policies BR9 and BR10 in addition to Policies BR13 and BR14 contain a range of specific and important criteria that need to be complied with in order that appropriate safeguards are provided, and general design matters are addressed for any housing developments, including the allocated sites. This is in addition to other topic specific policies in this NDP and also HCS. HCS evidence base contains information on the mix of sites required within the rural parts of Rosson-Wye Housing Market Area and HCS policy H3 seeks to provide a range of house types. However, the sites available, suitable and proposed are unlikely to deliver a wide range of property sizes in that they comprise relatively small areas. This enforced compromise is not considered a significant conflict with the Core Strategy given the Parish's proximity to Ross-on-Wye.

The housing sites are located in accordance with HCS policy RA2. Although it is understood the purpose of the Core Strategy policy is to support services and assist community wellbeing, there are no facilities and services within the Buckcastle Hill area Support the development of entrylevel exception sites, suitable for first time buyers (or those looking to rent their first home), unless the need for such homes is already being met within the authority's area (NPPF para 71). These sites should be on land which is not already allocated for housing and should:

a) comprise of entry-level homes that offer one or more types of affordable housing as defined in Annex 2 of this Framework; and

b) be adjacent to existing settlements, proportionate in size to them, not compromise the protection given to areas or assets of particular importance in this Framework, and comply with any local design policies and standards.

In rural areas, planning policies and decisions should be responsive to local circumstances and support housing developments that reflect local needs. Local planning authorities should support opportunities to bring forward rural exception sites that will provide affordable housing to meet identified local needs and consider whether allowing some market housing on these sites would help to facilitate this (NPPF para 77).

To promote sustainable development in rural areas, housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of

- meets an agricultural or forestry need or other farm diversification enterprise for a worker to live permanently at or near their place of work and complies with Policy RA4; or
- accompanies and is necessary to the establishment or growth of a rural enterprise, and complies with Policy RA4; or
- 3. involves the replacement of an existing dwelling (with a lawful residential use) that is comparable in size and scale with, and is located in the lawful domestic curtilage, of the existing dwelling; or
- would result in the sustainable re-use of a redundant or disused building(s) where it complies with Policy RA5; and leads to an enhancement of its immediate setting;
- 5. is rural exception housing in accordance with Policy H2; or
- is of exceptional quality and innovative design satisfying the design criteria set out in Paragraph 55 of the National Planning Policy Framework and achieves sustainable standards of design and construction; or
- 7. is a site providing for the needs of gypsies or other travellers in accordance with Policy H4.

(Policy H1 – Extract) All new open market housing proposals on sites of more than 10 dwellings which have a maximum combined gross floor space of more than 1000sqm will be expected to contribute towards meeting affordable housing needs.

The amount and mix of affordable housing including those on strategic housing sites will vary depending on evidence of housing need as identified through the latest housing market assessment, and an assessment of the viability of the development. The following indicative targets have been established based on evidence of need and viability in the county's housing market and housing value areas:

1. a target of 35% affordable housing provision on sites in the Hereford, Hereford Northern and Southern Hinterlands, and Kington and West Herefordshire housing value areas;

2. a target of 40% affordable housing provision on sites in the Ledbury, Ross and Rural Hinterlands; and Northern Rural housing value areas (which includes Bromyard);

3. a target of 25% affordable housing provision on sites in the Leominster housing value area.

where most of the sites are proposed. Even at the Bannuttree location facilities are limited to the village hall and primary school.

It is unlikely that the size of developments that will result from the housing allocations will enable affordable housing to be sought. The Parish does not fall within a designated rural area for the purposes of affordable housing. Nothing in the NDP prevents permissions for such housing should a development of sufficient size result within the any of the areas proposed except that defined in Policy BR16 which is proposed to protect the character of an important part of Buckcastle Hill. Should there be a need for affordable housing, the 'rural exception' provisions in HCS policy H2 are available. Nothing within the NDP restricts housing to be brought forward as entry level dwellings in accordance with NPPF para 71. It is noted that affordable housing could be subsidised by market housing where this would enable a viable scheme to come forward. The size of sites proposed through **Policy BR15** and provisions within Policy BR16 would enable self-build opportunities to be made available.

Small and medium sized sites are proposed (NPPF para 68). The approach to seeking a variety of housing types needs to be viewed across the housing market area, especially given the highway and landscape constraints.

**Policies BR10, BR13 and BR14** require housing development to reflect the existing scale and density of existing properties in the vicinity and other design matters. It is considered these address the density issue in the most appropriate way. HCS policy SS2 recognises that lower densities may be rural communities. Planning policies should identify opportunities for villages to grow and thrive, especially where this will support local services. Where there are groups of smaller settlements, development in one village may support services in a village nearby (NPPF para 78).

Planning policies and decisions should avoid the development of isolated homes in the countryside unless one or more of the following circumstances apply (NPPF para 79):

a) there is an essential need for a rural worker, including those taking majority control of a farm business, to live permanently at or near their place of work in the countryside;

b) the development would represent the optimal viable use of a heritage asset or would be appropriate enabling development to secure the future of heritage assets;

c) the development would re-use redundant or disused buildings and enhance its immediate setting;

d) the development would involve the subdivision of an existing residential dwelling; or

e) the design is of exceptional quality, in that it:

- is truly outstanding or innovative, reflecting the highest standards in architecture, and would help to raise

Any affordable housing provided under the terms of this policy will be expected to be available in perpetuity for those in local housing need.

(Policy H2 – Extract) Proposals for affordable housing schemes in rural areas may be permitted on land which would not normally be released for housing where:

- 1. the proposal could assist in meeting a proven local need; and
- 2. the housing provided is made available to, and retained in
- perpetuity for local people in need of affordable housing; and
   the site respects the characteristics of its surroundings,
- 3. The site respects the characteristics of its surroundings, demonstrates good design and offers reasonable access to a range of services and facilities normally in a settlement. In order to enable the delivery some market housing may be permitted as part of the development to subsidise a significant proportion of affordable housing provision. However, evidence will be required to demonstrate that the proposed scale of market housing is that required for the delivery of affordable housing.

#### (Policy RA5 – Extract)

The sustainable re-use of individual and groups of redundant or disused buildings, including farmsteads in rural areas, which will make a positive contribution to rural businesses and enterprise and support the local economy (including live work units) or which otherwise contributes to or is essential to the social well-being of the countryside, will be permitted where:

1. design proposals respect the character and significance of any redundant or disused building and demonstrate that it represents the most viable option for the long-term conservation and enhancement of any heritage asset affected, together with its setting;

2. design proposals make adequate provision for protected and priority species and associated habitats;

3. the proposal is compatible with neighbouring uses, including any continued agricultural operations and does not cause undue environmental impacts and; appropriate in sensitive areas. The criteria in these policies should also be sufficient to cover appropriately the issue of development within rear gardens.

Although there may be a need for specialist accommodation within the housing market area, there is no evidence that provision is expected within the parish within the plan period.

It is considered these policies and others elsewhere in this NDP are consistent with Government or HCS provisions relating to supporting the sustainable provision of housing to meet local and wider needs while protecting environmental and social considerations of acknowledged importance and highway safety.

standards of design more generally in rural areas; and - would significantly enhance its immediate setting and be sensitive to the defining characteristics of the local area.	<ul> <li>4. the buildings are of permanent and substantial construction capable of conversion without major or complete reconstruction; and</li> <li>5. the building is capable of accommodating the proposed new use without the need for substantial alteration or extension, ancillary buildings, areas of hard standing or development which individually or taken together would adversely affect the character or appearance of the building or have a detrimental impact on its surroundings and landscape setting.</li> <li>Any planning permissions granted pursuant to this policy will be subject to a condition removing permitted development rights for future alterations, extensions and other developments.</li> <li>(Policy H3 – Extract) Residential developments should provide a range and mix of housing units which can contribute to the creation of balanced and inclusive communities. In particular, on sites of more than 50 dwellings, developers will be expected to:</li> <li>provide a range of house types and sizes to meet the needs of all households, including younger single people;</li> <li>provide housing capable of meeting the specific needs of the elderly population by: -providing specialist accommodation for older people in suitable locations; - ensuring that non-specialist new housing is built to take account of the changing needs of an ageing population; - ensuring that developments contain a range of house types, including where appropriate, bungalow accommodation. The latest Local Housing Market Assessment will provide evidence of the need for an appropriate mix and range of housing types and sizes</li> </ul>	
Promoting healthy and safe communities Enable the retention and	(Policy SD1 – Extract) Development proposals should create	The rural location of the Parish and its small
Enable the retention and development of accessible local services and community facilities, such as local shops, meeting places,	(Policy SD1 – Extract) Development proposals should create safe, sustainable, well integrated environments for all members of	The rural location of the Parish and its small population is such that many of the planning provisions in the NPPF relating to promoting healthy communities will not be possible.

sports venues, open space, cultural	
buildings, public houses and places	
of worship (NPPF para 83d).	

Places should promote social interaction, including opportunities for meetings between people who might not otherwise come into contact with each other – for example through mixed-use developments, strong neighbourhood centres, street layouts that allow for easy pedestrian and cycle connections within and between neighbourhoods, and active street frontages (NPPF para 91a).

Places should be safe and accessible, so that crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion – for example through the use of clear and legible pedestrian routes, and high-quality public space, which encourage the active and continual use of public areas(NPPF para 91b).

Enable and support healthy lifestyles, especially where this would address identified local health and well-being needs – for example through the provision of safe and accessible green infrastructure, sports facilities, local shops, access to healthier food, allotments and layouts that encourage walking and cycling(NPPF para 91c).

Plan positively for the provision and use of shared spaces, community

the community. In conjunction with this, all development proposals should incorporate the following requirements (among others):

safeguard residential amenity for existing and proposed residents;

 create safe and accessible environments that minimise opportunities for crime and anti-social behaviour by incorporating Secured by Design principles, and consider the incorporation of fire safety measures, particularly the location of establishments where hazardous substances are present;

(Policy SC1 – Extract) Development proposals which protect, retain or enhance existing social and community infrastructure or ensure that new facilities are available as locally as possible will be supported where in or close to settlements, have considered the potential for co-location of facilities and where possible be safely accessible by foot, by cycle and public transport.

New development that creates a need for additional social and community facilities - that cannot be met through existing social facilities – will be expected to meet the additional requirements through new, or extension of existing, provision or by developer contributions which meet the relevant tests of paragraph 204 of the NPPF.

Existing facilities will be retained, unless it can be demonstrated that an appropriate alternative is available or can be provided or it can be shown that the facility is no longer required, viable or no longer fit for purpose; and where appropriate, it has been vacant and marketed for community use without success. Viable alternative facilities must be equivalent to those they replace, in terms of size, quality and accessibility.

The provision or improvement of higher education facilities and the continuing enhancement of existing or provision of new, training and skills facilities will be actively promoted. It is hoped that the current level of community facilities and services can be retained. Should the need for further community facilities and services arise then these would be enabled through **PolicyBR20**, which includes appropriate safeguards to protect amenity. **Policy BR17(g)** would enable proposals that enhance the viability of those facilities of a commercial nature that may exist or be proposed in the Parish. **Policy BR22** enables contributions towards provision required to accommodate growth, should the opportunity be available either now or in the future.

Policy BR21(a) proposes the designation of an area of local green space and these are considered to meet the provisions of NPPF paragraphs 99 and 100 for reasons set out in NDP paragraph 10.3. Policy BR21(b) proposes the protection of two areas of open space in accordance with HCS policy OS3. Any need for onsite open space and playareas within the proposed housing sites will be determined through the development management process utilising HCS policies OS1 and OS2 which have not been duplicated in any form. There are a number of footpaths and public rights within the parish. No proposals for new public rights of way are proposed.

No proposals are advanced that would result in the loss of any open space.

The provision of HCS policy SD1 in relation to creating safe environments, addressing crime prevention and community safety are not duplicated in this NDP and will be a matter left to be considered through that HCS policy.

<ul> <li>facilities (such as local shops, particularly weil-bases and phases of worship and other local services to enhance the sustainability of communits and ther local services and related health and the local services and related health and the local services and related health and the local statistics and support the delivery of local strategies to improve health, social and cultural weil-being for all sections of the contribution would result in an equally beneficial maximum transmits.</li> <li>(Policy OS2 – Extract) In order to meet the needs of the locate don-site unless and difference inconsistent with Government or HCS provisions relating to community (normunities and support the elocated on-site unless and off-site or partial df-site contribution would result in an equally beneficial enhancement to an existing open space, sports and/or recreation facility, the following principles will be taken into account:</li> <li>Guard against the unnecessary loss, darger and evelopment must be in securce is surplus to the applicable and services, are able to develop and modernise, and are retained for the benefit of the cost of an open space, sports and/or recreation facility, the following principles will be taken into account:</li> <li>Cheiry OS3 – Extract) In determining proposals which result in the following principles will be taken into account:</li> <li>the loss of an open space, sports and/or recreation facility, the following principles will be taken into account:</li> <li>chart established shops, facilities and services are able to develop and modernise, and are reastand and evelopment which improves the functioning, usability or vability of the resource is for the purpose of providing an accilarly development which improves the function uses;</li> <li>the loss of an open space, sports and/or recreation facility, the following principles will be assolitating and account and supports and and recreation facility.</li> <li>the loss of an open space, sports and/or recreation facility.</li> <li>the loss of</li></ul>			
	<ul> <li>space, cultural buildings, public houses and places of worship) and other local services to enhance the sustainability of communities and residential environments(NPPF para 92a).</li> <li>Take into account and support the delivery of local strategies to improve health, social and cultural well-being for all sections of the community (NPPF para 92b).</li> <li>Guard against the unnecessary loss of valued facilities and services, particularly where this would reduce the community's ability to meet its day-to-day needs (NPPF para 92c).</li> <li>Ensure that established shops, facilities and services are able to develop and modernise, and are retained for the benefit of the community (NPPF para 92d).</li> <li>Ensure an integrated approach to considering the location of housing, economic uses and community facilities and services (NPPF para 92d).</li> <li>Planning policies and decisions should consider the social, economic and environmental benefits of estate regeneration. Local planning authorities should use their planning powers to help deliver estate regeneration to a high standard</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>facilities will be sought, where appropriate, taking into account the following principles:</li> <li>any new development must be in accordance with all applicable set standards of quantity, quality and accessibility; and</li> <li>provision of open space, sports and recreation facilities should be located on-site unless an off-site or partial off-site contribution would result in an equally beneficial enhancement to an existing open space, sports and/or recreation facility which are of benefit to the local community.</li> <li>(Policy OS3 – Extract) In determining proposals which result in the loss of an open space, sports or recreation facility, the following principles will be taken into account:</li> <li>clear evidence that the resource is surplus to the applicable quantitative standard;</li> <li>the loss of the resource results in an equally beneficial replacement or enhanced existing facility for the local community;</li> <li>the loss of the resource is for the purpose of providing an ancillary development which improves the functioning, usability or viability of the resource, e.g. changing rooms, toilets, grandstand accommodation, and function uses;</li> <li>the loss of the resource will not result in the fragmentation or isolation of a site which is part of a green infrastructure</li> </ul>	or HCS provisions relating to community facilities, services and related health and

Give great weight to the need to create, expand or alter schools through the preparation of plans and decisions on applications (NPPF para 94a).	
Work with schools promoters, delivery partners and statutory bodies to identify and resolve key planning issues before applications are submitted (NPPF para 94b).	
Anticipate and address possible malicious threats and natural hazards, especially in locations where large numbers of people are expected to congregate. Policies for relevant areas (such as town centre and regeneration frameworks), and the layout and design of developments, should be informed by the most up-to-date information available from the police and other agencies about the nature of potential threats and their implications. This includes appropriate and proportionate steps that can be taken to reduce vulnerability, increase resilience and ensure public safety and security (NPPF para 95a).	
Recognise and support development required for operational defence and security purposes and ensuring that operational sites are not affected adversely by the impact of other development proposed in the area (NPPF para 95b).	
Policies should recognise that sites to meet local community needs in	

rural areas may have to be found		
adjacent to or beyond existing		
settlements, and in locations that are		
not well served by public transport.		
In these circumstances it will be		
important to ensure that		
development is sensitive to its		
surroundings, does not have an		
unacceptable impact on local roads		
and exploits any opportunities to		
make a location more sustainable		
(for example by improving the scope		
for access on foot, by cycling or by		
public transport). The use of		
previously developed land, and sites		
that are physically well-related to		
existing settlements, should be		
encouraged where suitable		
opportunities exist NPPF para 84).		
Dianning policies should ansure that		
Planning policies should ensure that		
new development can be integrated		
effectively with existing businesses		
and community facilities (such as		
places of worship, pubs, music		
venues and sports clubs). Existing businesses and facilities should not		
have unreasonable restrictions		
placed on them as a result of		
development permitted after they		
were established. Where the		
operation of an existing business or		
community facility could have a		
significant adverse effect on new		
development (including changes of		
use) in its vicinity, the applicant (or		
'agent of change') should be		
required to provide suitable		
mitigation before the development		
magation soloro the development	1	

has been completed (NPPF para 182).
Open Space and Recreation
Access to a network of high-quality open spaces and opportunities for sport and physical activity is important for the health and well- being of communities. Planning policies should be based on robust and up-to-date assessments of the need for open space, sport and recreation facilities (including quantitative or qualitative deficits or surpluses) and opportunities for new provision. Information gained from the assessments should be used to determine what open space, sport and recreational provision is needed, which plans should then seek to accommodate (NPPF para 96).
Existing open space, sports and recreational buildings and land, including playing fields, should not be built on unless (NPPF para 97):
a) an assessment has been undertaken which has clearly shown the open space, buildings or land to be surplus to requirements; or
b) the loss resulting from the proposed development would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality in a suitable location; or
c) the development is for alternative sports and recreational provision, the

benefits of which clearly outweigh the loss of the current or former use.	
Planning policies should protect and enhance public rights of way and access, including taking opportunities to provide better facilities for users, for example by adding links to existing rights of way networks including National Trails (NPPF para 98).	
The designation of land as Local Green Space through local and neighbourhood plans allows communities to identify and protect green areas of particular importance to them. Designating land as Local Green Space should be consistent with the local planning of sustainable development and complement investment in sufficient homes, jobs and other essential services. Local Green Spaces should only be designated when a plan is prepared or updated and be capable of enduring beyond the end of the plan period (NPPF para 99).	
The Local Green Space designation should only be used where the green space is (NPPF para 100):	
a) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves;	
b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field),	

tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and	
c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.	
Policies for managing development within a Local Green Space should be consistent with those for Green Belts (NPPF para 101).	

### Achieving Sustainable Development – Environmental Role

Contributing to the efficient use of land, promoting good design, and mitigating and adapting to climate change including moving to a low carbon economy; protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment; and as part of this helping to improve biodiversity, use natural resources prudently, minimise waste and pollution.

#### Making efficient use of land

Encourage multiple benefits from both urban and rural land, including through mixed use schemes and taking opportunities to achieve net environmental gains – such as developments that would enable new habitat creation or improve public access to the countryside (NPPF para 118a).

Recognise that some undeveloped land can perform many functions, such as for wildlife, recreation, flood risk mitigation, cooling/shading, carbon storage or food production (NPPF para 118b).

Give substantial weight to the value of using suitable brownfield land within settlements for homes and other identified needs, and support appropriate opportunities to remediate despoiled, degraded, derelict, contaminated or unstable land (NPPF para 118c).

Promote and support the development of under-utilised land and buildings, especially if this would help to meet identified needs for housing where land supply is constrained and available sites could be used more effectively (for example converting space above shops, and building on or above service yards, car parks, lock-ups (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve and enhance those environmental assets that contribute towards the county's distinctiveness. In addition, proposals should maintain and improve the effectiveness of those ecosystems essential to the health and wellbeing of the county's residents and its economy. Development proposals should be shaped through an integrated approach to planning the following environmental components from the outset, and based upon sufficient information to determine the effect upon each where they are relevant (among others):

• agricultural and food productivity;

 physical resources, including minerals, soils, management of waste, the water environment, renewable energy and energy conservation;

The management plans and conservation objectives of the county's international and nationally important features and areas will be material to the determination of future development proposals. Furthermore, assessments of local features, areas and sites, defining local distinctiveness in other development plan documents, neighbourhood development plans and supplementary planning documents should inform decisions upon proposals.

**Policy SS7- Extract)** Development proposals will be expected to include measures which will mitigate their impact on climate change.

At a strategic level, this will include:

- supporting affordable, local food production, processing and farming to reduce the county's contribution to food miles\*;
- protecting the best agricultural land where possible.

When taken together policies within this NDP should promote developments that would achieve a range of benefits to the environment and the community. Nationally and internationally designated environmental assets within the Parish comprise the River Wye SAC and SSSI, Wye valley AONB, a number of Scheduled Ancient Monuments and a range of Listed Buildings. There is limited potential for the development of brownfield sites.

The proposed housing sites utilise areas of relatively high-quality agricultural land (Grade 2), but land of a lower quality is generally along the River Wye flood plain. There may be small infill plots within settlement boundaries although these should not affect farmland. No specific proposals for new or expanded sites to serve local businesses are proposed and should proposals come forward for economic development proposals these are most likely to arise through the conversion of rural buildings to workshops, and appropriate extensions of existing employment sites where environmentally acceptable. Policy BR17 contains environmental safeguards and emphasises the need for these to be in scale with the surroundings.

No derelict or despoiled land has been identified. There is no indication that land with any contamination is being proposed for any use, other than agricultural land that may

•

and railway infrastructure) (NPPF para 118d).		potentially have low levels of pollution from related herbicides
Reallocate land for a more deliverable use that can help to address identified needs (or, if appropriate, deallocate a site which is undeveloped) (NPPF para 120a).		Policies BR13 to BR16 make provision for the outstanding required number of houses in the most efficient way by concentrating development within or adjacent to development/settlement boundaries of the
Promote more effective use of sites that provide community services such as schools and hospitals, provided this maintains or improves the quality of service provision and access to open space (NPPF para 121b).		named settlements or. It is considered that the NDP does not conflict with any policies seeking the efficient use of land set out in Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy.
Support development that makes efficient use of land (NPPF para 122).		
Where there is an existing or anticipated shortage of land for meeting identified housing needs, it is especially important that planning policies and decisions avoid homes being built at low densities and ensure that developments make optimal use of the potential of each site. Plans should contain policies to optimise the use of land in their area and meet as much of the identified need for housing as possible (NPPF para 123).		
Achieving well-designed places		
Plans should, at the most appropriate level, set out a clear design vision and expectations, so that applicants have as much certainty as possible about what is	<b>(Policy SD1 – Extract)</b> Development proposals should create safe, sustainable, well integrated environments for all members of the community. In conjunction with this, all development proposals should incorporate the following requirements (among others):	The NDP requires development to be designed to a high standard and to reflect the character of the parish's settlements.

likely to be acceptable. Design policies should be developed with local communities so they reflect local aspirations, and are grounded in an understanding and evaluation of each area's defining characteristics. Neighbourhood plans can play an important role in identifying the special qualities of each area and explaining how this should be reflected in development (NPPF para 125).

To provide maximum clarity about design expectations at an early stage, plans or supplementary planning documents should use visual tools such as design guides and codes. These provide a framework for creating distinctive places, with a consistent and highquality standard of design. However, their level of detail and degree of prescription should be tailored to the circumstances in each place and should allow a suitable degree of variety where this would be justified (NPPF para 126).

Ensure developments will function well and add to the overall quality of the area, not just for the short term but over the lifetime of the development (NPPF para 127a).

Ensure developments are visually attractive as a result of good architecture, layout and appropriate and effective landscaping (NPPF para 127b). • new buildings should be designed to maintain local distinctiveness through incorporating local architectural detailing and materials and respecting scale, height, proportions and massing of surrounding development. while making a positive contribution to the architectural diversity and character of the area including, where appropriate, through innovative design;

• safeguard residential amenity for existing and proposed residents;

• ensure that distinctive features of existing buildings and their setting are safeguarded and where appropriate, restored.

In relation to housing, **Policies BR9 and** BR10, supplemented by Policies BR13 and BR14 contain elements that complement HCS policy SD1 and set out the need to address design issues, including those related to sustainability, in an integrated way through considering those features important to the design of individual buildings, those appropriate at the site level and the contribution to the wider community. They do not seek to replicate HCS policy SD1 but are consistent with it. These policies also seek to ensure that new development respects the scale, density, massing and other relevant matters which form the character within the settlements. New development also needs to integrate well into the natural and historic environment with policies BR4, BR5 and **BR6** reflecting the HCS's Local Distinctiveness policies.

**Policy BR10** would also be relevant should proposals be brought forward for development in rear gardens that would adversely affect residential amenity.

The level of development required and character of settlements are not such that supplementary planning guidance, a design guide or a design code would be appropriate. Such guidance might be more appropriate a higher level as indicated in HCS.

**Policy BR10(b)** enables schemes with sensitive innovative design.

Bridstow Parish Council is aware of Herefordshire Council's Statement of Community Involvement and will use this to ensure those affected are involved in the design process.

Ensure developments are sympathetic to local character and history, including the surrounding built environment and landscape setting, while not preventing or discouraging appropriate innovation or change (such as increased densities) (NPPF para 127c).	
Ensure developments establish or maintain a strong sense of place, using the arrangement of streets, spaces, building types and materials to create attractive, welcoming and distinctive places to live, work and visit (NPPF para 127d).	
Ensure developments optimise the potential of the site to accommodate and sustain an appropriate amount and mix of development (including green and other public space) and support local facilities and transport networks (NPPF para 127e).	
Ensure developments create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users46; and where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion and resilience (NPPF para 127f).	
Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change	
Plans should take a proactive approach to mitigating and adapting to climate change, taking into account the long-term implications for flood risk, water supply, biodiversity and landscapes, and the risk of overheating from rising temperatures. Policies should support appropriate measures to ensure the future resilience of communities and infrastructure to climate change impacts, such as providing space for physical protection measures, or making provision for the possible future relocation of vulnerable development and infrastructure (NPPF para 149).

New development should be planned to avoid increased vulnerability to the range of impacts arising from climate change. When new development is brought forward in areas which are vulnerable, care should be taken to ensure that risks can be managed through suitable adaptation measures, including through the planning of green infrastructure (NPPF para 150a)

New development should be planned to help to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, such as through its location, orientation and design. Any local requirements for the sustainability of buildings should reflect the Government's policy for national technical standards (NPPF para 150b). (Policy SS7- Extract) Development proposals will be expected to include measures which will mitigate their impact on climate change.

At a strategic level, this will include:

focussing development to the most sustainable locations;

• designing developments to reduce carbon emissions and use resources more efficiently;

• promoting the use of decentralised and renewable or low carbon energy where appropriate;

Key considerations in terms of responses to climate change include:

• taking into account the known physical and environmental constraints when identifying locations for development;

• ensuring design approaches are resilient to climate change impacts, including the use of passive solar design for heating and cooling and tree planting for shading;

• minimising the risk of flooding and making use of sustainable drainage methods;

• reducing heat island effects (for example through the provision of open space and water, planting and green roofs);

• developments must demonstrate water efficiency measures to reduce demand on water resources.

(Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should be shaped through an integrated approach to planning the following environmental components from the outset, and based upon sufficient information to determine the effect upon each where they are relevant (among others):

• physical resources, including minerals, soils, management of waste, the water environment, renewable energy and energy conservation. The location of housing sites allocated for development and the settlement boundary meet the requirements of HCS policies RA1 and RA2 which it is assumed have been assessed against the relevant NPPF requirements under this heading.

The NDP supports policies to mitigate the effects of development on climate change set out in Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy. It is considered that no proposals are advanced within the plan area that would conflict with policies in HCS or the NPPF in this regard. **Policy BR9** supports the need for sustainable design features, promoting these as elements within an integrated approach that needs to be pursued for individual buildings, site-based matters and those off-site measures that should be considered. The combination supports and expands upon the provisions of HCS polices SS7 and SD1.

**Policy BR18** promotes renewable and low carbon energy developments that are suitable within the parish, subject to a range of environmental criteria. These criteria do not extend beyond those necessary to protect the landscape, local and residential amenity, heritage assets and highway safety and capacity all of which are covered within the NPPF and HCS.

The issue of flood risk would have been a consideration within the housing site assessment had any sites been submitted that might have been affected by this constraint. The settlement of Wilton sits on the banks of the River Wye. **Policy BR7** sets out provisions that meet the NPPF requirements as well as the associated Planning Practice Guidance

	Provide a positive strategy for	The management plans and conservation objectives of the	and would be relevant should any
	energy from these sources, that	county's international and nationally important features and areas	development proposals be advanced in areas
	maximises the potential for suitable	will be material to the determination of future development	that might be affected by river or storm water
	development, while ensuring that	proposals. Furthermore, assessments of local features, areas and	flooding. None of the sites proposed for
	adverse impacts are addressed	sites, defining local distinctiveness in other development plan	housing fall within Flood Risk Zones 2 or 3.
	satisfactorily (including cumulative	documents, neighbourhood development plans and	
	landscape and visual impacts)	supplementary planning documents should inform decisions upon	Policies BR7 and BR9 promote the provision
	(NPPF para 151a).	proposals.	of sustainable drainage systems and Policy
	Consider identifying systephs areas		BR9 the use of permeable surfaces (HCS
	Consider identifying suitable areas for renewable and low carbon	(Policy SD2 – Extract) Development proposals that seek to	policy SD3).
	energy sources, and supporting	deliver renewable and low carbon energy targets will be supported	
	infrastructure, where this would help	where they meet the following criteria:	None of these or other policies elsewhere in
	secure their development (NPPF	, ,	this NDP are considered inconsistent with
	para 151b).	1. the proposal does not adversely impact upon international or	Government or HCS approach to mitigating
		national designated natural and heritage assets;	the effects of or adapting to climate change.
	Identify opportunities for	<ol><li>the proposal does not adversely affect residential amenity;</li></ol>	
	development to draw its energy	3. the proposal does not result in any significant detrimental	
	supply from decentralised,	impact upon the character of the landscape and the built or	
	renewable or low carbon energy	historic environment; and	
	supply systems and for co-locating	4. the proposal can be connected efficiently to existing national	
	potential heat customers and	grid infrastructure unless it can be demonstrated that energy	
	suppliers (NPPF para 151c).	generation would be used on-site to meet the needs of a	
		specific end user.	
	Support community-led initiatives for	In the appendix apportation through wind nower	
	renewable and low carbon energy,	In the case of energy generation through wind power developments, permission will only be granted for such	
	including developments outside	proposals where:	
	areas identified in local plans or	<ul> <li>the proposed site is identified in a Neighbourhood Plan or</li> </ul>	
	other strategic policies that are being	other Development Plan Document as a suitable site for wind	
	taken forward through	energy generation; and	
	neighbourhood planning (NPPF para	onorgy generation, and	
	152).	<ul> <li>following consultation with local residents, it can be</li> </ul>	
	New development should take	demonstrated that the planning impacts identified can be fully	
	account of landform, layout, building	addressed, and therefore the proposal has the backing of the	
	orientation, massing and	local community.	
	landscaping to minimise energy		
	consumption (NPPF para 153b).	(Policy SD3 – Extract) Measures for sustainable water	
		management will be required to be an integral element of new	
	Inappropriate development in areas	development in order to reduce flood risk; to avoid an adverse	
	at risk of flooding should be avoided	impact on water quantity; to protect and enhance groundwater	
	by directing development away from	impast on water quantity, to protoct and enhance groundwater	
L	by uncound development away nom	1	

areas at highest risk (whether existing or future). Where development is necessary in such areas, the development should be made safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere (NPPF para 155).	<ul> <li>resources and to provide opportunities to enhance biodiversity, health and recreation. This will be achieved by ensuring that:</li> <li>1. development proposals are located in accordance with the Sequential Test and Exception Tests (where appropriate) and have regard to the <i>Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) 2009</i> for Herefordshire;</li> </ul>	
Apply the sequential test and then, if necessary, the exception test as set out below (NPPF para 157a). Safeguard land from development that is required, or likely to be required, for current or future flood management (NPPF para 157b).	<ol> <li>development is designed to be safe taking into account the lifetime of the development, and the need to adapt to climate change by setting appropriate floor levels, providing safe pedestrian and vehicular access, where appropriate, implementing a flood evacuation management plan and avoiding areas identified as being subject to Rapid Inundation from a breach of a Flood Defence;</li> <li>where flooding is identified as an issue, new development about a radius of flood states and states areas flood at the industry of flood at the same flood at the s</li></ol>	
Use opportunities provided by new development to reduce the causes and impacts of flooding (where appropriate through the use of natural flood management techniques) (NPPF para 157c). Where climate change is expected to increase flood risk so that some existing development may not be	<ul> <li>should reduce flood risk through the inclusion of flood storage compensation measures, or provide similar betterment to enhance the local flood risk regime;</li> <li>4. development will not result in the loss of open watercourse, and culverts should be opened up where possible to improve drainage and flood flows. Proposals involving the creation of new culverts (unless essential to the provision of access) will not be permitted;</li> </ul>	
sustainable in the long-term, seek opportunities to relocate development, including housing, to more sustainable locations (NPPF para 157d).	5. development includes appropriate sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) to manage surface water appropriate to the hydrological setting of the site. Development should not result in an increase in runoff and should aim to achieve a reduction in the existing runoff rate and volumes, where possible;	
Development should not be allocated if there are reasonably available sites appropriate for the proposed development in areas with a lower risk of flooding. The strategic flood risk assessment will provide the basis for applying this test. (NPPF para 158).	<ul> <li>6. water conservation and efficiency measures are included in all new developments, specifically: <ul> <li>residential development should achieve Housing - Optional Technical Standards - Water efficiency standards at the time of adoption the published water efficiency standards were 110 litres/person/day; or</li> <li>non-residential developments in excess of 1,000 sq. m. gross floorspace to achieve the equivalent of BREEAM 3 credits for water consumption as a minimum;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

The application of the exception test should be informed by a site-specific flood risk assessment (NPPF para 160).	Development proposals should help to conserve and enhance watercourses and riverside habitats, where necessary through management and mitigation measures for the improvement and/or	
In using the exception test both of the following elements should be satisfied for development to be allocated:	enhancement of water quality and habitat of the aquatic environment. Proposals which are specifically aimed at the sustainable management of the water environment will in particular be encouraged, including where they are required to support business needs such as for agriculture. Innovative	
- the development would provide wider sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh the flood risk (NPPF para 160a).	measures such as water harvesting, winter water storage and active land use management will also be supported. In all instances it should be demonstrated that there will be no significant adverse landscape, biodiversity or visual impact.	
- the development will be safe for its lifetime taking account of the vulnerability of its users, without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and, where possible, will reduce flood risk overall (NPPF para 160b).		
Major developments should incorporate sustainable drainage systems unless there is clear evidence that this would be inappropriate (NPPF para 165). The systems used should:		
a) take account of advice from the lead local flood authority;		
b) have appropriate proposed minimum operational standards;		
c) have maintenance arrangements in place to ensure an acceptable standard of operation for the lifetime of the development; and		
d) where possible, provide multifunctional benefits.		

## Conserving and enhancing the natural environment

Plans should distinguish between the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites (NPPF para 171).

Plans should allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value, where consistent with other policies in this Framework (NPPF para 171).

Plans should take a strategic approach to maintaining and enhancing networks of habitats and green infrastructure (NPPF para 171).

Plans should plan for the enhancement of natural capital at a catchment or landscape scale across local authority boundaries (NPPF para 171).

Where significant development of agricultural land is demonstrated to be necessary, areas of poorer quality land should be preferred to those of a higher quality (NPPF footnote No 53).

## Landscape

Great weight should be given to conserving and enhancing landscape and scenic beauty in National Parks, the Broads and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, which have the highest (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve and enhance those environmental assets that contribute towards the county's distinctiveness, in particular its settlement pattern, landscape, and especially those with specific environmental designations. In addition, proposals should maintain and improve the effectiveness of those ecosystems essential to the health and wellbeing of the county's residents and its economy. Development proposals should be shaped through an integrated approach to planning the following environmental components from the outset, and based upon sufficient information to determine the effect upon each where they are relevant (among others):

 landscape, townscape and local distinctiveness, especially in Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty;

• biodiversity and geodiversity especially Special Areas of Conservation and Sites of Special Scientific Interest;

the network of green infrastructure;

• physical resources, including (among others) soils, and the water environment

The management plans and conservation objectives of the county's international and nationally important features and areas will be material to the determination of future development proposals. Furthermore, assessments of local features, areas and sites, defining local distinctiveness in other development plan documents, neighbourhood development plans and supplementary planning documents should inform decisions upon proposals.

(Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve and enhance those environmental assets that contribute towards the county's distinctiveness, in particular its settlement pattern, landscape, and especially those with specific environmental designations. In addition, proposals should maintain and improve the effectiveness of those ecosystems essential to the health and The Parish contains a range of international, national and locally designated sites including the River Wye which is both a SAC and SSSI, Wye Valley AONB and a number of locally important wildlife sites. HCS Policy LD2 identifies the hierarchy related to habitats and HCS policy LD1 covers the landscape, including its character. These have been paid proper regard within policies within the NDP.

Impact on the natural environment was a consideration in determining between sites (see Meeting Housing Need and Site Assessment Report). The NDP utilised both Herefordshire Landscape Character Assessment and Herefordshire Ecological Network Map in both its assessment of sites and to inform relevant policies. These considered the natural capital of the parish at the County strategic scale.

Land within the River Wye floodplain which is classified as grade 3. Other land is eithers grade 1 or grade 2. The housing site allocations utilise grade 2 land. **Policy BR2** places an emphasis on protecting high grade agricultural land.

The NDP supports policies to protect the rural landscape set out in Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy.

**Policy BR1** gives the highest priority to protecting the landscape of the Wye valley

status of protection in relation to these issues. The conservation and enhancement of wildlife and cultural heritage are also important considerations in these areas and should be given great weight in National Parks and the Broads. The scale and extent of development within these designated areas should be limited (NPPF para 172).

Major development should be refused other than in exceptional circumstances, and where it can be demonstrated that the development is in the public interest (NPPF para 172). Consideration should include an assessment of:

a) the need for the development, including in terms of any national considerations, and the impact of permitting it, or refusing it, upon the local economy;

b) the cost of, and scope for, developing outside the designated area, or meeting the need for it in some other way; and

c) any detrimental effect on the environment, the landscape and recreational opportunities, and the extent to which that could be moderated.

For the purposes of paragraphs 172 and 173, whether a proposal is 'major development' is a matter for the decision maker, taking into account its nature, scale and setting, and whether it could have a significant adverse impact on the wellbeing of the county's residents and its economy. Development proposals should be shaped through an integrated approach to planning the following environmental components from the outset, and based upon sufficient information to determine the effect upon each where they are relevant (among others):

• landscape, townscape and local distinctiveness, especially in Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty;

The management plans and conservation objectives of the county's international and nationally important features and areas will be material to the determination of future development proposals. Furthermore, assessments of local features, areas and sites, defining local distinctiveness in other development plan documents, neighbourhood development plans and supplementary planning documents should inform decisions upon proposals.

(**Policy LD1 – Extract)** Development proposals should:

• demonstrate that character of the landscape and townscape has positively influenced the design, scale, nature and site selection, protection and enhancement of the setting of settlements and designated areas;

• conserve and enhance the natural, historic and scenic beauty of important landscapes and features, including Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, nationally and locally designated parks and gardens and conservation areas; through the protection of the area's character and by enabling appropriate uses, design and management;

• incorporate new landscape schemes and their management to ensure development integrates appropriately into its surroundings; and

• maintain and extend tree cover where important to amenity, through the retention of important trees, appropriate replacement of trees lost through development and new planting to support green infrastructure.

AONB in which the Parish sits. This is added to by **policy BR3** and in combination these give the appropriate level of weight required to development proposals within the Wye Valley AONB, with this policy setting out criteria against which 'major development' will be determined and indicating such development will only be permitted where it is determined to meet stipulated exceptions based on NPPF paragraph 172. Policy BR4 seeks to preserve those elements contributing to the rural character of the countryside, in particular tree cover that comprises important landscape features, views, the setting of the Parish's settlements and the characteristics of the landscape character type (NPPF para 17 bullet 5). It especially highlights the gap between Wilton and Bridstow which is important to a range of views and to the nature of the Parish. These policies provide a clear basis for dete5rmining the appropriateness of proposals within the context of NPPF para 11(d)(i) and its footnote 6. Policy BR2 also refers to the need to protect the landscape quality, beauty, character and features of the Wye Valley AONB presenting how this is relevant to the location of development, especially housing within the NDP.

Together these **Policies** contain and emphasise criteria against which proposals should be judged. In addition, **Policy BR4** requires landscape schemes to be informed by visual landscape assessments, to protect important views and to be an integral part of the overall design proposals for any development site.

It is considered that no proposals are advanced that would conflict with policies to protect the landscape. purposes for which the area has been designated or defined (NPPF Footnote No 55).

## **Biodiversity**

Plans should identify, map and safeguard components of local wildlife-rich habitats and wider ecological networks, including the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites of importance for biodiversity; wildlife corridors and stepping stones that connect them; and areas identified by national and local partnerships for habitat management, enhancement, restoration or creation (NPPF para 174a).

Plans should promote the conservation, restoration and enhancement of priority habitats, ecological networks and the protection and recovery of priority species; and identify and pursue opportunities for securing measurable net gains for biodiversity (NPPF para 174b).

Where areas that are part of the Nature Recovery Network are identified in plans, it may be appropriate to specify the types of development that may be suitable within them (NPPF Footnote 57).

Proposals should that cause significant harm to biodiversity should be located on an alternative site with less harmful impacts, or be (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve and enhance those environmental assets that contribute towards the county's distinctiveness, in particular its biodiversity and especially those with specific environmental designations. In addition, proposals should maintain and improve the effectiveness of those ecosystems essential to the health and wellbeing of the county's residents and its economy. Development proposals should be shaped through an integrated approach to planning the following environmental components from the outset, and based upon sufficient information to determine the effect upon each where they are relevant (among others):

• biodiversity and geodiversity especially Special Areas of Conservation and Sites of Special Scientific Interest;

the network of green infrastructure;

The management plans and conservation objectives of the county's international and nationally important features and areas will be material to the determination of future development proposals. Furthermore, assessments of local features, areas and sites, defining local distinctiveness in other development plan documents, neighbourhood development plans and supplementary planning documents should inform decisions upon proposals.

(Policy LD2 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve, restore and enhance the biodiversity and geodiversity assets of Herefordshire, through the:

1. retention and protection of nature conservation sites and habitats, and important species in accordance with their status as follows:

a) Development that is likely to harm sites and species of European Importance will not be permitted; The NDP supports policies to protect biodiversity set out in Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy through **Policy BR6**, including a cross-reference to HCS policy LD2. No housing site allocations are advanced that would conflict with policies to protect the designated wildlife or geological sites. This policy also refers to the ecological network which results from Herefordshire Council's definition of corridors, buffers and steppingstones.

Policy BR6 also seeks no net-loss in biodiversity and, more importantly, seeks a net gain in accordance with Government's expressed intentions. Enhancements would be expected through landscape schemes under Policy BR4 as an integral part of site development in accordance with HCS policy LD1 which should also protect existing trees, ponds, orchards and hedgerows where they are important.

There is no Nature Improvement Area within the Parish. The River Wye flows within the Parish and is both a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and Site of Special Scientific Interest. Its protection and enhancement in a manner commensurate with its statutory status or identified quality is afforded by reference to HCS policy LD2 in **policy BR6.** This also applies to local sites within the parish, of which Wells Brook is particularly important. There are no local geological sites within the Parish

adequately mitigated, or, as a last	b) Development that would be liable to harm Sites of Special	
resort, compensated for (NPPF para	Scientific Interest or nationally protected species will only be permitted if the conservation status of their habitat or important	It is considered there is no opporent conflict
175a).	physical features can be protected by conditions or other material	It is considered there is no apparent conflict between the policies in this plan covering
Development should not take place	considerations are sufficient to outweigh nature conservation	biodiversity and geodiversity and those in
Development should not take place on land within or outside a Site of	considerations are sufficient to outweigh hardre conservation	HCS.
Special Scientific Interest, where it is	c) Development that would be liable to harm the nature	103.
likely to have an adverse effect on it	conservation value of a site or species of local nature	
(either individually or in combination	conservation interest will only be permitted if the importance of the	
with other developments. The only	development outweighs the local value of the site, habitat or	
exception is where the benefits of	physical feature that supports important species.	
the development in the location	d) Development that will potentially reduce the coherence and	
proposed clearly outweigh both its	effectiveness of the ecological network of sites will only be	
likely impact on the features of the	permitted where adequate compensatory measures are brought	
site that make it of special scientific	forward.	
interest, and any broader impacts on		
the national network of Sites of		
Special Scientific Interest (NPPF	2. restoration and enhancement of existing biodiversity and	
para 175b).	geodiversity features on site and connectivity to wider ecological	
	networks; and	
Development should not result in the		
loss or deterioration of irreplaceable		
habitats (such as ancient woodland	3. creation of new biodiversity features and wildlife habitats.	
and ancient or veteran trees) unless there are wholly exceptional reasons		
and a suitable compensation	Where appropriate the council will work with developers to agree	
strategy exists (NPPF para 175c).	a management strategy to ensure the protection of, and	
	prevention of adverse impacts on, biodiversity and geodiversity	
Development whose primary	features.	
objective is to conserve or enhance		
biodiversity should be supported;		
while opportunities to incorporate	Policy LD3 – Green infrastructure	
biodiversity improvements in and	Development proposals abould protect, manage, and play for the	
around developments should be	Development proposals should protect, manage and plan for the preservation of existing and delivery of new green infrastructure,	
encouraged, especially where this	and should achieve the following objectives:	
can secure measurable net gains for		
biodiversity (NPPF para 175d).	1. identification and retention of existing green infrastructure	
The following should be given the	corridors and linkages; including the protection of valued	
The following should be given the same protection as habitats sites	landscapes, trees, hedgerows, woodlands, water courses and	
(NPPF para 176):	adjoining flood plain;	
		1

a) potential Special Protection Areas	2. provision of on-site green infrastructure, in particular	
and possible Special Areas of Conservation:	proposals will be supported where this enhances the network; and	
,	3. integration with, and connection to, the surrounding green	
b) listed or proposed Ramsar sites59; and	infrastructure network.	
c) sites identified, or required, as compensatory measures for adverse effects on habitats sites, potential Special Protection Areas, possible Special Areas of Conservation, and listed or proposed Ramsar sites.		
The presumption in favour of sustainable development does not apply where development requiring appropriate assessment because of its potential impact on a habitats site is being planned (NPPF para 177).		
Ground conditions and pollution		
Sites should be suitable for its proposed use taking account of ground conditions and any risks arising from land instability and contamination. This includes risks arising from natural hazards or former activities such as mining, and any proposals for mitigation including land remediation (as well as potential impacts on the natural environment arising from that remediation) (NPPF para 178a). After remediation, as a minimum, land should not be capable of being determined as contaminated land under Part IIA of the Environmental	(Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve and enhance those environmental assets that contribute towards the county's distinctiveness, especially those with specific environmental designations. In addition, proposals should maintain and improve the effectiveness of those ecosystems essential to the health and wellbeing of the county's residents and its economy. Development proposals should be shaped through an integrated approach to planning the following environmental components from the outset, and based upon sufficient information to determine the effect upon each where they are relevant (among others): <ul> <li>local amenity, including light pollution, air quality and tranquillity;</li> </ul> The management plans and conservation objectives of the county's international and nationally important features and areas	The provisions of HCS policies SS6, SD1, SD3 and SD4 are considered sufficient for most of the needs of the Parish in relation to addressing pollution and land stability which are issues requiring high levels of professional advice not available to the Parish Council. <b>Policy BR17</b> protects the amenity of existing residents, including from potential noise and air pollution, that might result from new employment development. <b>Policy BR10</b> ensures that new residential development is not located where its amenity would be significantly affected or where it might significantly adversely affect existing dwellings. <b>Policy BR9</b> covers the issue of contaminated land which Herefordshire
	will be material to the determination of future development proposals. Furthermore, assessments of local features, areas and	Council suggests may be relevant when, in

Protection Act 1990 (NPPF para 178b). Adequate site investigation information, prepared by a competent person, should be available to inform these assessments (NPPF para 178c). Where a site is affected by contamination or land stability issues, responsibility for securing a safe development rests with the developer and/or landowner (NPPF para 179). Planning policies should ensure that new development is appropriate for its location taking into account the likely effects (including cumulative effects) of pollution on health, living conditions and the natural environment, as well as the potential sensitivity of the site or the wider area to impacts that could arise from the development (NPPF para 180). Proposals should mitigate and reduce to a minimum potential adverse impacts resulting from noise from new development – and avoid noise giving rise to significant adverse impacts on health and the quality of life (NPPF para 180a). Proposals should identify and protect tranquil areas which have remained	sites, defining local distinctiveness in other development plan documents, neighbourhood development plans and supplementary planning documents should inform decisions upon proposals. (Policy SD1 – Extract) Development proposals should create safe, sustainable, well integrated environments for all members of the community. In conjunction with this, all development proposals should incorporate the following requirements (among others): • safeguard residential amenity for existing and proposed residents; • ensure new development does not contribute to, or suffer from, adverse impacts arising from noise, light or air contamination, land instability or cause ground water pollution; • where contaminated land is present, undertake appropriate remediation where it can be demonstrated that this will be effective; (Policy SD3 – Extracts) Measures for sustainable water management will be required to be an integral element of new development in order to reduce flood risk; to avoid an adverse impact on water quantity; to protect and enhance groundwater resources and to provide opportunities to enhance biodiversity, health and recreation. This will be achieved by ensuring that: 9. development should not cause an unacceptable risk to the availability or quality of water resources; and 10. in particular, proposals do not adversely affect water quality, either directly through unacceptable pollution of surface water or groundwater, or indirectly through overloading of Wastewater Treatment Works. Development proposals should help to conserve and enhance waterrourses and iverside babitats, where necessary through	<ul> <li>particular, agricultural land is developed. There are general references to the protection of amenity within many of the NDP's policies including Policies BR1, BR17, BR18, BR19, BR20 and BR23. Policies BR9 and BR12 seek to minimise light pollution.</li> <li>The settlements within the Parish have access to sewerage systems and these utilise the Lower Cleeve Wastewater Treatment Works where there are understood to be short-term limitations in capacity. Policy BR8 addresses this matter. Through this policy the requirements of HCS policy SD4 are considered to have been met in relation to these matters within the NDP.</li> <li>Accordingly, these provisions in addition to HCS policies SS6, SD1, SD3 and SD4 are considered sufficient for the needs of the Parish and to meet national and strategic requirement.</li> <li>None of these policies nor other policies elsewhere in this NDP are considered inconsistent with Government or HCS provisions relating to protecting and enhancing the environment or the environmental component of sustainable development.</li> </ul>
	Development proposals should help to conserve and enhance watercourses and riverside habitats, where necessary through management and mitigation measures for the improvement and/or enhancement of water quality and habitat of the aquatic environment. Proposals which are specifically aimed at the sustainable management of the water environment will in	

Proposals should limit the impact of light pollution from artificial light on local amenity, intrinsically dark landscapes and nature conservation (NPPF para 180c). 181. Planning policies and decisions should sustain and contribute towards compliance with relevant limit values or national objectives for pollutants, taking into account the presence of Air Quality Management Areas and Clean Air Zones, and the cumulative impacts from individual sites in local areas. Opportunities to improve air quality or mitigate impacts should be identified, such as through traffic and travel management, and green infrastructure provision and enhancement (NPPF para 181). The focus of planning policies and decisions should be on whether proposed development is an acceptable use of land, rather than the control of processes or emissions (where these are subject to separate pollution control regimes) (NPPF para 183).	<ul> <li>particular be encouraged, including where they are required to support business needs such as for agriculture. Innovative measures such as water harvesting, winter water storage and active land use management will also be supported. In all instances it should be demonstrated that there will be no significant adverse landscape, biodiversity or visual impact.</li> <li>(Policy SD4 – Extract)</li> <li>Development should not undermine the achievement of water quality targets for rivers within the county, in particular through the treatment of wastewater.</li> <li>In the first instance developments should seek to connect to the existing mains wastewater infrastructure network where nutrient levels do not exceed conservation objectives within a SAC designated river. Proposals will need to fully mitigate the adverse effects of wastewater discharges into rivers caused by the development. This may involve:</li> <li>measures to achieve water efficiency and/or a reduction in surface water discharge to the mains sewer network, in accordance with policy SD3;</li> <li>phasing or delaying development until capacity is available;</li> <li>developer contributions to contribute to improvements to waste water treatment works or other appropriate measures to release capacity to accommodate new development;</li> <li>planning permission will only be granted where it can be demonstrated that there will be no adverse effect on the integrity of the SAC; and</li> <li>where the nutrient levels set for conservation objectives are already exceeded, new development should not compromise the ability to reduce levels to those which are defined as favourable for the site.</li> </ul>	

	With either of these non-mains alternatives, proposals should be accompanied by the following:	
	- information to show there will be no likely significant effect on the water quality of the River Wye and the River Clun SACs; or	
	- where there will be a likely significant effect upon a SAC river, information to enable the council to ascertain that there will be no adverse effect on the integrity of the SAC;	
	- in relation to the SACs, the inclusion of measures achieving the highest standard of water quality discharge to the natural drainage system including provision for monitoring.	
	The use of cesspools will only be considered in exceptional circumstances and where it can be demonstrated that sufficient precautionary measures will ensure no adverse effect upon natural drainage water quality objectives.	
Conserving and enhancing the historic environment		
<ul> <li>Heritage assets should be conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance. (NPPF para 184).</li> <li>185. Plans should set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment that takes into account (NPPF para 185):</li> </ul>	(Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve and enhance those environmental assets that contribute towards the county's distinctiveness, in particular its settlement pattern, historic assets and especially those with specific environmental designations. In addition, proposals should maintain and improve the effectiveness of those ecosystems essential to the health and wellbeing of the county's residents and its economy. Development proposals should be shaped through an integrated approach to planning the following environmental components from the outset, and based upon sufficient information to determine the effect upon each where they are relevant (among others):	The NDP supports policies to protect the historic environment set out in Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy. Regard has been had to the need to protect heritage assets or their settings appropriate to their significance. This overall approach through <b>Policy BR5</b> is considered to meet the provisions of NPPF. A number of Scheduled Ancient Monuments fall within the Parish, including Wilton Castle and Wilton Bridge, both of which are prominent in
a) the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets, and putting them to viable uses consistent with their conservation;	<ul> <li>landscape, townscape and local distinctiveness especially Special Areas of Conservation and Sites of Special Scientific Interest,</li> <li>historic environment and heritage assets especially</li> </ul>	the landscape. It is possible that there may be as yet unidentified archaeological remains associated with this and other history within the parish. Provision is made for archaeological investigation where necessary.
b) the wider social, cultural, economic and environmental	<ul> <li>Scheduled Monuments and Listed Buildings;</li> <li>the network of green infrastructure;</li> </ul>	There are a number of Listed Buildings within the parish, including the Old Vicarage where its south facing elevation and setting is most important. The policy protects Listed Buildings,

<ul> <li>historic environment can bring;</li> <li>c) the desirability of new development making a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness; and</li> <li>d) opportunities to draw on the contribution made by the historic environment to the character of a place.</li> <li>Use up-to-date evidence about the historic environment in their area to (NPPF para 187):</li> <li>a) assess the significance of heritage assets and the contribution they make to their environment; and</li> <li>b) predict the likelihood that currently unidentified heritage assets, particularly sites of historic and archaeological interest, will be discovered in the future.</li> <li>Identify and assess the particular significance of any heritage asset that may be affected by a proposal (including by development affecting the setting of a heritage asset) taking account of the available evidence and any necessary expertise. They should take this into account when</li> </ul>	The management plans and conservation objectives of the county's international and nationally important features and areas will be material to the determination of future development proposals. Furthermore, assessments of local features, areas and sites, defining local distinctiveness in other development plan documents, neighbourhood development plans and supplementary planning documents should inform decisions upon proposals. <b>(Policy LD4 – Extract)</b> Development proposals affecting heritage assets and the wider historic environment should: 1. Protect, conserve or where possible enhance heritage assets and their settings in a manner appropriate to their significance through appropriate management, uses and sympathetic design, in particular emphasising the original form and function where possible; 2. where opportunities exist, contribute to the character and local distinctiveness of the townscape or wider environment, especially within conservation areas; 3. use the retention, repair and sustainable use of heritage assets to provide a focus for wider regeneration schemes; 4. record and advance the understanding of the significance of any heritage assets to be lost (wholly or in part) and to make this evidence or archive generated publicly accessible and 5. where appropriate, improve the understanding of and public access to the heritage asset. The scope of the works required to protect, conserve and enhance heritage assets in their settings should be proportionate to their significance. Development schemes should emphasise the original form and function of any asset and, where appropriate, improve the understanding of and public access to them.	including their settings, and also gives appropriate protection to those buildings of local interest, including historic farmsteads, which it is understood Historic England is keen to protect. <b>Policy BR5</b> also supports development that preserves or enhances that part of Ross-on-Wye Conservation Area that falls within the Parish, especially the latter given that the Conservation Area is considered to be 'at risk' by Historic England. <b>Policy BR15(ii)</b> involves land adjacent to the Old Vicarage which is a Listed Building. It seeks to locate any development in a location that would have a less than substantial adverse effect on that building's setting, utilising a form that would reflect the nature of the curtilage and building, protect views across the valley of the River Wye from the Prospect, all of which should be informed by a Heritage Impact Assessment. Neither <b>Policy BR5</b> nor other policies elsewhere in this NDP are considered inconsistent with Government or HCS provisions relating to protecting and enhancing the historic environment.
account of the available evidence and any necessary expertise. They should take this into account when	emphasise the original form and function of any asset and, where appropriate, improve the understanding of and public access to	
should take this into account when considering the impact of a proposal on a heritage asset, to avoid or minimise any conflict between the heritage asset's conservation and any aspect of the proposal (NPPF		

Bridstow Neighbourhood Development Plan – Basic Conditions Statement (January 2021)

Where there is evidence of deliberate neglect of, or damage to, a heritage asset, the deteriorated state of the heritage asset should not be taken into account in any decision (NPPF para 191).	
Take into account the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets and putting them to viable uses consistent with their conservation (NPPF para 192a).	
Take into account the positive contribution that conservation of heritage assets can make to sustainable communities including their economic vitality (NPPF para 192b).	
Take into account the desirability of new development making a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness (NPPF para 192c).	
When considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation (and the more important the asset, the greater the weight should be). This is irrespective of whether any potential harm amounts to substantial harm, total loss or less than substantial harm to its significance (NPPF para 193).	
Any harm to, or loss of, the significance of a designated heritage asset (from its alteration or	

destruction, or from development within its setting), should require clear and convincing justification (NPPF para 194). Substantial harm to or loss of:	
a) grade II listed buildings, or grade II registered parks or gardens, should be exceptional;	
b) assets of the highest significance, notably scheduled monuments, protected wreck sites, registered battlefields, grade I and II* listed buildings, grade I and II* registered parks and gardens, and World Heritage Sites, should be wholly exceptional.	
Proposed development should not lead to substantial harm to (or total loss of significance of) a designated heritage asset unless it can be demonstrated that the substantial harm or total loss is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits that outweigh that harm or loss, or all of the following apply (NPPF para 195):	
a) the nature of the heritage asset prevents all reasonable uses of the site; and	
b) no viable use of the heritage asset itself can be found in the medium term through appropriate marketing that will enable its conservation; and	
c) conservation by grant-funding or some form of not for profit, charitable	

or public ownership is demonstrably not possible; and	
d) the harm or loss is outweighed by the benefit of bringing the site back into use.	
Where a development proposal will lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal including, where appropriate, securing its optimum viable use (NPPF para 196).	
Local planning authorities should not permit the loss of the whole or part of a heritage asset without taking all reasonable steps to ensure the new development will proceed after the loss has occurred (NPPF para 198).	
Developers should record and advance understanding of the significance of any heritage assets to be lost (wholly or in part) in a manner proportionate to their importance and the impact, and to make this evidence (and any archive generated) publicly accessible. However, the ability to record evidence of our past should not be a factor in deciding whether such loss should be permitted (NPPF para 199).	
Copies of evidence should be deposited with the relevant historic environment record, and any archives with a local museum or	

other public depository (NPPF Footnote No 64). Look for opportunities for new development within Conservation Areas and within the setting of heritage assets, to enhance or better reveal their significance. Proposals that preserve those elements of the setting that make a positive contribution to the asset (or which better reveal its significance) should be treated favourably (NPPF para 200). Loss of a building (or other element) which makes a positive contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 195 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 195 or less than s	other public dependency (NDDC		1
Look for opportunities for new development within Conservation Areas and within the setting of heritage assets, to enhance or better reveal their significance. Proposals that preserve those elements of the setting that make a positive contribution to the asset (or which better reveal its significance) should be treated favourably (NPPF para 200).     Image: Conservation of the conservation Area should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 195 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 196, as appropriate, taking into account the elignificance of the Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).     Image: Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).       Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighe the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).     Image: Conservation Proposals for dealing with       Maste Planning     (Policy SS6 - Extract) Development proposals should conserve     No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
development within Conservation Areas and within the setting of heritage assets, to enhance or better reveal their significance. Proposals that preserve those elements of the setting that make a positive contribution to the asset (or which better reveal its significance) should be treated favourably (NPPF para 200).       Image: Conservation Loss of a building (or other element) which makes a positive contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 195 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 196 or less than substantial harm under secore the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweights the disbenefits of departing from those policies the which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweights the disbenefits of departing from those policies than think would have regard (Policy SS6 - Extract) Development proposals should conserve No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
development within Conservation       Areas and within the setting of         heritage assets, to enhance or better       reveal their significance. Proposals         that preserve those elements of the       contribution to the asset (or which         better to be element sol the asset (or which       better to be element)         which makes a positive contribution       to the significance) should         be treated favourably (NPPF para       200).         Loss of a building (or other element)       which makes a positive contribution         which makes a positive contribution       to the significance of the         Conservation Area should be treated       either as substantial harm under paragraph         195. as appropriate, taking into account the relative significance of the       conservation Area as whole         (NPFF para 201).       Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweights the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPFF para 202).         Waste Planning       (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve       No specific strategic proposals for dealing with	Look for opportunities for new		
Areas and within the setting of heritage assets, to enhance or better reveal their significance. Proposals that preserve those elements of the setting that make a positive contribution to the asset (or which better reveal its significance) should be treated favourably (NPPF para 200).       Image: Setting that make a positive contribution to the asset of the Conservation Area should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 196 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 196, as appropriate, taking linto account the relative significance of the Conservation Area should be treated and the element affected and its contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).         Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).         Waste Planning       (Policy SS6 - Extract) Development proposals should conserve       No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
heritage assets, to enhance or better reveal their significance. Proposals that preserve those elements of the setting that make a positive contribution to the asset (or which better reveal its significance) should be treated favourably (NPPF para 200). Loss of a building (or other element) which makes a positive contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 195 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 196, as appropriate, taking into account the relative significance of the Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201). Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweights the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202). Waste Planning Local authorities should have regard (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve			
reveal their significance. Proposals         that preserve those elements of the         setting that make a positive         contribution to the asset (or which         better reveal its significance) should         better reveal its significance of the         Conservation Area should be treated         either as a positive contribution         to this significance of the         Conservation Area should be treated         either as ubstantial harm under         paragraph 195 or less than         substantial harm under paragraph         196, as appropriate, taking into         account the relative significance of the         Conservation Area as whole         (NPPF para 201).         Assess whether the benefits of a         proposal for enabling development,         which would secure the future conservation of a         heritage asset, outweights the         disbenefits of departing from those         policies (NPPF para 202).         Waste Planning         Local authorities should have regard	0		
setting that make a positive contribution to the asset (or which better reveal its significance) should be treated favourably (NPPF para 200). Loss of a building (or other element) which makes a positive contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 195 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 196, as appropriate, taking into account the relative significance of the Conservation Area as whole (NPPF para 201). Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202). Waste Planning Local authorities should have regard (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve			
contribution to the asset (or which better reveal its significance) should be treated favourably (NPPF para 200).       Image: Contribution to the significance of the conservation Area should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 196 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 197.       Image: Conservation Area as hould be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 196 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 196.         196, as appropriate, taking into account the relative significance of the element affected and its contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).       Image: Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).         Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would account thur house policies (NPPF para 202).       Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).         Waste Planning       Colicy SS6 - Extract) Development proposals should conserve       No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
better reveal its significance) should       be treated favourably (NPPF para 200).         Loss of a building (or other element)       which makes a positive contribution         which makes a positive contribution       to the significance of the         Conservation Area should be treated       either as substantial harm under paragraph 195 or less than         substantial harm under paragraph 195 or less than       substantial harm under paragraph 196, as appropriate, taking into         account the relative significance of the       conservation Area as a whole         (NPPF para 201).       Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweights the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).       No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
be treated favourably (NPPF para 200). Loss of a building (or other element) which makes a positive contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 195 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 196, as appropriate, taking into account the relative significance of the element affected and its contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201). Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202). Waste Planning Local authorities should have regard <b>(Policy SS6 - Extract)</b> Development proposals should conserve No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
200).       Loss of a building (or other element)         which makes a positive contribution       the significance of the         Conservation Area should be treated       either as substantial harm under         paragraph 195 or less than       substantial harm under paragraph         196, as appropriate, taking into       account the relative significance of         the element affected and its       conservation Area as a whole         (NPPF para 201).       Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).         Waste Planning       Local authorities should have regard         Local authorities should have regard       (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve       No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
Local authorities should have regard       (Policy SS6 - Extract) Development proposals should conserve       No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
which makes a positive contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 195 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 196, as appropriate, taking into account the relative significance of the contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).       Image: Conservation of the significance of the construction to the significance of the conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).         Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).       Image: Conservation of the significance of the Conservation Area as a whole         Waste Planning       (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve       No specific strategic proposals for dealing with	200).		
which makes a positive contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 195 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 196, as appropriate, taking into account the relative significance of the contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).       Image: Conservation of the significance of the construction to the significance of the conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).         Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).       Image: Conservation of the significance of the Conservation Area as a whole         Waste Planning       (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve       No specific strategic proposals for dealing with	Loss of a building (or other element)		
to the significance of the Conservation Area should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 195 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 196, as appropriate, taking into account the relative significance of the element affected and its contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201). Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202). Waste Planning Local authorities should have regard <b>(Policy SS6 – Extract)</b> Development proposals should conserve No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
Conservation Area should be treated       either as substantial harm under         paragraph 195 or less than       substantial harm under paragraph         196, as appropriate, taking into       account the relative significance of         the element affected and its       contribution to the significance of the         Conservation Area as a whole       (NPPF para 201).         Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).         Waste Planning       Local authorities should have regard         Local authorities should have regard       (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve       No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
paragraph 195 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 196, as appropriate, taking into account the relative significance of the element affected and its contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).Image: Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Waste PlanningImage: Conservation Area as a conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
substantial harm under paragraph 196, as appropriate, taking into account the relative significance of the element affected and its contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).Image: Contribution to the significance of the conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Control to the significance of the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Waste PlanningImage: Control to the significance of the disbenefits should have regard(Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserveNo specific strategic proposals for dealing with	either as substantial harm under		
196, as appropriate, taking into         account the relative significance of         the element affected and its         contribution to the significance of the         Conservation Area as a whole         (NPPF para 201).         Assess whether the benefits of a         proposal for enabling development,         which would otherwise conflict with         planning policies but which would         secure the future conservation of a         heritage asset, outweighs the         disbenefits of departing from those         policies (NPPF para 202).         Waste Planning         Local authorities should have regard         (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve         No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
account the relative significance of the element affected and its contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).       Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).       Waste Planning         Local authorities should have regard       (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve       No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
the element affected and its         contribution to the significance of the         Conservation Area as a whole         (NPPF para 201).         Assess whether the benefits of a         proposal for enabling development,         which would otherwise conflict with         planning policies but which would         secure the future conservation of a         heritage asset, outweighs the         disbenefits of departing from those         policies (NPPF para 202).         Waste Planning         Local authorities should have regard         (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve         No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies should have regardPolicy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserveNo specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
Conservation Area as a whole (NPPF para 201).       Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).       Image: Conservation of a waste Planning         Waste Planning       Image: Conservation of a policies should have regard       Image: Conservation of a (Policy SS6 - Extract) Development proposals should conserve			
(NPPF para 201).Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a waste PlanningImage: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweigh the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweigh the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweigh the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweigh the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweigh the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweigh the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweigh the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweigh the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweigh the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweigh the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Local au			
Assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).          Waste Planning         Local authorities should have regard    (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a waste PlanningWaste PlanningImage: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweight the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).Waste PlanningImage: Conservation of a heritage authorities should have regardImage: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweight the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).	(1111) para 201).		
which would otherwise conflict with       planning policies but which would         planning policies but which would       secure the future conservation of a         heritage asset, outweighs the       disbenefits of departing from those         policies (NPPF para 202).       waste Planning         Local authorities should have regard       (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve       No specific strategic proposals for dealing with	Assess whether the benefits of a		
which would otherwise conflict with       planning policies but which would         planning policies but which would       secure the future conservation of a         heritage asset, outweighs the       disbenefits of departing from those         policies (NPPF para 202).       waste Planning         Local authorities should have regard       (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve       No specific strategic proposals for dealing with	proposal for enabling development,		
secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).       Image: Conservation of a heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).         Waste Planning       Image: Conservation of a disbenefits should have regard         Local authorities should have regard       (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve	which would otherwise conflict with		
heritage asset, outweighs the disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).       Image: Constraint of the second			
disbenefits of departing from those policies (NPPF para 202).			
policies (NPPF para 202).       Maste Planning         Waste Planning       Image: Compare the struct of the			
Waste Planning       Image: Comparison of the strategic proposals for dealing with         Local authorities should have regard       (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve       No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
Local authorities should have regard (Policy SS6 – Extract) Development proposals should conserve No specific strategic proposals for dealing with			
	waste i laining		
	Local authorities should have regard		No specific strategic proposals for dealing with
	to Government's planning policies	and enhance those environmental assets that contribute towards	waste are included in HCS and no local issues

for waste and other policies in the NPPF so far as they may be relevant (NPPF para 4).	<ul> <li>the county's distinctiveness, especially those with specific environmental designations. In addition, proposals should maintain and improve the effectiveness of those ecosystems essential to the health and wellbeing of the county's residents and its economy. Development proposals should be shaped through an integrated approach to planning the following environmental components from the outset, and based upon sufficient information to determine the effect upon each where they are relevant (among others):</li> <li>physical resources, including management of waste.</li> <li>The management plans and conservation objectives of the county's international and nationally important features and areas will be material to the determination of future development proposals. Furthermore, assessments of local features, areas and sites, defining local distinctiveness in other development plan documents, neighbourhood development plans and supplementary planning document swhere undertaken to define local distinctiveness, should inform decisions upon proposals.</li> <li>(Policy SS7- Extract) Development proposals will be expected to include measures which will mitigate their impact on climate change.</li> <li>Key considerations in terms of responses to climate change include (among others):</li> <li>reduction, re-use and recycling of waste with particular emphasis on waste minimisation on development sites;</li> <li>Policy SD1 – Sustainable design and energy efficiency</li> <li>Development proposals should include high quality sustainable design that also creates a safe, accessible, well integrated environment for all members of the community. In conjunction with this, all development proposals should incorporate the following requirements (among others):</li> </ul>	have been identified to be included in this NDP. The matter needs to be addressed on a strategic basis and in an integrated way and it is accepted that the Minerals and Waste Local Plan will be the most appropriate plan to cover this issue. Consequently, it is excluded development. However, <b>Policy BR9</b> requires residential development proposals to make provision for recycling storage and this is considered a measure that would encourage recycling which is important and likely to be promoted through any Minerals and Waste Local Plan. In addition, <b>Policy BR9</b> requires developers to consider how they might minimise construction waste as part of an overall approach. These matters are considered incidental to the wider development process rather than waste planning.
--	---	---

	• utilise physical sustainability measures that include, in particular, orientation of buildings, the provision of water conservation measures, storage for bicycles and waste including provision for recycling, and enabling renewable energy and energy conservation infrastructure;	
Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals So far as practicable, take account of the contribution that substitute, or secondary and recycled materials and minerals waste would make to the supply of materials, before considering extraction of primary materials, whilst aiming to source minerals supplies indigenously(NPPF para 204b). Set out policies to encourage the prior extraction of minerals, where practical and environmentally feasible, if it is necessary for non-mineral development to take place(NPPF para 204d); Safeguard existing, planned and potential sites for: the bulk transport, handling and processing of minerals; the manufacture of concrete and concrete products; and the handling, processing and distribution of substitute, recycled and secondary aggregate material(NPPF para 204e); Local planning authorities should not normally permit other development proposals in Mineral Safeguarding Areas if it might constrain potential	<ul> <li>A Minerals and Waste Local Plan is to be prepared by Herefordshire Council and this will not be a matter for neighbourhood plans.</li> <li>There is one primary consideration that neighbourhood plans should consider, however, and this is expressed in Saved Herefordshire Unitary Plan Policy M5 relating to safeguarding mineral reserves:</li> <li>M5 Safeguarding mineral reserves</li> <li>Proposals which could sterilise potential future mineral workings will be resisted in order to safeguard identified mineral resources. Where such development is proposed, the applicant may be required:</li> <li>1. to undertake a geological assessment of the site; and/or</li> <li>2. to protect the minerals in question; and/or</li> <li>3. to extract all or part of the mineral reserves as part of or before the other development is permitted.</li> <li>In such cases mineral extraction will only be required when the need for the other development significantly outweighs the harm which extraction might cause to other matters of acknowledged importance.</li> </ul>	Mineral issues are not appropriate to a neighbourhood plan. There are small pockets of land surrounding Bridstow that are indicated to be mineral reserves to be safeguarded. However, given the limited extent of the area concerned, their proximity to dwellings and the condition of the highway network, it is considered unlikely that these would represent viable reserves or make any meaningful contribution to the available resource. No representations about the need to protect this resource were received from Herefordshire Council when it was consulted at the Regulation 14 stage.

future use for mineral working (NPPF para 206).	

European Obligation enshrined in UK Law	Bridstow Neighbourhood Development Plan Provisions
Directive 2001/42/EC on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (often	The SEA (July 2019) for the Draft Neighbourhood Development Plan concluded that: 'On the whole, it is considered that the Bridstow NDP is in general conformity with both national
referred to as the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Directive)	planning policy contained in the National Planning Policy Framework and strategic policies set within the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy).' (Non-Technical summary)
	It also concluded that:
	The assessment against the sustainability framework 'reveals that the objectives and policies contained in the Bridstow NDP are by and large in general conformity with the Local Plan (Core Strategy), which means that the cumulative effect of the plan will contribute to the achievement of the SEA objectives. (Paragraph 6.8)
	Therefore, no significant changes to the NDP were recommended as a result of the SEA.
	The detailed analysis within this assessment was reviewed following changes to the draft plan in order to produce the Submission Draft Neighbourhood Development Plan. Changes included several amendments to objectives and changes to a number of policies of which those to policies BR10, BR11, BR12 and BR15 (previously BR16) are the most notable requiring re-screening. Regulation 14 draft policy BR14 was deleted.
	The conclusions of the SEA for the Submission draft NDP (January 2021) concluded that these changes have not specifically changed the outcomes of the SEA (paragraph 6.12).
Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora and Directive 2009/147/EC on the conservation of wild birds (often referred to as the Habitats and Wild Birds	Screening of Bridstow Neighbourhood Development Plan within the Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA) Addendum Report (January 2021) reviewed the findings of the previous HRA (July 2019) and considered the effects of the changes to the draft plan that were made to produce the Submission Draft Plan.
Directives respectively). These aim to protect and improve Europe's most important habitats and species.	This concluded that 'the earlier conclusions that the Bridstow NDP will not have a likely significant effect on the River Wye SAC, Wye Valley Woodlands and Wye Valley and forest of Dean Bat sites SAC has been strengthened' (paragraph 9.2 in the January 2021 document).
Human Rights	The policies within the Plan are considered to comply with the requirements of the EU obligations in relation to human rights.

## Section 4 – Compliance with European Obligations enshrined in UK Law

Other potentially relevant provisions	
Water Framework Directive	The Environment Agency has not indicated that any proposals within this Plan would conflict with measures and provisions it is advocating to meet its obligations under this Directive as set out in the Severn River Basin Management Plan or the River Wye Nutrient Management Plan.