Council

# Environmental Report

# **Report for:**

**Linton Neighbourhood Area** 

**March 2020** 





herefordshire.gov.uk

# Linton (Gorsley and Bromsash) Parish Environmental Report

Contents

Non-technical summary

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Methodology
- 3.0 The SEA Framework
- 4.0 Appraisal of Objectives
- 5.0 Appraisal of Options
- 6.0 Appraisal of Policies
- 7.0 Implementation and monitoring
- 8.0 Next steps

Appendix 1: Initial SEA Screening Report

- Appendix 2: SEA Scoping Report incorporating Tasks A1, A2, A3 and A4
- Appendix 3: SEA Scoping Report Consultation Responses -Natural England and English Heritage
- Appendix 4: SEA Stage B incorporating Tasks B1, B2, B3 and B4
- Appendix 5: Options Considered

Appendix 6: Consultation responses to the Reg14 Environmental Report

- Appendix 7: SEA Scoping Stage D assessment of the amended submission policies
- Appendix 8: Environmental Report checklist

### Non-technical summary

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) is an important part of the evidence base which underpins Neighbourhood Development Plans (NDP), as it is a systematic decision support process, aiming to ensure that environmental assets, including those whose importance transcends local, regional and national interests, are considered effectively in plan making.

Linton Parish has undertaken to prepare an NDP and this process has been subject to environmental appraisal pursuant to the SEA Directive.

The Parish of Linton covers a rural area within the south-east of the County of Herefordshire and is approximately 15 miles south of Hereford.

The submission Linton NDP includes 5 main objectives and it is intended that these objectives will be delivered by 11 criteria based planning policies.

The environmental appraisal of the Linton NDP has been undertaken in line with the Environmental Assessment of Plan and Programmes Regulations 2004. Stage A of the SEA process involved Scoping and Stage B provided a review and analysis of the NDP. Stage C involved preparing an Environmental Report and Stage D comprises a formal consultation on both this and the submission plan itself.

Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) screening has been carried out as the Parish falls within the catchment for the River Wye (including River Lugg) and is within 10km of the Wye Valley Woodlands SAC and Wye Valley and Forest of Dean Bat site SAC. The HRA assesses the potential effects of the NDP on the River Wye (including River Lugg) SAC, Wye Valley Bats and Forest of Dean Bat sites SAC and the Wye Valley Woodlands SAC.

On the whole, it is considered that the Linton Parish NDP is in general conformity with both national planning policy contained in the National Planning Policy Framework and strategic policies set within the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy). Parish Councillors will welcome the conclusion as to general conformity. It also does not propose any growth that would be over and above that prescribed by strategic policies.

Taking into consideration the cumulative effects of policies, objectives and options the plan will have an overall positive impact on environmental assets. The plan is in general conformity with the Core Strategy overall and all of the policies and objectives meet the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. Other issues with a current uncertain outcome have the opportunity to be mitigated by further detail in polices or at planning stage.

Overall the plan is positive and would have a positive impact upon the SEA baseline data. Key recommendations would be to ensure that the environmental mitigation impacts are clear and robust, this may require some enhancement of existing policies in regard to air quality and water resources. However the plan as a whole is robust and meets key targets, the unknown areas require further detail such as location, scale and size of development, therefore are likely to be determined at planning application/ proposal stage. No significant cumulative effects have been identified.

Once made (adopted) by Herefordshire Council, the effects of the policies within the Linton Parish NPD will be monitored annually via the Council's Annual Monitoring Report (AMR).

# 1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This report forms the draft Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) of the Linton Parish submission Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP).
- 1.2 The Linton Parish Draft NDP will provide general policies for guiding future development across the parish.

# Purpose of the SEA

- 1.3 SEA is a requirement of EC Directive 2001/42/EC (the SEA Directive) which requires the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment to ensure that the proposals in that plan or programme contribute to the achievement of sustainable development.
- 1.4 The Directive was transposed into domestic legislation through the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 and which applies to plans with significant environmental effects.
- 1.5. A screening opinion was carried out on the Linton Parish Draft NDP and it concluded that due to the range of environmental designations in and around the parish, there may be significant environmental effects and consequently an SEA would be required.

#### Linton Parish Neighbourhood Plan Context

- 1.6 The Parish of Linton covers a rural area within the south-east of the County of Herefordshire and is approximately 15 miles south of Hereford.
- 1.7 Linton Parish has: 1 SSSI, 8 LWS, 4 Ancient Woodlands, 3 LGS, 1 Geopark, 1 Nature Trail, 1 Unregistered Park and Garden. The East of Linton Parish falls inside the hydrological catchment area for the River Wye SAC and is within 10 km of the Wye Valley & Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC (2.8km) and is within 10km of the Wye Valley Woodlands (9.8km). There are no NNRs or SINCs within the parish. There is one conservation area in the parish.
- 1.8 The submission Linton Parish NDP includes 5 main objectives, which are designed to deliver the overarching vision on the ground, and it is intended that these objectives will be supported by 11 criteria based planning policies. There are no site allocations. Alternative options were considered prior to reaching a decision over the format of the draft plan.
- 1.9 There are numerous built heritage assets including listed buildings. There are no Scheduled Ancient Monuments.
- 1.10 The vision for Linton Parish in 2031 is as follows:

'Our community will continue to be a place where people can enjoy living and working in a rural setting. The rural character of our parish will be protected and, where possible enhanced, whilst accommodating managed growth which meets the needs of the community and helps to retain a high quality of life for all residents.'

The 5 NDP objectives are as follows:

#### Objective 1: environment and heritage

To protect and maintain the natural and historic environment of the parish, in particular its designated areas, sites and buildings; and its landscape character, especially views and vistas, ensuring access to these through the public footpath network.

### Objective 2: housing

To provide a range and choice of new homes, sensitively located and to meet the needs of all sections of the community. These homes should be built at a scale and density designed to respect and enhance the character of the parish.

Objective 3: infrastructure and roads4

To support the provision of improved infrastructure in line with new development, including roads/pavements; public transport; the sewerage system; surface water drainage; mobile telephony and broadband. Infrastructure to reduce carbon dependence will also be encouraged through seeking appropriate alternative energy methods. To address traffic issues including the speed of vehicles through the villages; the effect of traffic noise on amenity; and the need for safer environments for all road users.

Objective 4: community services and facilities

To ensure that community services and facilities are retained and enhanced as much as possible, and that community spirit and involvement is actively encouraged.

Objective 5: economic development and employment

To support and encourage the development or expansion of small and home-based businesses and farming

# **Context of Neighbourhood Plans**

- 1.11 NDPs are a relatively new type of planning document that form a key part of the Government's localism agenda. They enable local communities to develop plans that reflect local aspirations, in accordance with strategic policies.
- 1.12 The Linton Parish NDP must therefore conform to national planning policy set within the NPPF and strategic level local policy including the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy).
- 1.13 The Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy) was adopted on the 16<sup>th</sup> October 2015.
- 1.14 Paragraph 48 of the NPPF and Planning Practice Guidance set out the weight that may be given to relevant policies in emerging plans, including NDPs, and indicated that weight may be given to relevant policies in emerging NDPs according to:
  - The stage of preparation of the emerging plan (the more advanced the preparation, the grater the weight that may be given);
  - The extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies (the less significant the unresolved objections, the greater the weight that may be given); and
  - The degree of consistency of the relevant policies in the emerging plan to the policies in this Framework (the closer the policies in the emerging plan to the policies in the Framework, the greater the weight that may be given).
- 1.15 The Local Plan (Core Strategy) lists Linton, Gorsely and Bromsash as a 4.14 settlements, of which it considers it to be a sustainable location for growth, and with a 14% proportional growth target as part of the Ross on Wye HMA.
- 1.16 Once made (adopted) by Herefordshire Council, the Linton Parish NDP will have a role in guiding future development proposals within the Parish, by setting out policies against which planning applications will be determined.

### Structure of SEA

- 1.17 The structure of the document is as follows:
  - Section 2 Explains the SEA methodology and summarises the comments received in respect of the SEA Scoping Report
  - Section 3 Introduces the Neighbourhood Plan objectives and the SEA framework
  - Section 4 Appraises the objectives contained within the Neighbourhood Plan against the SEA framework

- Section 5 Appraises the options considered within the Neighbourhood Plan against the SEA framework
- Section 6 Appraises the policies contained within the Neighbourhood Plan against the SEA framework
- Section 7 Discusses the implementation and monitoring of the Neighbourhood Plan
- Section 8 Concludes the SEA report by outlining next steps

# 2.0 Methodology

- 2.1 The SEA process comprised several stages and which are summarised, in some detail, below.
- 2.2 Stage A involved 4 tasks and culminated in a Scoping Report:
  - *Task A1:* Identified and reviewed relevant policies, plans and programmes and environmental protection objectives from European, National and Local sources.
  - *Task A2:* Collected baseline information to provide a picture of past, present and likely future conditions within the area. This helped to establish indicators which will be used to monitor the effects and performance of the Linton Parish NDP.
  - *Task A3:* Focused on the environmental issues identified from the baseline, highlighting key issues and problems within the neighbourhood area.
  - *Task A4:* Used the information gathered from Tasks A1-A3 to develop a set of SEA objectives, sometimes referred to as the 'sustainability framework'.
  - *Task A5:* Collated the results of Tasks A1-A4 within a Scoping Report, a document which was subject to a statutory 5 week consultation.
- 2.3 Stage B involved 4 tasks and assessed the effects of the NDP.
  - Task B1: Tested NDP Objectives against the SEA Objectives
  - Task B2: Developed and refined the NDP options and policies
  - Task B3/B4: Predicted and evaluated the significant effects of the NDP
- 2.4 Stage C involved preparing an Environmental Report. This report presents information compiled during Stage B of the SEA process and constitutes the Draft Environmental Appraisal of the NDP. It accompanies the Draft Plan during its formal Regulation 14 consultation with people who live, work and carry out businesses in the neighbourhood area, as well as statutory bodies listed in the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012.
- 2.5 Producing an Environmental Report was therefore a legal requirement and the submission of this report to Herefordshire Council forms Stage D of the SEA process.

### **Scoping Report Consultation**

2.6 With regard to the SEA scoping assessments, documents A1 to A4 were completed by a Herefordshire Council Planning Officer and sent to the Parish Council for comment, in readiness for a 5 week consultation with statutory bodies, pursuant to the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004.

2.7 After the document was approved by the Parish Council, the Linton Parish SEA Scoping Report was available to four<sup>1</sup> statutory bodies for consultation in 2018.

# **Consultation outcomes from Statutory Consultees**

- 2.8 The consultation resulted in no responses, see Appendix 3.
- 2.9 The responses were collated and incorporated within this document where relevant.

Natural England: No comments received

Historic England: No comments received

Environment Agency: No comments received

Natural Resources Wales: No comments received

### 3.0 The SEA Framework

- 3.1 As mentioned previously, Stage A of the SEA identifies and reviews relevant policies, plans and programmes and environmental protection objectives from European, National and Local sources (refer to Table A1 in Appendix 2 for details of those documents that were reviewed in completing Stage A of SEA on the Parish NDP).
- 3.2 The requirement to undertake this 'context review' is contained in Annexes 1(a) and (e) of the SEA Directive which states that an Environmental Report should include:

"...an outline of the contents, main objectives of the plan or programme and relationship with other relevant plans and programmes" and

"...the environmental protection objectives, established at international, Community or Member State level, which are relevant to the plan or programme and the way those objectives and any environmental considerations have been taken into account during its preparation"

### **Policy context**

- 3.3 The Linton Parish NDP will deliver the Local Plan (Core Strategy) at parish level by adding locally specific detail to strategic policies. As a consequence, the Scoping Report for the NDP was based on the context review Herefordshire Council undertook for its Local Plan (Core Strategy).
- 3.4 The results of this assessment (context review) provide the source of the local baseline data and have been incorporated into the SEA framework. It should be noted that:
  - No list of policies, plans and programmes can ever be exhaustive and that Herefordshire Council has selected those considered to be of particular relevance to the planning system;
  - New or revised plans and policies can emerge during the SEA process
- 3.5 The following strategies and plans have been reviewed and, where appropriate, incorporated within the SA Framework objectives:
  - The EC Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations (2010) These regulations transpose the Habitats Directive in England, Wales and to a limited extent Scotland by ensuring that activities are carried out in accordance with the Habitats Directive,

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Statutory consultation bodies: Natural England; English Heritage; Environment Agency Natural Resources Wales

Please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part

which is to protect biodiversity through the conservation of natural habitats and species of wild flora and fauna.

- The EC Water Framework Directive (2000) Commits all EU member states to achieve good qualitative and quantitative status of all water courses by 2015
- The Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981) The major legal instrument for wildlife protection in Britain, although other significant acts have been passed since. It has numerous parts and supplementary lists and schedules many of which have been amended since publication.
- Biodiversity 2020: A strategy for England's wildlife and ecosystem services (2011) -Forms part of the UK's Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework by setting out England's contribution towards the UK's commitments under the United Nations Convention of Biological Diversity.
- The Countryside and Right of Way Act (2000) Creates a statutory right of access on foot to certain types of open land, to modernise the public rights of way system, to strengthen nature conservation legislation, and to facilitate better management of AONBs
  - The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (2006) Designed to help achieve a rich and diverse natural environment and thriving rural communities through modernised and simplified arrangements for delivering Government policy.
  - *Revised EU Sustainable Development strategy* (2009) Sets out a single strategy on how the EU will more effectively meet its long-standing commitment to meet the challenges of sustainable development.
  - National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2018) Consolidates the suite of PPG/PPS into one succinct planning policy document.
  - *Planning Practice Guidance (2013)* Sets out the vision, objectives and policies for the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy), which will guide development across the county up to 2031.
  - Herefordshire Local Transport Plan 3 (LTP) 2013-2015 Sets out the Council's strategy for supporting economic growth, social inclusion and reducing the environmental impacts of transport, as well as the program of investment for the period April 2013 to April 2015.
  - Understanding Herefordshire Report (2014) Important to understand the place such as the local economy natural and built environment in which people live, learn and work as part of understanding their quality of life. Enable development for economy and housing to required levels and growth should be supported by sustainable transport measures.
  - *Malvern Hills AONB Management Plan 2009-2014 (2009)* Identifies the issues and challenges facing the special features of the area and contains 24 guiding principles and 46 strategic objectives which will help address them.
  - Wye Valley AONB management Plan 2009-2014 (2009) The Management Plan is the prime document which sets out the vision for the area and the priorities for its management.
  - *Herefordshire Economic Development Strategy 2011-2016* Aims to increase the economic wealth of Herefordshire by setting out proposals and to support business growth up to 2016.

- Herefordshire Employment Land Study (2012) Includes employment land assessments for the plan period 2011-2031. The study includes Quantitative and Qualitative assessments of employment land, assessment of market demand and need, as well as providing forecasts and recommendations for future employment need over the plan period.
- Herefordshire Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) (2009) The SHLAA aims to justify site allocations in plans by:
  - o Identifying sites which are capable of delivering housing development
  - Assessing sites for their housing potential; and
  - Predicting when a site could be developed for housing.
- Herefordshire Local Housing Market Assessment (LHMA) (2013) Builds on an earlier Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) developed for Herefordshire and Shropshire. Its purpose is to inform the Local Plan's policies regarding housing need and demand (for market and affordable housing) within each of the 7 Housing Market Areas (HMAs) in Herefordshire between 2011 and 2031.
- Herefordshire Local Housing Requirements Study (2012) Technical assessment of the housing market and potential future local housing requirements which supports planning policy regarding the amount of growth, housing tenure and housing type needed within Herefordshire up to 2031.
- Herefordshire Rural Housing Background Report (2013) Provides the justification for the proportional housing growth targets outlined in the Core Strategy
- *Herefordshire Draft Gypsies and Travellers Assessment (2013)* Assesses the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers across Herefordshire.
- Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan (2007) Focuses conservation efforts on the areas within Herefordshire that will result in the greatest benefit for ecological networks, habitats and species.
- Building Biodiversity into the LDF (2009) Provides the Council's Local Plan (Core Strategy) with evidence in respect of biodiversity and geodiversity, identifying both opportunities and constraints across Herefordshire.
- *Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy (2010)* Develops a framework of natural and culturally important features and functions so that planning for a sustainable future is at the heart of planning within Herefordshire.
- *Renewable Energy Study (2010)* Assesses the energy demand within Herefordshire and the ability for the county to accommodate renewable and low carbon energy technologies.
- Herefordshire Playing Pitch Assessment (2012) Produces a strategic framework, audit and assessment and needs analysis of outdoor sports pitches and facilities for Herefordshire. The document arises as a result of a recommendation in the Herefordshire and Worcestershire Sports Facilities Framework to develop local standards for playing fields and sports pitches throughout Herefordshire.
- Open Spaces Study (2006) The 2006 space audit and assessment of need is a snap shot of the quality, quantity and distribution of open space across Herefordshire.

- *Play Facilities Study (2012)* The Play Facilities Study 2012 updates the previous play facilities analysis under the Open Spaces Study 2006 and provides guidance and a framework for the development, delivery and continued sustainability of providing new and improved play facilities for children and young people in Herefordshire to 2031.
- Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) and Water Cycle Study (2009) The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) provides a summary of flood risk in Herefordshire to inform the location of future development. The Water Cycle Study examines how water resources and water supply infrastructure, wastewater treatment, water quality, sewerage and flood risk could constrain growth across Herefordshire.
- 3.6 Appendix 1 of the Linton Parish NDP Scoping Report provides additional detail on the Plans, Policies and Programmes mentioned above and identifies the implications for the SEA and NDP.

# SEA Objectives and baseline characteristics

3.7 The SEA objectives that were used at Stages A and B of the process are listed in the following table.

| SEA C | SEA Objective   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1     | To maintain or enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2     | To maintain or enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3     | To improve the quality of surroundings  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4     | To conserver or where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5     | To improve air quality  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6     | To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7     | To reduce contributions to climate change   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8     | To reduce vulnerability to climate change   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9     | To improve water quality  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10    | To provide for sustainable sources of water supply                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11    | To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12    | To conserve soil resources and quality  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13    | To minimise the production of waste   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14    | To improve the health of the population   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15    | To reduce crime and nuisance  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16    | To conserve natural and manmade resources   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- 3.8 The SEA objectives detailed above conform to the SEA Directive, and are derived from the Sustainability Appraisal undertaken for the Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy 2011-2031.
- 3.9 Baseline information gathered during Stage A of the SEA process provided details of the current environmental characteristics of the neighbourhood area and the status of its natural assets and features (refer to Appendix 2). This information was analysed as part of Task B2 of SEA, which looked at the extent to which the emerging NDP policies will help or obstruct these characteristics.
- 3.10 Following the completion of Task B2 of SEA there were no major issues identified against environmental impacts.

- 3.11 The NDP contains 11 criteria-based policies.
- 3.12 Baseline characteristics within the SEA detail the current environmental status of environmental characteristics in the neighbourhood plan area from different sources. The source of Baseline Information used in Table A2 in Appendix 2, and analysed in Table B2 can be found in Appendix 4.
- 3.13 There are 5 objectives for which there is no local baseline data available and therefore this provides a limitation on the baseline data and whether the NDP policies are able to more towards or away from this data, this also means that there are no future trends to link the SEA objective too.

### 4.0 Assessing the NDP Objectives

4.1 The following are objectives listed in the NDP that aim to realise the vision for Linton Parish in 2031:

### Objective 1: environment and heritage

To protect and maintain the natural and historic environment of the parish, in particular its designated areas, sites and buildings; and its landscape character, especially views and vistas, ensuring access to these through the public footpath network.

#### **Objective 2: housing**

To provide a range and choice of new homes, sensitively located and to meet the needs of all sections of the community. These homes should be built at a scale and density designed to respect and enhance the character of the parish.

### Objective 3: infrastructure and roads4

To support the provision of improved infrastructure in line with new development, including roads/pavements; public transport; the sewerage system; surface water drainage; mobile telephony and broadband. Infrastructure to reduce carbon dependence will also be encouraged through seeking appropriate alternative energy methods. To address traffic issues including the speed of vehicles through the villages; the effect of traffic noise on amenity; and the need for safer environments for all road users.

### Objective 4: community services and facilities

To ensure that community services and facilities are retained and enhanced as much as possible, and that community spirit and involvement is actively encouraged.

Objective 5: economic development and employment To support and encourage the development or expansion of small and home-based businesses and farming

- 4.2 The table below tests these NDP objectives against the SEA objectives, providing a summary of the results of Task B1 of SEA. The full results are available at Appendix 4 of this report.
- 4.3 The majority of those NDP objectives which have a relationship with the SEA framework are positively compatible with it or have an unknown effect, requiring more detail at planning application stage or in policy detail.
- 4.4 The NDP objectives had a mainly positive or neutral impact on some of the key SEA Objectives relating to the environment aspects of water and sustainability. This is because at this this stage given the lack of detail over the exact details of an development that may come to fruition as a result of the implementation of these objectives; however, it is accepted that further development of the planning policies that relate to these objectives would move them towards a compatible outcome.
- 4.5 Task B1 of the SEA did not identify any major potential conflicts between the NDP objectives and the SEA framework.

| Key: |                                    |
|------|------------------------------------|
| +    | Compatible                         |
| -    | Possible conflict                  |
| 0    | Neutral                            |
| х    | No relationship between objectives |
| ?    | Unclear, more information needed   |

| NDP Objectives   | SEA Objectives |    |    |    |   |    |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|---|----|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|  | 1              | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5 | 6  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Objective 1 –<br>Environment and<br>Heritage               | ++             | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | +  | + | 0 | 0 | x  | +  | +  | x  | ++ | 0  | ++ |
| Objective 2 -<br>Housing                                   | 0              | ++ | +  | +  | х | х  | х | x | х | 0  | х  | x  | ?  | +  | 0  | +  |
| Objective 3 –<br>Infrastructure and<br>Roads               | 0              | +  | ++ | 0  | + | ++ | + | 0 | + | 0  | +  | +  | 0  | +  | +  | +  |
| Objective 4 –<br>Community<br>Services and<br>Facilities   | 0              | +  | ++ | ++ | x | +  | 0 | 0 | x | x  | x  | +  | 0  | ++ | ++ | +  |
| Objective 5 –<br>Economic<br>Development and<br>Employment | x              | x  | +  | ++ | + | +  | x | x | x | x  | x  | x  | ?  | x  | x  | +  |

# 5 Assessing the NDP Options

- 5.1 All options that were considered by Linton Parish during the development of their Plan have been assessed as part of the SEA, the summary matrix of the assessment can be found in Table B2 options these tables are in Appendix 4.
- 5.2 The options covered were are followed;

Option 1: not to prepare a Neighbourhood Development Plan, relying instead on the Core Strategy and the Rural Areas Sites Allocations DPD

Option 2: use a criteria-based Plan incorporating a general development strategy

Option 3: allocate sites to address the minimum housing requirement

Option 4: define settlement boundaries for Bromsash, Gorsley and Linton without allocating sites

Option 5: define settlement boundary for Bromsash, Gorsley and Linton and allocate sites in or adjacent to these villages

5.3 The choice of preferred option was Option 4. This option was accepted because the consultation process demonstrated that this option should be adopted.

- 5.4 A 'do nothing' option (option 1 as considered by the Parish, i.e. not undertaking a Neighbourhood Development Plan, however this was discounted after due consideration at an early stage by the Parish Council.
- 5.5 The other options had a mainly positive effect on the SEA objectives and baseline where relevant. If the policies that are developed incorporated the elements of the options that are ensuring mitigation of new development and additional details are provided for the location and design of any proposed site then the assessment of the policies should result in a positive result. As these options were generally moving towards the SEA objectives any further alternatives would probably be moving away and therefore no further options are required to be assessed.
- 5.6 As option 4 generally moved towards the SEA objectives any further alternatives would probably be moving away and therefore no further options are required to be assessed. Option 1, to do nothing was not deemed to be relevant against the baseline as it was not directly contributing to any locally specific environmental issues.

| NDP Options | SEA | SEA Objectives |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|-------------|-----|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|             | 1   | 2              | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Option 1:   | х   | х              | х | х | х | х | х | х | x | х  | х  | х  |    |    |    | х  |
| Option 2:   | +   | +              | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |    |    | +  |
| Option 3:   | +   | +              | + | + | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |    |    | +  |
| Option 4:   | +   | +              | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |    |    | +  |
| Option 5:   | +   | +              | + | + | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |    |    | +  |

### 6.0 Appraisal of the policies

- 6.1 A key part of developing a plan such as the Linton Parish NDP is developing a range of options and testing these, so that a preferred way forward can be selected.
- 6.2 The following, emerging set of draft policies can be appraised for the purposes of Stage B of the SEA:

| Policy BGL 1: Sustainable Development           |
|---|
| Policy BGL2: Natural environment                |
| Policy BGL3: Historic Environment               |
| Policy BGL4: Building design                    |
| Policy BGL5: Renewable and low carbon energy    |
| Policy BGL6: Settlement boundaries              |
| Policy BGL7: Housing mix                        |
| Policy BGL8: Community services and facilities  |
| Policy BGL9: Local Green Space                  |
| Policy BGL10:Small-scale employment development |
| Policy BGL11: Agricultural development          |

# Stage B of SEA

6.3 In the context of Task B1 of SEA, the previous section of this report identified that many of the NDP objectives are compatible with the SEA framework, while others had either a neutral impact, no relationship with the SEA objectives or if needed; further information such as

location of development. Additional policy safeguards within the NDP and Local Plan (Core Strategy) would help mitigate any possible conflicts.

- 6.4 With regard to Task B2 of SEA, the NDP policies were measured against both the SEA framework and the baseline characteristics identified during Stage A of the process. Full details of this appraisal are attached at Appendix 4.
- 6.5 The policies largely score as positive or neutral or are not relevant against the SEA objectives and will not, therefore, have an adverse impact on the baseline characteristics or immediate environmental impacts. This is because they are criteria based policies which only consider schemes on their own merits, as and when planning applications are submitted to the local planning authority.
- 6.6 Impact on water quality, water supply and flood risk can be fully assessed further when more details are known, such as location, scale and type of development. This could be determined on an individual basis at planning application stage. Mitigation measures have been included within the Core Strategy and criteria policies within the NDP.

| NDP Policies  | SEA objectives |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|   | 1              | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Baseline  | 1              | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | x  | x  | x  | 16 |
| Policy BGL 1:<br>Sustainable<br>Development               | ++             | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | ++ | +  | +  | +  | +  | x  | +  |    |    |    | +  |
| Policy BGL2:<br>Natural<br>environment                    | ++             | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | ++ |    |    |    | ++ |
| Policy BGL3:<br>Historic<br>Environment                   | +              | ++ | ++ | ++ | x  | x  | x  | x  | x  | x  | x  | x  |    |    |    | x  |
| Policy BGL4:<br>Building<br>design                        | ++             | ++ | ++ | +  | ++ | +  | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | x  | +  |    |    |    | ++ |
| Policy BGL5:<br>Renewable<br>and low                      | ++             | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | +  | ++ | x  | x  | x  | +  |    |    |    | ++ |
| carbon energy<br>Policy BGL6<br>Settlement<br>boundaries  | ++             | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | ++ |    |    |    | ++ |
| Policy BGL7<br>Housing mix                                | +              | ++ | ++ | ++ | x  | ?  | х  | x  | х  | х  | х  | х  |    |    |    | +  |
| Policy BGL8:<br>Community<br>services and<br>facilities   | +              | +  | ++ | ++ | +  | +  | +  | +  | x  | x  | x  | x  |    |    |    | +  |
| BGL9 Local<br>Green Space                                 | ++             | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | 0  | +  | +  | 0  | 0  | х  | ++ |    |    |    | ++ |
| Policy BGL10:<br>Small-scale<br>employment<br>development | ++             | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | ++ | x  | x  | x  | x  | x  | +  |    |    |    | ++ |
| Policy BGL11<br>Agricultural<br>development               | ++             | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |    |    |    | ++ |

6.7 The results of Task B3, as shown at Appendix 4, demonstrate that the cumulative impact of the NDP policies over the course of the plan period is generally positive. Although some policies may have a neutral or uncertain impact during the first 5 years of the plan period, there is no reason why they cannot have a positive effect in the medium to long-term due to

policy safeguards included in the Local Plan (Core Strategy); these safeguards should avoid or mitigate against unacceptable adverse impacts.

- 6.8 Task B4 of SEA brings together the results of earlier tasks and thus identifies the cumulative impact of the entire of the NDP. This task, which is also attached at Appendix 4, reveals that the objectives and policies contained in the NDP are by and large in general conformity with the Local Plan (Core Strategy), which means that the cumulative effect of the plan will contribute to the achievement of the SEA objectives.
- 6.9 None of the NDP policies are considered to be in direct conflict with or propose greater levels of growth and development than strategic policies contained in the Local Plan (Core Strategy), which themselves have undergone a full Sustainability Appraisal.

# Stage D of the SEA (Reg16)

- 6.10 The submission Linton NDP has been revised following the consultation. 5 policies have been amended. This has included clarification of wording rather than any fundamental changes in direction or stance. One Local Green Space has been deleted.
- 6.11 The following policies have been amended: Policy BGL1 Policy BGL3 Policy BGL4 Policy BGL8 Policy BGL9
- 6.12 These minor amendments have not specifically changed the outcomes of the SEA.

# 7.0 Implementation and monitoring

- 7.1 Herefordshire Council as the Local Planning Authority should make arrangements to monitor the significant effects of implementing a neighbourhood plan.
- 7.2 Indeed, Regulation 17 of the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 requires the Local Planning Authority to monitor the significant environmental effects of the implementation of any NDP that was subject to SEA, in order to identify unforeseen adverse effects at an early stage and to enable appropriate remedial actions.
- 7.3 Accordingly, Herefordshire Council will monitor outcomes from the NDP policies and the results of these will be reported in the Council's Annual Monitoring Report (AMR).
- 7.4 The AMR runs from 1 April to 31 March each year and the topics covered therein include the following:
  - Housing delivery;
  - Previously developed land
  - Housing completions
  - Affordable housing conditions
  - Employment land delivery.

### 8.0 Next steps

8.1 This report will be subject to a formal public consultation of 6 weeks duration alongside the submission Linton NDP.

8.2 Any changes made to the NDP as a consequence of the Regulation16 consultation and examination process will trigger a review of the SEA, unless they do not materially affect the outcomes of Stage B of the SEA process.

# Appendix 1



# Initial Habitat Regulations Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening Notification

# The Neighbourhood Planning (General) (Amendment) Regulations 2015 (Reg. 32)

# Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (d)

| Neighbourhood Area:                  | Linton Neighbourhood Area |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Parish Council:                      | Linton Parish Council     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neighbourhood Area Designation Date: | 2 January 2018            |  |  |  |  |  |

# Introduction

This Initial Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening has been undertaken to assess whether any European sites exist within or in proximity to the Neighbourhood Area which could be affected by any future proposals or policies.

Through continual engagement the outcomes of any required assessments will help to ensure that proposed developments will not lead to Likely Significant Effects upon a European site or cause adverse impacts upon other environmental assets, such as the built historic or local natural environment.

# HRA Initial Screening



# Map showing relationship of Neighbourhood Area with European Sites (not to scale)

# River Wye (including the River Lugg) Special Area of Conservation (SAC):

| Does the Neighbourhood Area have the River<br>Wye (including the River Lugg) in or next to its<br>boundary? | N | The River Wye SAC is 4.7km away from the neighbourhood area.                                    |
|---|---|---|
| Is the Neighbourhood Area in the hydrological catchment of the River Wye (including the River Lugg) SAC?    | Y | The western part of the neighbourhood area is within the River Wye hydrological catchment area. |
| If yes above, does the Neighbourhood Area have mains drainage to deal with foul sewage?                     | Ν | There is no mains drainage within the neighbourhood area.                                       |

# Downton Gorge SAC:

| Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of | Ν | Downton Gorge SAC is 51km away from |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Downton Gorge SAC?                       |   | the neighbourhood area.             |

# **River Clun SAC:**

| Does the River Clun border the Neighbourhood | Ν | The River Clun SAC does not border the |
|--|---|--|
| Area   |   | neighbourhood area.                    |

# Wye Valley & Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC:

| Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of any of<br>the individual sites that make up the Wye Valley &<br>Forest of Dean Bat Sites? |
|--|
|--|

# Wye Valley Woodlands SAC:

| Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of any of  | Y | The Wye Valley Woodlands SAC is   |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| the individual sites that make up the Wye Valley |   | 9.8km away from the neighbourhood |
| Woodlands Site?                                  |   | area.                             |

# **HRA Conclusion:**

The assessment above highlights that European Sites will need to be taken into account in the future Neighbourhood Development Plan for the Linton Neighbourhood Area and a Full HRA Screening will be required.

# **European Site**

(List only those which are relevant)

River Wye (including the River Lugg) Special Area of Conservation (SAC) Wye Valley and Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC Wye Valley Woodlands SAC

# Strategic Environmental Assessment Initial Screening for nature conservation landscape and heritage features

The following environmental features are within or in general proximity to the Linton Neighbourhood Area and would need to be taken into account within a Strategic Environmental Assessment. In addition, the NDP will also need to consider the other SEA topics set out in Guidance Note 9a to ensure that the plan does not cause adverse impacts.

|   |   | Within Neighbourhood Area   | Bordering Neighbourhood Area |   |  |
|---|---|---|------------------------------|---|--|
| SEA features                                  | Total<br>number   | Name(s)   |                              | Name(s)   |  |
| Ancient Woodland                              | 4   | Park Wood;<br>Pound Farm Wood;<br>Lynders Wood;<br>Withymoor Wood.        |                              | Reslaw Wood;<br>Moate Wood;<br>Newhouse Wood;<br>Penyard Park;<br>Hopkins Wood.   |  |
| Areas of Outstanding Natural<br>Beauty (AONB) | 0   | -   |                              | Wye Valley AONB.  |  |
| Conservation Areas                            | 1   | 1 Linton  |                              | -   |  |
| Flood Areas                                   | Flood Zon   | es 2 and 3 run along the Rudhall Brook.                                   |                              |   |  |
| Geoparks                                      | 1   | Malvern Hills Geopark.  |                              | -   |  |
| Listed Buildings                              | There are   | numerous listed buildings throughout the neighbou                         | rhood area.                  |   |  |
| Local Geological Sites (LGS)                  | 3   | M50 Section 2;<br>Motorway Quarry (Linton Wood Quarry);<br>Greens Quarry. |                              |   |  |
| Local Wildlife Sites (LWS)                    | 8 Lyders Wood;<br>The Fording Lake;<br>Marsh near Pinfold Farm;<br>Linton Church;<br>Linton Quarry;<br>Lilly Hall Orchard;<br>Withymoor & Baldwins Wood;<br>Marsh near Haygrove Farm. |   | 6                            | Fields near Gayton;<br>Fields near Norton Farm;<br>Howley Grove;<br>Aston Ingham Meadows;<br>Reslaw & Newhouse Woods and adjoining<br>woodlands;<br>Meadow near Coldwell Cottage. |  |

| Mineral Reserves                                     | 0 | -                     |                           | 0 | -   |             |
|--|---|-----------------------|---------------------------|---|---|-------------|
| National Nature Reserve (NNR)                        | 0 | -                     |                           | 0 | -   |             |
| Nature Trails  | 1 | Ross Round.           |                           | 1 | Herefordshire Trail.  |             |
| Registered Parks and Gardens                         | 0 | -                     |                           | 0 | -   |             |
| Scheduled Ancient Monuments (SAM)                    | 0 | -<br>-                |                           | 1 | Roman town of Ariconium.  |             |
| Sites of Importance in Nature<br>Conservation (SINC) | 0 |                       |                           | 0 | -   |             |
| Special Areas of Conservation (SAC)                  | 0 |                       |                           | 0 | -   |             |
| Unregistered parks and gardens                       | 1 | Burton Court, Linton. |                           | 5 | Bolitree Castle;<br>Weston Hall;<br>Penyard Park;<br>Rudhall House;<br>Grendon Court. |             |
|  |   |                       | SSSI Status               |   |   | SSSI Status |
| Sites of Special Scientific<br>Interest (SSSI)       | 1 | Linton Quarry         | Unfavourable<br>Declining | 1 | Aston Ingham Meadows  | Favourable  |

# **Decision Notification:**

The initial screening highlights that the Neighbourhood Development Plan for the Linton Neighbourhood Area:

a) Will require further environmental assessment for Habitats Regulations Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment.

Assessment date: 28 December 2017

Assessed by: James Latham

### **Appendix 1: European Sites**

The table below provides the name of each European Site, which has been screened in for the purposes of neighbourhood planning in Herefordshire. This is based on the sites individual features of integrity and their vulnerabilities, which could include distance criteria. This has been used in identifying which parishes are likely to require a full HRA Screening of their future Neighbourhood Development Plan, to establish if their plan might have Likely Significant Effects on a European Site.

**Downton Gorge** 

Site Features: Tilio-Acerion forests of slopes, screes and ravines

Vulnerability data: 10km for air quality associated with poultry units or other intensive agricultural practices.

### **River Clun**

Site Features: Freshwater pearl mussel Margaritifera margaritifera

Vulnerability data: Water quality is important to maintain the site feature. Parishes either side of the River Clun will be affected.

### **River Wye**

**Site Features:** Water courses of plain to montane levels with the *Ranunculion fluitantis* and *Callitricho-Batrachion* vegetation. Transition mires and quaking bogs. White-clawed (or Atlantic Stream) crayfish *Austropotamobius pallipes*. Sea lamprey *Petromyzon marinus*. Brook lamprey *Lampetra planeri*. River lamprey *Lampetra fluviatilis*. Twaite shad *Alosa fallax*. Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*. Bullhead *Cottus gobio*. Otter *Lutra lutra*. Allis shad *Alosa alosa* 

**Vulnerability data:** Proximity: Developments should not be within 100m of the designated bank. Some developments beyond 100m may also have impacts based on proximity and these issues should be addressed where possible when developing NDP policy and choosing site allocations.

Water Quality: Within the whole catchment of the River Wye, which includes the River Lugg, mains drainage issues with regards to water quality are being resolved through the Core Strategy / Local Plan and development of a Nutrient Management Plan. Welsh Water should be consulted to ensure that the proposed growth will be within the limit of their consents.

Otters: "An otter will occupy a 'home range', which on fresh waters usually includes a stretch of river as well as associated tributary streams, ditches, ponds, lakes and woodland. The size of a home range depends largely on the availability of food and shelter, and the presence of neighbouring otters. On rivers, a male's home range may be up to 40km or more of watercourse and associated areas; females have smaller ranges (roughly half the size) and favour quieter locations for breeding, such as tributary streams.

Otters without an established home range are known as 'transients'. They are mostly juveniles looking for a territory of their own, or adults that have been pushed out of their territories. Transient otters may use an area for a short while, but they will move on if conditions are not suitable or if they are driven away by resident otters. Transients will have been important in extending the range of otters, but they are very difficult to identify from field signs. Within a home range an otter may use many resting sites. These include above-ground shelters, such as stands of scrub or areas of rank grass, and underground 'holts' – for example, cavities under tree roots and dry drainage pipes."

Wye Valley and Forest of Dean Bat Sites

**Site Features:** Annex II species that are a primary reason for site selection: Lesser horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus hipposideros*. Greater horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum* 

**Vulnerability data:** Lesser Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 5km and 10km between their summer and winter roosts. The Lesser Horseshoe Bat is vulnerable to disturbance; light pollution; and habitat loss. Check with the planning ecologist for other issues.

Greater Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 20-30km between their summer and winter roosts.

NDPs closest to the European Site will need to consider:

Woodland habitat buffer.

Lesser Horseshoe Bat: Old buildings; woodland locations; sheltered valleys, extensive deciduous woods or dense scrub, close to roost sites. In areas of fragmented habitats, linear habitats such as hedgerows are important corridors. Vulnerable to loss or disturbance of both summer and winter roosts and removal of linear habitat.

Greater Horseshoe Bat: Large buildings, pasture, edge of mixed deciduous woodland and hedgerows. Mixed land-use especially south-facing slopes, favours beetles, moths and insects they feed on. During the winter they depend on caves, abandoned mines and other underground sites for undisturbed hibernation. A system/series of sites required. Vulnerable to loss of insect food supply, due to insecticide use, changing farming practices and loss of broad-leaved tree-cover and loss / disturbance of underground roosts sites.

# Wye Valley Woodlands

**Site Features:** Annex I habitats that are a primary reason for site selection: Beech forests *Asperulo-Fagetum, Tilio-Acerion* forests of slopes, screes and ravines, *Taxus baccata* woods of the British Isles. Annex II species present as a qualifying feature, but not a primary reason for site selection: Lesser horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus hipposideros*, 51-100 residents

**Vulnerability data:** Lesser Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 5km and 10km between their summer and winter roosts. The Lesser Horseshoe Bat is vulnerable to disturbance; light pollution; and habitat loss. Check with the planning ecologist for other issues. NDPs closest to the European Site will need to consider: Woodland habitat buffer. Lesser Horseshoe Bat: Old buildings; woodland locations; sheltered valleys, extensive deciduous woods or dense scrub, close to roost sites. In areas of fragmented habitats, linear habitats such as hedgerows are important corridors. Vulnerable to loss or disturbance of both summer and winter roosts and removal of linear habitat.





# Appendix 2



# Strategic Environmental Assessment

# **Scoping Report for:**

**Linton Neighbourhood Area** 

March 2018





herefordshire.gov.uk

# **Consultation on the Scoping Report**

The aim of the consultation process is to involve and engage with statutory consultees and other relevant bodies on the scope of the appraisal. In particular, it seeks to:

- Ensure the SEA is both comprehensive and sufficiently robust to support the Neighbourhood Development Plan during the later stages of full public consultation;
- Seek advice on the completeness of the plan review and baseline data and gain further information where appropriate;
- Seek advice on the suitability of key sustainability issues;
- Seek advice on the suitability of the sustainability objectives.

Comments on this Scoping Report have been invited from the three consultation bodies as required by the SEA regulations, together with Natural Resources Wales.

The three consultation bodies are as follows:

- 1. Natural England;
- 2. Historic England;
- 3. Environment Agency.



# Template A1: Identification and review of local Neighbourhood Area relevant plans, policies and programmes

Parish Council Name: Linton Parish Council

# Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Linton Neighbourhood Plan

# Date completed: January 2018

| Plans and<br>Programmes   | Type of document                 | Date | Overview   | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|---|----------------------------------|------|--|---|---|---|
| The EC<br>Conservation<br>of Habitats<br>and Species<br>Regulations | European<br>Union<br>Legislation | 2010 | These regulations<br>transpose the<br>Habitats Directive<br>in England, Wales<br>and to a limited<br>extent Scotland<br>by ensuring that<br>activities are<br>carried out in<br>accordance with<br>the Habitats<br>Directive, which is<br>to protect<br>biodiversity<br>through the<br>conservation of<br>natural habitats<br>and species of<br>wild flora and<br>fauna. | The Regulations make it<br>an offence (subject to<br>exceptions) to deliberately<br>capture, kill, disturb, or<br>trade in the animals listed<br>in Schedule 2, or pick,<br>collect, cut, uproot,<br>destroy, or trade in the<br>plants listed in Schedule 4.<br>However, these actions<br>can be made lawful<br>through the granting of<br>licenses by the appropriate<br>authorities. | <ul> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> </ul> | The Neighbourhood<br>Plan should be<br>compliant with all the<br>relevant legislation and<br>regulations. |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Derived from the LDF General Scoping Report (June 2007)

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council, please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part.

| Plans and<br>Programmes                                 | Type of<br>document     | Date | Overview   | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|---|-------------------------|------|--|--|---|---|
| The EC<br>Water<br>Framework<br>Directive               | European<br>Union       | 2000 | Commits all EU<br>member states to<br>achieve good<br>qualitative and<br>quantitative status<br>of all water<br>courses by 2015  | Aims for 'good status' for<br>all ground and surface<br>waters (rivers, lakes,<br>transitional waters, and<br>coastal waters) in the EU  | • Water   | The Neighbourhood<br>Plan should be<br>compliant with all the<br>relevant legislation and<br>regulations. |
| The Wildlife<br>and<br>Countryside<br>Act (1981)        | Domestic<br>Legislation | 1981 | The major legal<br>instrument for<br>wildlife protection<br>in Britain,<br>although other<br>significant acts<br>have been<br>passed since. It<br>has numerous<br>parts and<br>supplementary<br>lists and<br>schedules many<br>of which have<br>been amended<br>since publication. | The principle mechanism<br>for the legislative<br>protection of wildlife in<br>Great Britain.  | <ul> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Soil</li> </ul> | The Neighbourhood<br>Plan should be<br>compliant with all the<br>relevant legislation and<br>regulations. |
| The<br>Countryside<br>and Right of<br>Way Act<br>(2000) | Domestic<br>Legislation | 2000 | Creates a<br>statutory right of<br>access on foot to<br>certain types of<br>open land, to<br>modernise the<br>public rights of<br>way system, to<br>strengthen nature<br>conservation  | The Act provides for a new<br>right of access on foot to<br>areas of open land<br>comprising: Mountain (land<br>over 600 metres);<br>Moorland; Heath;<br>Downland; Registered<br>common land.<br>There are provisions to | <ul> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Soil</li> </ul> | The Neighbourhood<br>Plan should be<br>compliant with all the<br>relevant legislation and<br>regulations. |

| Plans and<br>Programmes  | Type of<br>document           | Date | Overview   | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|--|-------------------------------|------|--|--|---|---|
|  |                               |      | legislation, and to facilitate better management of AONBs.   | consider extending the<br>right in the future to coastal<br>land, but not woodland<br>despite some early<br>publicity suggesting this.   |   |   |
| The Natural<br>Environment<br>and Rural<br>Communities<br>Act (2006) | Domestic<br>Legislation       | 2006 | Designed to help<br>achieve a rich<br>and diverse<br>natural<br>environment and<br>thriving rural<br>communities<br>through<br>modernised and<br>simplified<br>arrangements for<br>delivering<br>Government<br>policy. | Provides that any public<br>body or statutory<br>undertaker in England and<br>Wales must have regard to<br>the purpose of<br>conservation of biological<br>diversity in the exercise of<br>their functions.  | <ul> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Soil</li> </ul> | The Neighbourhood<br>Plan should be<br>compliant with all the<br>relevant legislation and<br>regulations.   |
| Revised EU<br>Sustainable<br>Development<br>strategy                 | European<br>Union<br>Strategy | 2009 | Sets out a single<br>strategy on how<br>the EU will more<br>effectively meet<br>its long-standing<br>commitment to<br>meet the<br>challenges of<br>sustainable<br>development.   | Recognises the need to<br>gradually change current<br>unsustainable consumption<br>and production patterns<br>and move towards a better<br>integrated approach to<br>policy making. The<br>Strategy sets overall<br>objectives, targets and<br>concrete actions for seven<br>key priority challenges,<br>predominantly<br>environmental:<br>• Climate change | <ul> <li>Air</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> </ul>        | The Neighbourhood<br>Plans should take into<br>account the objectives<br>of the strategy. The aim<br>of sustainable<br>development should be<br>implicit in its approach. |

| Plans and<br>Programmes                               | Type of<br>document             | Date | Overview  | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>  | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|---|---|--|---|
| National<br>Planning<br>Policy<br>Framework<br>(NPPF) | National<br>planning<br>policy. | 2012 | Consolidates the<br>suite of PPG/PPS<br>into one succinct<br>planning policy<br>document. | <ul> <li>and clean energy;</li> <li>Sustainable<br/>transport</li> <li>Sustainable<br/>production and<br/>consumption;</li> <li>Public health<br/>threats;</li> <li>Better management<br/>of natural<br/>resources;</li> <li>Social inclusion,<br/>demography and<br/>migration;</li> <li>Fighting global<br/>poverty.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Air</li> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> <li>Soil</li> </ul> | The NDP should take<br>into account the<br>relevant policies set<br>within the NPPF.                |
| Planning<br>Practice<br>Guidance                      | Government<br>Guidance          | 2014 | Provides<br>guidance to local<br>planning<br>authorities and<br>others on the             |   | <ul> <li>Water</li> <li>Air</li> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the landscape</li> </ul>   | The NDP should take<br>into account the<br>planning guidance<br>provided within these<br>documents. |

| Plans and<br>Programmes                                   | Type of<br>document                      | Date | Overview  | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA   |
|---|--|------|---|--|---|--|
|   | Development                              | 0045 | operation of the planning system.   |  | <ul> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> <li>Soil</li> <li>Water</li> </ul>  |  |
| Herefordshire<br>Core Strategy,<br>(adopted)<br>2011-2031 | Development<br>Plan<br>Document<br>(DPD) | 2015 | Sets out the vision,<br>objectives and<br>policies for the<br>Herefordshire Local<br>Plan (Core<br>Strategy), which will<br>guide development<br>across the county<br>up to 2031. | <ul> <li>Outlines the emerging suite of countywide planning policies relating to housing, economic development and the environment, which the NDP will need to be in conformity with where relevant.</li> <li>The Core Strategy includes a range of objectives, five of which directly relate to rural areas:</li> <li>To meet the housing needs of all sections of the community</li> <li>To improve access to services in rural areas</li> <li>To strengthen the economic viability of the villages and their rural hinterlands</li> <li>To achieve sustainable communities and protect the environment</li> <li>To conserve, promote, utilise and enjoy our natural, built, historic and</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Air</li> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and human health</li> <li>Soil</li> <li>Water</li> </ul> | The NDP should take<br>account of relevant<br>policies set within in the<br>Core Strategy.<br>Where necessary, the<br>NDP should provide<br>services, facilities and<br>employment<br>opportunities that are<br>accessible to both local<br>and neighbouring<br>communities.<br>Linton, Gorsely and<br>Bromsash is within the<br>Ross on Wye HMA. The<br>three settlements within<br>the Parish are identified<br>as 4.14 settlements and<br>should take into<br>consideration the<br>criteria set within policy<br>RA1 and RA2. |

| Plans and<br>Programmes | Type of<br>document | Date | Overview | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup> | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|-------------------------|---------------------|------|----------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                         |                     |      |          | cultural assets for the fullest benefit of the whole community.  |                           |                                  |
|                         |                     |      |          | To achieve a thriving rural<br>Herefordshire, the Core<br>Strategy seeks to enhance<br>the role the villages have<br>traditionally played in as<br>accessible, sustainable<br>centres for their rural<br>catchments. |                           |                                  |
|                         |                     |      |          | Linton is in the Ross –on-Wye<br>Housing Market Area (HMA).<br>The target for proportionate<br>growth across identified areas<br>is 14%.   |                           |                                  |

| Plans and<br>Programmes  | Type of<br>document | Date | Overview  | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA   |
|--|---------------------|------|---|---|---|--|
| Herefordshire<br>Local<br>Transport<br>Plan 3 (LTP)<br>2013-2015 | Corporate           | 2013 | Sets out the<br>Council's strategy<br>for supporting<br>economic growth,<br>social inclusion<br>and reducing the<br>environmental<br>impacts of<br>transport, as well<br>as the program of<br>investment for the<br>period April 2013<br>to April 2015. | The document includes<br>three key objectives, one<br>which seeks to maintain<br>access for rural residents<br>and people without access<br>to a car. Intrinsic to this is<br>the retention of a 'core<br>network' of bus services<br>which focus on journeys<br>between Hereford and the<br>market towns, along with<br>main transport corridors<br>close to larger rural<br>settlements. To this end,<br>the strategy aims to<br>increase the number of bus<br>users by 1.3% (4,700<br>journeys) by 2015. | <ul> <li>Air</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> </ul> | The LTP does not<br>explore current<br>transport issues in the<br>Linton NDP<br>neighbourhood area,<br>but any new<br>development proposed<br>through the NDP should<br>seek to reduce the<br>environmental impacts<br>of transport. |
| Plans and<br>Programmes                  | Type of<br>document                 | Date | Overview  | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|--|-------------------------------------|------|---|--|---|----------------------------------|
| Understanding<br>Herefordshire<br>Report | Built and<br>natural<br>environment | 2014 | Important to<br>understand the<br>place such as the<br>local economy<br>natural and built<br>environment in<br>which people live,<br>learn and work as<br>part of<br>understanding their<br>quality of life<br>Enable<br>development for<br>economy and<br>housing to required<br>levels.<br>Growth should be<br>supported by<br>sustainable<br>transport measures. | An air quality management<br>plan is in place to tackle this.<br>Destination Hereford project<br>is in place to give locals more<br>sustainable transport options. | Improve air quality.<br>Have a more diverse<br>range of transport<br>options. | None identified.                 |

| Plans and<br>Programmes                                   | Type of document | Date | Overview  | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|---|------------------|------|---|--|---|---|
| Malvern Hills<br>AONB<br>Management<br>Plan 2009-<br>2014 | Corporate        | 2009 | Identifies the<br>issues and<br>challenges facing<br>the special<br>features of the<br>area and contains<br>24 guiding<br>principles and 46<br>strategic objectives<br>which will help<br>address them. | N/A  | <ul> <li>Air</li> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> <li>Soil</li> <li>Water</li> </ul> | The Linton<br>Neighbourhood Area is<br>not within or adjacent to<br>the Malvern Hills AONB. |
| Wye Valley<br>AONB<br>management<br>Plan 2009-<br>2014    | Corporate        | 2009 | The Management<br>Plan is the prime<br>document which<br>sets out the vision<br>for the area and<br>the priorities for<br>its management.   | N/A  | <ul> <li>Air</li> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> <li>Soil</li> <li>Water</li> </ul> | The Linton<br>Neighbourhood Area is<br>not within or adjacent to<br>the Wye Valley AONB.    |

| Plans and<br>Programmes   | Type of<br>document | Date | Overview  | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|---|---------------------|------|---|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Herefordshire<br>Economic<br>Development<br>Strategy<br>2011-2016 | Corporate           | 2011 | Aims to increase<br>the economic<br>wealth of<br>Herefordshire by<br>setting out<br>proposals and to<br>support business<br>growth up to<br>2016. | <ul> <li>The document outlines the path and direction to foster economic vitality within Herefordshire. Key objectives therefore include:</li> <li>Sustaining business survival and growth</li> <li>Increasing wage levels, range and quality of jobs</li> <li>Having a skilled population to meet future work needs</li> <li>Developing the county's built infrastructure so enterprise can flourish.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> </ul> | None of merit.                   |

| Plans and<br>Programmes                   | Type of document | Date | Overview   | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>  | Implications for the NDP and SEA   |
|---|------------------|------|--|---|--|--|
| Herefordshire<br>Employment<br>Land Study | Evidence         | 2012 | Includes<br>employment land<br>assessments for<br>the plan period<br>2011-2031. The<br>study includes<br>Quantitative and<br>Qualitative<br>assessments of<br>employment land,<br>assessment of<br>market demand<br>and need, as well<br>as providing<br>forecasts and<br>recommendations<br>for future<br>employment need<br>over the plan<br>period. | This study covers existing<br>employment sites in<br>Hereford, the five market<br>towns and their rural<br>hinterlands.<br>There are no employment<br>land allocations within St<br>Weonards parish at<br>present and so the study<br>does not identify sites<br>which are worthy of<br>continued protection from<br>alternative uses. Nor does<br>it make any<br>recommendations in<br>respect of employment<br>need within the<br>neighbourhood area. | <ul> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> </ul> | None of merit; however<br>the NDP process may<br>have to explore whether<br>there is any<br>employment need<br>locally and if so whether<br>there is any scope for<br>providing employment<br>land and premises. |

| Plans and<br>Programmes   | Type of document | Date | Overview   | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>  | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|---|------------------|------|--|--|--|---|
| Herefordshire<br>Strategic<br>Housing Land<br>Availability<br>Assessment<br>(SHLAA) | Evidence         | 2009 | <ul> <li>The SHLAA aims to justify site allocations in plans by:</li> <li>Identifying sites which are capable of delivering housing development</li> <li>Assessing sites for their housing potential; and</li> <li>Predicting when a site could be developed for housing.</li> </ul> | Bromsash and Gorsley<br>were assessed in the<br>SHLAA, the settlements<br>had developable sites<br>identified within the SHLAA<br>(2015)<br>Bromsash - HLAA/159/001<br>Land to the south of Bromsash.<br>Site Area (ha) 1.80<br>Potential Housing<br>Capacity 20<br>Gorsley - HLAA/318/001<br>Land at Royal Cottage<br>Site Area (ha) 1.06<br>Potential Housing<br>Capacity<br>N/A | <ul> <li>Air</li> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> <li>Soil</li> <li>Water</li> </ul> | The SHLAA assesses the<br>potential availability of<br>land for housing.<br>Linton was not included in<br>the 2015 SHLAA and no<br>sites were identified. |

| Plans and<br>Programmes   | Type of document  | Date    | Overview   | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>  | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|---|-------------------|---------|--|---|--|---|
| Herefordshire<br>Local<br>Housing<br>Market<br>Assessment<br>(LHMA) | Evidence          | 2013    | Builds on an<br>earlier Strategic<br>Housing Market<br>Assessment<br>(SHMA)<br>developed for<br>Herefordshire and<br>Shropshire. Its<br>purpose is to<br>inform the Local<br>Plan's policies<br>regarding housing<br>need and demand<br>(for market and<br>affordable<br>housing) within<br>each of the 7<br>Housing Market<br>Areas (HMAs) in<br>Herefordshire<br>between 2011<br>and 2031. | <ul> <li>Linton parish falls within<br/>the Ross on Wye HMA.<br/>Here, the study reveals<br/>that:</li> <li>55% of households are<br/>unable to afford market<br/>housing.</li> <li>There is an annual<br/>requirement for 58<br/>affordable dwellings<br/>between 2012 and 2017.</li> <li>The study identifies that, in<br/>Ross-on-Wye HMA, there<br/>is a need for:</li> <li>690 market houses</li> <li>490 affordable houses.</li> <li>The study highlights that<br/>within the Ross-on-Wye<br/>HMA the overall estimated<br/>housing need by size<br/>2012-2017 is as follows:</li> <li>bed - 54.4%</li> <li>bed - 18.4%</li> <li>bed - 28.2%</li> <li>bed - minus 1.1%</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Air</li> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> <li>Soil</li> <li>Water</li> </ul> | The LHMA provides an<br>indication of housing<br>needs and affordability<br>within the Ross on Wye<br>HMA.<br>It provides evidence that<br>could be used to inform<br>policies or market and<br>affordable housing<br>requirements in the<br>NDP. |
|   | apyright of herei | ofusine | poundi, piease contact   | I the meighbourhood Flailfilling lea  |  |   |

| Plans and<br>Programmes                                    | Type of<br>document | Date | Overview   | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA   |
|--|---------------------|------|--|--|---|--|
| Herefordshire<br>Local<br>Housing<br>Requirements<br>Study | Evidence            | 2012 | Technical<br>assessment of the<br>housing market<br>and potential<br>future local<br>housing<br>requirements<br>which supports<br>planning policy<br>regarding the<br>amount of growth,<br>housing tenure<br>and housing type<br>needed within<br>Herefordshire up<br>to 2031. | <ul> <li>The delivery of 5,300<br/>homes in the rural areas<br/>would:</li> <li>Support growth in the<br/>rural population by 6%</li> <li>Increase the number of<br/>households by 14.5%</li> <li>Forecasts also predict that<br/>growth in the population of<br/>the rural areas is likely to<br/>be primarily through an<br/>increase in those aged<br/>over 75.</li> <li>Moderate growth is<br/>expected in the 30-44 and<br/>60-74 age brackets.</li> <li>The Local Housing<br/>Requirements Study<br/>therefore anticipates<br/>continuing improvements<br/>in life expectancy;<br/>significant growth is<br/>expected of those in their<br/>80s, with the existing<br/>population in their 40s and<br/>50s moving into retirement.<br/>As a consequence, the<br/>rural areas will face an<br/>increasing urgency to</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Air</li> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> <li>Soil</li> <li>Water</li> </ul> | This study provides an<br>indication of housing<br>requirements in the rural<br>areas and the Ross on<br>Wye HMA.<br>This evidence can be<br>used to inform the<br>content of the Linton<br>NDP, which could<br>include policies to<br>facilitate the provision of<br>the right types of homes<br>in the right places. |

| Plans and<br>Programmes                  | Type of document | Date | Overview  | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>  | Implications for the NDP and SEA                          |
|--|------------------|------|---|---|--|---|
| Herefordshire                            | Evidence         | 2013 | Provides the  | provide more 3 bedroom<br>homes, with more 1 and 2<br>bed homes required in the<br>affordable sector.<br>The village of Linton Parish | • Air  | Linton NDP will need to                                   |
| Rural<br>Housing<br>Background<br>Report |                  |      | justification for the<br>proportional<br>housing growth<br>targets outlined in<br>the Core Strategy | is listed a 4.14 settlement,<br>which means it is<br>considered a sustainable<br>location for proportional<br>growth of up to 14%.    | <ul> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> <li>Soil</li> <li>Water</li> </ul> | be in conformity with the<br>provisions of Policy<br>RA1. |

| Plans and<br>Programmes   | Type of document | Date | Overview  | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA   |
|---|------------------|------|---|--|---|--|
| Herefordshire<br>Draft Gypsies<br>and<br>Travellers<br>Assessment | Evidence         | 2013 | Assesses the<br>accommodation<br>needs of Gypsies<br>and Travellers<br>across<br>Herefordshire. | <ul> <li>Key findings from the survey of Gypsy and Traveller households in 2012 found that:</li> <li>31% of households surveyed have some sort of accommodation need</li> <li>Of the 17 households with an accommodation need, 7 had a requirement for at least one additional pitch</li> <li>10 households had a requirement for bricks and mortar housing</li> <li>There is an additional requirement for 7 pitches and 9 units of Registered Social Landlord accommodation within Herefordshire.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Air</li> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> <li>Soil</li> <li>Water</li> </ul> | A countywide Gypsy<br>and Traveller DPD is<br>being prepared to<br>address this issue.<br>However, the Linton<br>NDP could establish<br>whether any of the need<br>identified in this<br>assessment falls within<br>the neighbourhood area<br>and make appropriate<br>provisions for it if<br>necessary. |

| Plans and<br>Programmes                               | Type of document | Date | Overview   | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA   |
|---|------------------|------|--|---|---|--|
| Herefordshire<br>Local<br>Biodiversity<br>Action Plan | Evidence         | 2007 | Focuses<br>conservation<br>efforts on the<br>areas within<br>Herefordshire that<br>will result in the<br>greatest benefit<br>for ecological<br>networks, habitats<br>and species.                                  | Integrating biodiversity<br>objectives with other<br>environmental, social and<br>economic needs can<br>provide a sustainable living<br>and working environment<br>that benefits both people<br>and nature. | Biodiversity  | The NDP can help to<br>achieve the LBAP<br>priorities.   |
| Building<br>Biodiversity<br>into the LDF              | Evidence         | 2009 | Provides the<br>Council's Local<br>Plan (Core<br>Strategy) with<br>evidence in<br>respect of<br>biodiversity and<br>geodiversity,<br>identifying both<br>opportunities and<br>constraints across<br>Herefordshire. | This document provides<br>useful information in<br>respect of Hereford and<br>the market towns only.  | <ul> <li>Air</li> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> <li>Soil</li> <li>Water</li> </ul> | There is a lack of<br>information about rural<br>areas which means it<br>will be necessary to<br>gather and assess<br>existing biodiversity and<br>geodiversity data, in<br>order to ensure that the<br>Linton NDP can<br>overcome any existing<br>constraints and<br>capitalise on<br>opportunities to<br>enhance habitats and<br>their networks. |

| Plans and<br>Programmes                              | Type of document | Date | Overview  | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA   |
|--|------------------|------|---|---|---|--|
| Herefordshire<br>Green<br>Infrastructure<br>Strategy | Evidence         | 2010 | Develops a<br>framework of<br>natural and<br>culturally<br>important features<br>and functions so<br>that planning for a<br>sustainable future<br>is at the heart of<br>planning within<br>Herefordshire. | Establishes policies and<br>principles for the protection<br>and enhancement of those<br>features and functions that<br>contributes to the<br>environment of<br>Herefordshire across a<br>range of scales.  | <ul> <li>Air</li> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> <li>Soil</li> <li>Water</li> </ul> | The study provides<br>evidence that could be<br>taken into account when<br>preparing policies for<br>the Linton NDP. |
| Renewable<br>Energy Study                            | Evidence         | 2010 | Assesses the<br>energy demand<br>within<br>Herefordshire and<br>the ability for the<br>county to<br>accommodate<br>renewable and<br>low carbon<br>energy<br>technologies.                                 | <ul> <li>The total energy demand<br/>excluding transport for<br/>Herefordshire, at that point<br/>in time, was calculated as<br/>being:</li> <li>Electrical: 731 GWh/yr</li> <li>Heat: 1,810 GWh/yr</li> <li>Total: 2,541 GWh/yr</li> <li>There is scope for all types<br/>of renewable energy<br/>production.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Air</li> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> <li>Soil</li> <li>Water</li> </ul> | The study provides<br>evidence that could be<br>taken into account when<br>preparing policies for<br>the Linton NDP. |

| Plans and<br>Programmes                      | Type of<br>document | Date | Overview   | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>  | Implications for the NDP and SEA   |
|--|---------------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Herefordshire<br>Playing Pitch<br>Assessment | Evidence            | 2012 | Produces a<br>strategic<br>framework, audit<br>and assessment<br>and needs<br>analysis of<br>outdoor sports<br>pitches and<br>facilities for<br>Herefordshire.<br>The document<br>arises as a result<br>of a<br>recommendation<br>in the<br>Herefordshire and<br>Worcestershire<br>Sports Facilities<br>Framework to<br>develop local<br>standards for<br>playing fields and<br>sports pitches<br>throughout<br>Herefordshire. | The study updates<br>components of the<br>Herefordshire and<br>Worcestershire Sports<br>Facilities Framework 2010<br>such as updating<br>population forecasts,<br>setting local standards for<br>synthetic turf pitches and<br>grass playing fields within<br>Herefordshire. It identifies<br>any current gaps in<br>provision, and looks<br>forward to 2031 to assess<br>what facilities are likely to<br>be required by that date.<br>In terms of Linton parish<br>itself, the study reveals that<br>there is:<br>• 1.6ha at Linton primary<br>school which is a<br>secured community<br>assess areas. | <ul> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> </ul> | The study provides<br>evidence that could be<br>taken into account when<br>preparing policies for<br>the Linton NDP.<br>Additional local<br>evidence may be<br>required to identify if<br>there is a need for any<br>secured playing pitch<br>facilities within the<br>parish. |

| Plans and<br>Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview  | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|-------------------------|------------------|------|---|---|---|---|
| Open Spaces<br>Study    | Evidence         | 2006 | The 2006 space<br>audit and<br>assessment of<br>need is a snap<br>shot of the quality,<br>quantity and<br>distribution of<br>open space<br>across<br>Herefordshire. | <ul> <li>The study reveals that<br/>within the Ross-on-Wye<br/>Area.</li> <li>Extensive under<br/>provision of parks and<br/>gardens</li> <li>Extensive over<br/>provision of natural and<br/>semi-natural green<br/>space</li> <li>Under provision of<br/>amenity green space<br/>and average provision<br/>for outdoor sport</li> <li>Average provision for<br/>children and young<br/>people.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> </ul> | The open space audit<br>and assessment does<br>not give a specific<br>indication of open space<br>shortfalls and surpluses<br>in Linton Parish. |

| Plans and<br>Programmes  | Type of<br>document | Date | Overview  | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator           | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA   |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------|---|--|---|--|
| Play Facilities<br>Study | Evidence            | 2012 | The Play Facilities<br>Study 2012<br>updates the<br>previous play<br>facilities analysis<br>under the Open<br>Spaces Study<br>2006 and<br>provides guidance<br>and a framework<br>for the<br>development,<br>delivery and<br>continued<br>sustainability of<br>providing new and<br>improved play<br>facilities for<br>children and<br>young people in<br>Herefordshire to<br>2031. | The study did not include<br>any details for Linton. | <ul> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage<br/>and the<br/>landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> </ul> | The study provides<br>evidence that could be<br>taken into account when<br>preparing policies for<br>the Linton NDP. |

| Plans and<br>Programmes   | Type of<br>document | Date | Overview   | Key message,<br>target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>  | Implications for the NDP and SEA   |
|---|---------------------|------|--|---|--|--|
| Strategic<br>Flood Risk<br>Assessment<br>(SFRA) and<br>Water Cycle<br>Study | Evidence            | 2009 | The Strategic<br>Flood Risk<br>Assessment<br>(SFRA) provides<br>a summary of<br>flood risk in<br>Herefordshire to<br>inform the<br>location of future<br>development.<br>The Water Cycle<br>Study examines<br>how water<br>resources and<br>water supply<br>infrastructure,<br>wastewater<br>treatment, water<br>quality, sewerage<br>and flood risk<br>could constrain<br>growth across<br>Herefordshire. | Linton parish is situated in<br>the Rudhall Brook<br>catchment, there is a high<br>chance of fluvial flooding in<br>the county. Therefore<br>requires a holistic<br>integrated approach to<br>flood risk management.<br>UH Tp 6 – 7 hours =<br>Moderately rapid response<br>catchment<br>SPR 10 – 20% - Potentially<br>highly suitable for<br>infiltration source control<br>The WCS identifies 30<br>sites in the River Wye<br>catchment where current<br>discharge consent licences<br>cannot be shown to have<br>no adverse effect.<br>Abstraction of water from<br>the River Wye may have<br>impacted the habitats<br>directive ecological river<br>flow. | <ul> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and<br/>human health</li> <li>Water</li> </ul> | New development<br>proposed through the<br>Linton NDP should be<br>assessed against the<br>capacity of local<br>infrastructure.<br>Up-to-date flood risk<br>information should be<br>gathered from the<br>Environment Agency, in<br>order to ensure that any<br>flood risks are<br>considered when<br>preparing the Linton<br>NDP. |

### **Appendix A2** – Baseline information for Linton

N.B. This is based on countywide baseline information with some additions relevant to Border Group (in red). Where no locally specific data is available for current status, trends and targets, only countywide data is reported. Any gaps in data may be filled following additional research.

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>  | SA Theme               | Proposed<br>indicator   | Current status   | Trends   | Targets   | Issues and constraints   | Baseline<br>(information)<br>source  |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| SEA Topic cover  | ed by objective: E     | Biodiversity, flora an  | d fauna  |  |   |  |  |
| 13. Value,<br>maintain,<br>restore and<br>expand county<br>biodiversity. | Natural<br>environment | Net change in<br>condition of<br>SSSIs across<br>Herefordshire. | The 2011-2013<br>AMR does not<br>contain updated<br>conservation data.<br>2010/11: 27% of<br>Herefordshire's<br>SSSI land was in<br>favourable<br>condition.<br>There is 1 SSSI in<br>Linton and 1<br>bordering the<br>parish. | 2006: 22%<br>2007: 22%<br>2008: 22%<br>2010: 24 %<br>Proportion of<br>SSSI land that<br>was in<br>unfavourable<br>condition but<br>recovering<br>increased<br>between 2010<br>and 2012 going<br>from 41% to<br>65%.<br>Proportion in<br>unfavourable<br>and declining<br>condition had<br>also decreased<br>from 4% to 1%. | % of SSSI land<br>in favourable<br>condition<br>(Increase)<br>% of SSSI land<br>in unfavourable<br>condition but<br>recovering<br>(Increase)<br>% of SSSI land<br>in unfavourable<br>condition and<br>declining<br>(Decrease) | Herefordshire's<br>SSSIs are in<br>extremely poor<br>condition relative to<br>England as whole,<br>where 96.1% of all<br>SSSI land was in<br>favourable<br>condition in April<br>2014.<br>The proportion of<br>SSSI in<br>unfavourable<br>condition but<br>recovering is<br>greater than<br>England as a<br>whole, where the<br>figure currently<br>stands at 58.6%. | Understanding<br>Herefordshire: An<br>integrated needs<br>assessment (June<br>2013). |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Derived from the Pre Submission Core Strategy Sustainability Appraisal Assessment (May 2014)

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>   | SA Theme               | Proposed<br>indicator  | Current status  | Trends  | Targets  | Issues and<br>constraints   | Baseline<br>(information)<br>source   |
|---|------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| 13. Value,<br>maintain,<br>restore and<br>expand<br>county<br>biodiversity. | Natural<br>environment | After use of<br>mineral sites<br>especially<br>wildlife<br>habitat<br>creation   | There is no<br>countywide or<br>locally specific data<br>available at<br>present.   | -   | No specific<br>targets<br>identified.  | Should be<br>monitored through<br>AMR following the<br>adoption of the<br>Core Strategy, in<br>line with SA<br>recommendations.   | -                                     |
| 13. Value,<br>maintain,<br>restore and<br>expand<br>county<br>biodiversity. | Natural<br>environment | Phosphate<br>levels within<br>the River<br>Wye SAC<br>and adjoining<br>tributaries<br>that receive<br>increased<br>phosphates<br>from<br>proportional<br>growth. | Countywide data is<br>available.<br>Linton parish is<br>situated in the Wye<br>River catchment for<br>the purposes of the<br>nutrient<br>management plan.<br>The assumption is<br>that if the targets<br>are achievable<br>upstream of the<br>confluence, then<br>the targets<br>downstream would<br>also be achieved,<br>based on current<br>growth projections. | The River Wye<br>SAC was<br>subject to a<br>review of<br>consents in<br>2010, as a<br>number of<br>sections of the<br>river were<br>found to have<br>greater<br>phosphate<br>levels than<br>those identified<br>in Natural<br>England's<br>favourable<br>condition<br>tables. | The roll out of<br>the Nutrient<br>Management<br>Plan will<br>determine<br>future targets. | Low levels of new<br>development within<br>the area is unlikely<br>to lead to the water<br>quality failing the<br>phosphate levels<br>and conservation<br>objectives within<br>the sub-catchment<br>area. | Nutrient<br>Management Plan<br>(2014) |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>   | SA Theme               | Proposed<br>indicator  | Current status   | Trends  | Targets                               | Issues and constraints  | Baseline<br>(information)<br>source       |
|---|------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| 13. Value,<br>maintain,<br>restore and<br>expand<br>county<br>biodiversity. | Natural<br>environment | Changes to<br>protected<br>habitats and<br>impacts of<br>species<br>within the<br>Herefordshire<br>Local<br>Biodiversity<br>Action Plan. | The 2011-2013<br>AMR does not<br>contain updated<br>conservation data.<br>2010/11: 17 Habitat<br>Action Plans and<br>14 Species Action<br>Plans are currently<br>in operation across<br>Herefordshire.<br><i>There is no locally</i><br><i>specific data</i><br><i>available at</i><br><i>present.</i> | There are no<br>formal records<br>of any<br>unacceptable<br>adverse<br>impacts on<br>habitats or<br>protected<br>species.<br>Originally 156<br>Priority Species<br>were identified<br>for inclusion in<br>Herefordshire's<br>LBAP.<br>Similarly<br>Herefordshire's<br>LBAP covered<br>23 habitats with<br>Action Plans. | No specific<br>targets<br>identified. | Herefordshire<br>Biological<br>Records Centre<br>(HBRC)<br>holds limited data<br>on some<br>individual sites. | Herefordshire<br>Council AMR<br>(2010/11) |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>  | SA Theme               | Proposed<br>indicator   | Current status   | Trends   | Targets  | Issues and constraints  | Baseline<br>(information)<br>source   |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 13. Value,<br>maintain,<br>restore and<br>expand county<br>biodiversity. | Natural<br>environment | Changes in the<br>areas of<br>designated<br>nature<br>conservation<br>sites as a<br>consequence of<br>planning<br>permission. | Linton has:<br>SSSI: 1<br>LWS: 8<br>Ancient woodlands:<br>4<br>Registered parks<br>and gardens: 0<br>Unregister parks<br>and gardens: 1<br>Geopark: 1<br>There are no SACs,<br>NNRs, SINCs and<br>NNRs within the<br>parish. | As of 2012,<br>there had been<br>no change in<br>the areas of<br>designated<br>nature<br>conservation<br>sites as a<br>consequence of<br>the planning<br>permissions<br>granted. | To capitalise<br>on<br>opportunities to<br>enhance the<br>areas of value<br>to nature<br>conservation<br>as much as<br>possible. | Herefordshire<br>Biological<br>Records Centre<br>(HBRC)<br>holds limited data<br>on some individual<br>sites. | Herefordshire<br>Council Initial<br>Screening Report<br>for the<br>Neighbourhood<br>Plan 2 January<br>2018. |

------

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>   | SA Theme   | Proposed<br>indicator  | Current status  | Trends | Targets  | Issues and constraints  | Baseline<br>(information)<br>source   |
|---|--|--|---|--------|--|---|---|
| 13. Value,<br>maintain,<br>restore and<br>expand<br>county<br>biodiversity. | Natural<br>environment                           | Proportion of<br>local sites<br>where positive<br>conservation<br>management<br>has or is<br>being<br>implemented. | The Parish Area<br>has the following<br>landscape types:<br>Forest<br>smallholdings to the<br>north east, with an<br>adjacent area of<br>plateau estate<br>farmland, then<br>wooded hill and<br>farm lands with<br>principal settled<br>farmlands to the<br>south west. | -      | No specific<br>targets<br>identified.  | Should be<br>monitored through<br>AMR following the<br>adoption of the<br>Core Strategy, in<br>line with SA<br>recommendations. | Herefordshire<br>Landscape<br>Character<br>Assessment (2004<br>updated 2009)    |
| SEA Topic cover   | ed by objectives: <i>N</i>                       | laterial assets  |   |        |  |   |   |
| 14. Use natural<br>resources and<br>energy more<br>efficiently.             | Resource<br>consumption<br>and climate<br>change | Maintaining<br>Herefordshire<br>Council's<br>County Site<br>and<br>Monuments<br>Register.                          | Countywide data<br>would be too large<br>to incorporate into<br>this template.<br>Whilst there is no<br>qualitative, locally<br>specific data<br>available at<br>present, there are<br>numerous listed<br>buildings and 144<br>Monuments in                             | -      | No specific<br>targets<br>identified, but<br>need to ensure<br>that the<br>register is kept<br>up to date. | Should be<br>monitored through<br>AMR following the<br>adoption of the<br>Core Strategy, in<br>line with SA<br>recommendations. | Herefordshire<br>Environmental<br>Records Register<br>(search January<br>2018). |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>   | SA Theme   | Proposed<br>indicator   | Current status   | Trends | Targets                               | Issues and constraints  | Baseline<br>(information)<br>source  |
|---|--|---|--|--------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
|   |  |   | Linton parish,<br>according to the<br>latest version of the<br>register.   |        |                                       |   |  |
| 14. Use natural<br>resources and<br>energy more<br>efficiently.   | Resource<br>consumption<br>and climate<br>change | Monitoring<br>changes to<br>historic<br>landscapes.                                     | Historic Landscape<br>Character<br>assessments have<br>only been<br>undertaken for<br>Hereford City.<br>Rapid townscape<br>Assessments<br>(2010) were only<br>undertaken for<br>Hereford, Ledbury<br>and Ross. | -      | No specific<br>targets<br>identified. | Should be<br>monitored through<br>AMR following the<br>adoption of the<br>Core Strategy, in<br>line with SA<br>recommendations. | Historic Landscape<br>Character<br>Assessment for<br>Hereford.<br>Rapid townscape<br>Assessments<br>(2010) |
| SEA Topic cover   | ed by objective: Po                              | opulation, Biodivers  | sity, Flora and Fauna  |        | 1                                     |   |  |
| 15. Value,<br>protect,<br>enhance and<br>restore the<br>landscape<br>quality of<br>Herefordshire,<br>including its<br>rural areas and<br>open spaces. | Natural<br>environment                           | Number of<br>developments<br>meeting and<br>surpassing<br>national design<br>standards. | There is no<br>countywide or<br>locally specific data<br>available at<br>present.  | -      | No specific<br>targets<br>identified. | Should be<br>monitored through<br>AMR following the<br>adoption of the<br>Core Strategy, in<br>line with SA<br>recommendations. | -  |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>   | SA Theme               | Proposed<br>indicator  | Current status  | Trends  | Targets  | Issues and constraints   | Baseline<br>(information)<br>source                   |
|---|------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| 15. Value,<br>protect,<br>enhance and<br>restore the<br>landscape<br>quality of<br>Herefordshire,<br>including its<br>rural areas and<br>open spaces. | Natural<br>environment | The need for,<br>frequency and<br>outcomes of<br>planning<br>enforcement<br>investigations/<br>planning<br>appeals<br>concerning<br>aspects of<br>local loss of<br>heritage assets<br>and locally<br>important<br>buildings<br>particularly<br>within a<br>conservation<br>area. | Countywide data<br>would be too large<br>to incorporate into<br>this template.<br>There are no<br>outstanding<br>enforcement<br>actions or appeals<br>concerning locally<br>important local loss<br>of heritage assets<br>and locally<br>important buildings<br>within Linton parish<br>at present. | No historic<br>records of any<br>planning<br>enforcement<br>action or<br>appeals<br>concerning<br>locally<br>important<br>buildings.<br>Linton parish is<br>within a<br>conservation<br>area. | To wherever<br>possible<br>improve upon<br>or otherwise<br>maintain<br>current status. | Current status must<br>be verified by<br>Development<br>Management and<br>Enforcement<br>Officers, but the<br>NDP can help to<br>avoid enforcement<br>action and appeals<br>during the plan<br>period. | Council Dvt Mgt<br>records (searched<br>January 2018) |

------

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>  | SA Theme  | Proposed<br>indicator             | Current status  | Trends   | Targets  | Issues and constraints  | Baseline<br>(information)<br>source |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| 16. Reduce<br>Herefordshire's<br>vulnerability to<br>the impacts of<br>climate change<br>as well as its<br>contribution to<br>the problem. | Resource<br>consumption<br>and climate<br>change. | Transport<br>patronage by<br>mode | % of Herefordshire<br>residents who<br>travel to work by:<br>Car: 70.1%<br>Foot: 14.7:<br>Bicycle: 4.3%<br>Bus: 2%<br>Train: 0.8%<br>Motorbike: 0.8%<br>Taxi: 0.3%<br>Other: 7%<br>There is no locally<br>specific data<br>available at present | The number of<br>people cycling<br>or travelling by<br>bus as the main<br>form transport<br>to get to work<br>declined<br>between 2001<br>and 2011 –<br>across England<br>and Wales<br>there was little<br>change in<br>either. Walking<br>or driving a car<br>or van on the<br>other hand<br>increased. | To increase the<br>take up of<br>alternative<br>modes to the<br>private car. | There are a lack of<br>transport options for<br>many rural<br>communities and<br>therefore high car<br>ownership and<br>dependency – the<br>last decade has<br>seen a 15 per cent<br>increase in<br>household car<br>ownership,<br>although this is not<br>reflected in traffic<br>flows of recent<br>years with volumes<br>in Hereford City and<br>wider county having<br>decreased. The<br>proportion of people<br>working from home<br>increased over the<br>decade from 15 per<br>cent in 2001 to 17<br>per cent in 2011. | 2011 Census                         |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>  | SA Theme  | Proposed<br>indicator   | Current status  | Trends | Targets  | Issues and constraints  | Baseline<br>(information)<br>source |
|--|---|---|---|--------|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| 16. Reduce<br>Herefordshire's<br>vulnerability to<br>the impacts of<br>climate change<br>as well as its<br>contribution to<br>the problem. | Resource<br>consumption<br>and climate<br>change. | Number of<br>decentralised<br>energy<br>schemes<br>granted<br>permission. | There is no<br>countywide or<br>locally specific data<br>available at<br>present. | -      | To contribute<br>towards the<br>national target. | Should be<br>monitored through<br>AMR following the<br>adoption of the<br>Core Strategy, in<br>line with SA<br>recommendations. | -                                   |

------

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>  | SA Theme                               | Proposed<br>indicator                | Current status  | Trends   | Targets                                       | Issues and constraints                                   | Baseline<br>(information)<br>source  |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| 16. Reduce<br>Herefordshire's<br>vulnerability to<br>the impacts of<br>climate change<br>as well as its<br>contribution to<br>the problem. | Resource<br>consumption<br>and climate | Total CO2<br>emissions per<br>capita | Latest figure dates<br>back to 2010: 1.61<br>million tonnes<br>(mtCO <sup>2</sup> )<br>There is no locally<br>specific data<br>available at<br>present. | Between 2005<br>and 2010<br>Herefordshire's<br>total and per<br>capita carbon<br>emission<br>reduced by 7%<br>and 8%<br>respectively;<br>while UK's total<br>and per capita<br>carbon<br>emission<br>reduced by 8%<br>and 12%<br>respectively<br>within the same<br>period. This<br>trend hides an<br>increase in<br>emissions<br>between 2009<br>and 2010 when<br>total emissions<br>in the county<br>increased by<br>5% the same<br>as across the<br>UK (+5%). | To reduce the<br>overall carbon<br>emissions. | CO <sup>2</sup> emissions<br>produced are<br>decreasing. | Understanding<br>Herefordshire: An<br>integrated needs<br>assessment (June<br>2013). |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>  | SA Theme               | Proposed<br>indicator   | Current status   | Trends  | Targets  | Issues and constraints  | Baseline<br>(information)<br>source                 |
|--|------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| SEA Topic cover  | ed by objective: W     | ater  |  |   |  |   |   |
| 17. Reduce the<br>risk of flooding<br>and the<br>resulting<br>detriment to<br>public<br>wellbeing, the<br>economy and<br>the<br>environment. | Natural<br>environment | Number of<br>planning<br>permissions<br>granted<br>contrary to the<br>advice of the<br>Environment<br>Agency on<br>flood defence<br>grounds.  | 2010/11: None<br>2011/13: Not<br>specified<br>2013/14: None<br>There is no locally<br>specific data<br>available at<br>present.  | There have<br>been no<br>approvals<br>contrary to EA<br>advice since<br>reporting began<br>in 2004. | To have no<br>applications<br>permitted<br>contrary to EA<br>advice. | None identified.  | Herefordshire<br>Council AMR<br>(2010/11)           |
| SEA Topic cover  | ed by objective: W     | ater, air, soil, mater  | rial assets  |   |  |   |   |
| 18. Minimise<br>local and global<br>pollution and<br>protect or<br>enhance<br>environmental<br>resources.                                    | Natural<br>environment | Agricultural<br>land usage by<br>quality LA to<br>monitor the<br>number of<br>hectares of the<br>best and most<br>versatile<br>agricultural land<br>(grades 3a and<br>higher) lost to<br>development. | The agricultural<br>land classification<br>around Linton<br>parish is mainly<br>Grade 3 (Good to<br>moderate), with<br>Grade 2 (Good) to<br>the south west and<br>an area to the west<br>which has no<br>agricultural<br>classification. | -   | No specific<br>targets<br>identified.                                | Should be<br>monitored through<br>AMR following the<br>adoption of the<br>Core Strategy, in<br>line with SA<br>recommendations. | DEFRA 'Magic'<br>website for land<br>classification |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>   | SA Theme               | Proposed<br>indicator  | Current status   | Trends   | Targets   | Issues and constraints   | Baseline<br>(information)<br>source  |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 18. Minimise<br>local and global<br>pollution and<br>protect or<br>enhance<br>environmental<br>resources. | Natural<br>environment | Percentage of<br>river length<br>assessed as<br>good or very<br>good chemical<br>quality and<br>ecological<br>quality as<br>required by the<br>Water<br>Framework<br>Directive | Latest figure dates<br>back to 2005: 84%<br>There is no locally<br>specific data<br>available at<br>present. | Figure steadily<br>improved<br>before going<br>into decline:<br>Herefordshire<br>1999 85.9%,<br>2000 89.5%,<br>2001 92.2%,<br>2002 91.8% | To ensure that<br>rivers meet<br>their<br>conservation<br>objectives and<br>do not fall<br>below the<br>required<br>standard of<br>quality. | None identified.   | The State of<br>Herefordshire<br>Report<br>(2007)<br>Water Framework<br>Directive (2000) |
| SEA Topic cover   | ed by objective: So    | )<br>Dil   |  |  |   |  |  |
| 19. Ensure<br>integrated,<br>efficient and<br>balanced land<br>use.                                       | Built<br>environment   | Percentage of<br>all new<br>development<br>completed on<br>previously<br>developed land.   | 2010/11: 67%<br>2011-13: 57%<br>There is no locally<br>specific data<br>available at<br>present.             | Completions on<br>PDL had risen<br>to 71% by<br>2005.  | To increase the<br>number of<br>homes built on<br>PDL in line with<br>the provisions<br>of national<br>planning policy.                     | The number of<br>brownfield<br>completions has<br>fallen slightly in<br>recent years,<br>though this is<br>probably the<br>offshoot of tough<br>market conditions. | Herefordshire<br>Council AMR<br>(2010/11) and<br>(2011-2013)                             |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>   | SA Theme             | Proposed<br>indicator  | Current status   | Trends | Targets                               | Issues and constraints  | Baseline<br>(information)<br>source |
|---|----------------------|--|--|--------|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| 19. Ensure<br>integrated,<br>efficient and<br>balanced land<br>use. | Built<br>environment | Housing<br>densities in<br>urban and rural<br>areas                | There is no<br>countywide or<br>locally specific data<br>available at<br>present.  | -      | No specific<br>targets<br>identified. | Should be<br>monitored through<br>AMR following the<br>adoption of the<br>Core Strategy, in<br>line with SA<br>recommendations. | -                                   |
| 19. Ensure<br>integrated,<br>efficient and<br>balanced land<br>use. | Built<br>environment | Level of<br>development<br>in urban areas<br>compared to<br>rural. | There is no<br>countywide or<br>locally specific data<br>available at<br>present.<br>This indicator would<br>not be applicable to<br>rural NDPs. | N/A    | N/A                                   | N/A   | N/A                                 |
| SEA Topic cover   | ed by objective: C   | ultural heritage   |  |        | I                                     |   |                                     |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>   | SA Theme             | Proposed<br>indicator  | Current status  | Trends  | Targets  | Issues and constraints   | Baseline<br>(information)<br>source   |
|---|----------------------|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| 20. Value,<br>protect and<br>enhance the<br>character and<br>built quality of<br>settlements and<br>neighbourhoods<br>and the<br>county's<br>heritage assets,<br>including<br>conservation<br>areas, historic<br>environment<br>and cultural<br>heritage. | Built<br>environment | Number and<br>percentage of<br>listed<br>buildings and<br>Scheduled<br>Ancient<br>Monuments<br>on Buildings<br>at Risk<br>Register<br>(English<br>Heritage). | Up-to-date<br>countywide<br>information will be<br>presented in the<br>next AMR and<br>which is due to be<br>published in 2014.<br>However, this data<br>would be too large<br>to incorporate into<br>this template.<br>There are<br>numerous listed<br>buildings within the<br>parish. None are<br>currently recorded<br>in the Buildings at<br>Risk Register. | There were 70<br>heritage assets<br>in Herefordshire<br>that were<br>considered to<br>be at high risk<br>and included in<br>the Heritage at<br>Risk Register<br>2014. | To wherever<br>possible<br>improve upon<br>or otherwise<br>maintain<br>current status. | None of Linton's<br>parish's listed<br>buildings are<br>considered to be at<br>risk at present.<br>There are no<br>SAMs. | Buildings at Risk<br>Register (English<br>Heritage; search<br>January 2018) |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>   | SA Theme             | Proposed<br>indicator   | Current status   | Trends  | Targets  | Issues and constraints   | Baseline<br>(information)<br>source                   |
|---|----------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| 20. Value,<br>protect and<br>enhance the<br>character and<br>built quality of<br>settlements and<br>neighbourhoods<br>and the<br>county's<br>heritage assets,<br>including<br>conservation<br>areas, historic<br>environment<br>and cultural<br>heritage. | Built<br>environment | The need for,<br>frequency and<br>outcomes of<br>planning<br>enforcement<br>investigations/<br>planning<br>appeals<br>concerning<br>aspects of local<br>loss of heritage<br>assets, locally<br>important<br>buildings within<br>the parish and<br>particularly<br>within a<br>conservation<br>area. | Countywide data<br>would be too large<br>to incorporate into<br>this template.<br>There are no<br>outstanding<br>enforcement<br>actions or appeals<br>concerning local<br>loss of heritage<br>assets locally<br>important buildings<br>within Linton parish<br>at present. | No historic<br>records of any<br>planning<br>enforcement<br>action or<br>appeals<br>concerning<br>locally<br>important<br>buildings within<br>Linton parish | To wherever<br>possible<br>improve upon<br>or otherwise<br>maintain<br>current status. | Current status must<br>be verified by<br>Development<br>Management and<br>Enforcement<br>Officers, but the<br>NDP can help to<br>avoid enforcement<br>action and appeals<br>during the plan<br>period. | Council Dvt Mgt<br>records (searched<br>January 2018) |

#### Appendix A3 – Environmental issues identified from the St Weonards baseline

These environmental issues are the same as most of those identified for the Herefordshire Core Strategy<sup>1</sup>

\_\_\_\_\_

| SE | A Topic           | Environmental issue  | SA objectives      |
|----|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| 1  | Air               | High reliance upon the private car causing high levels of air pollution and in Hereford in particular  | Objective 16       |
| I  | Air               | Need to reduce carbon emissions by encouraging alternative modes of travel.  | Objective 16       |
|    |                   | Habitats and species of national, regional and local importance are under pressure from the adaptation and diversification of farming and forestry employment.             |                    |
| 2  | Biodiversity      | Habitats and species of national, regional and local importance are under pressure from development  | Objectives 13 &15  |
|    |                   | Minimise loss of biodiversity and expand opportunities for wildlife everywhere.  |                    |
| 3  | Climatic factors  | Reduce greenhouse gas emissions through planning, design and build.  | Objective 16       |
| 4  | Cultural heritage | Linton has no Scheduled Ancient Monuments but numerous listed buildings, all of which require ongoing protection and maintenance.  | Objective 20       |
| 5  | Flora and fauna   | Conserve and enhance the character and quality of historic landscapes, including all types of natural flora and fauna.   | Objective 15       |
| 6  | Material assets   | How the countryside can continue to be managed in an economically, socially and environmentally beneficial way in the face of continuing pressures on traditional farming. | Objectives 14 & 18 |
| 7  | Population        | Minimise energy waste through good designs, which help to reduce energy consumption and maximise efficiency.   | Objective 15       |
| 1  | Population        | Need to avoid enforcement investigations/action concerning locally important buildings and those within conservation areas in particular.                                  |                    |
| 8  | Soil              | Promoting development of previously developed land and buildings as opposed to greenfield sites or agricultural land of the highest quality.                               | Objectives 18 & 19 |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Derived from the Pre-submission Core Strategy Sustainability Appraisal Assessment (May 2014) and LDF General Scoping Report (June 2007)

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council. Please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part. Page 1 of 1

| 9 | Water | Issues relating to availability of resources, foul drainage, pollution, and abstraction in a county which supports water dependent biodiversity of international and national importance, given the predicted climate change consequences for water availability and demanding projections for new housing. | Objectives 17 & 18 |
|---|-------|---|--------------------|
|   |       | Steady decline in the chemical quality of rivers over the last 10 years.  |                    |

# **Template A4: SEA Framework**

## SEA Scoping Stage A, Task A4 - SEA Framework SEA Objectives, Indicators, Targets

# Parish Council Name: Linton Parish Council

# Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Linton Neighbourhood Plan

# Date completed: January 2018

| SEA Topics   | SEA Objective (These<br>objectives are strategic<br>overall SEA objectives,<br>which you may need to<br>refine, based on your<br>local evidence, to make<br>them locally relevant) | Sub-objectives / Appraisal<br>Questions (Will the<br>option/proposal/site) (These sub-<br>objectives are strategic overall SEA<br>sub-objectives, which you may need<br>to refine, based on your local<br>evidence, to make them locally<br>relevant)   | <b>Indicators</b> (Insert indicators, based on<br>evidence, to test and monitor whether<br>your NDP proposals and policies are<br>working. These should relate to the sub-<br>objectives and be taken from Template<br>A2)                                | <b>Targets</b> (Insert targets, based on<br>evidence, to monitor whether the<br>NDP proposals and policies are<br>working. These should relate to<br>the sub-objectives indicators and<br>be taken from Template A2)  |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| Nature<br>Conservation<br>(Biodiversity,<br>flora and fauna) | To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna)  | <ul> <li>Conserve and enhance natural / semi-natural habitats</li> <li>Conserve and enhance species diversity</li> <li>Avoid harm to protected species</li> <li>Avoid damage to wildlife and geological sites designated for their conservation interest</li> <li>Maintain and enhance woodland cover and management</li> <li>Maintain biodiversity, avoiding irreversible losses</li> <li>Restore the full range of characteristic habitats and species to viable levels</li> <li>Reverse the long term decline in farmland birds</li> <li>Ensure the sustainable management of key wildlife sites and the ecological processes on which they depend</li> <li>Provide opportunities for people to come into contact with and appreciate wildlife and wild place</li> </ul> | Net change in condition of SSSIs<br>across Herefordshire.<br>After use of mineral sites especially<br>wildlife habitat creation<br>Changes to protected habitats and<br>impacts of species within the<br>Herefordshire Local Biodiversity<br>Action Plan. | % of SSSI land in favourable<br>condition (Increase)<br>% of SSSI land in<br>unfavourable condition but<br>recovering (Increase)<br>% of SSSI land in<br>unfavourable condition and<br>declining (Decrease)<br>No specific targets identified.<br>No specific targets identified. |

| SEA Topics | SEA Objective (These<br>objectives are strategic<br>overall SEA objectives,<br>which you may need to<br>refine, based on your<br>local evidence, to make<br>them locally relevant) | Sub-objectives / Appraisal<br>Questions (Will the<br>option/proposal/site) (These sub-<br>objectives are strategic overall SEA<br>sub-objectives, which you may need<br>to refine, based on your local<br>evidence, to make them locally<br>relevant)   | <b>Indicators</b> (Insert indicators, based on<br>evidence, to test and monitor whether<br>your NDP proposals and policies are<br>working. These should relate to the sub-<br>objectives and be taken from Template<br>A2)  | <b>Targets</b> (Insert targets, based on<br>evidence, to monitor whether the<br>NDP proposals and policies are<br>working. These should relate to<br>the sub-objectives indicators and<br>be taken from Template A2) |
|------------|--|---|---|--|
| Landscape  | To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes<br>To improve quality of<br>surroundings   | <ul> <li>Protect and enhance the<br/>landscape everywhere and<br/>particularly in designated areas</li> <li>Value and protect diversity and<br/>local distinctiveness</li> <li>Improve landscape and ecological<br/>quality and character of the<br/>countryside</li> <li>Improve the quantity and quality<br/>of publicly accessible open space</li> <li>Improve satisfaction of people</li> </ul> | Changes in the areas of designated<br>nature conservation sites as a<br>consequence of planning permission.<br>Proportion of local sites where<br>positive conservation management<br>has or is being implemented.<br>Monitoring changes to historic<br>landscapes. | To capitalise on opportunities<br>to enhance the areas of value<br>to nature conservation as<br>much as possible.<br>No specific targets identified.   |
|            |  | <ul> <li>with their neighbourhoods as places to live</li> <li>Decrease litter and graffiti in towns and countryside</li> <li>Result in the loss of open space</li> </ul>  | Area resulting in a loss of open space<br>as a result of planning permission  | No specific targets identified   |
| Heritage   | To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance the<br>historic environment,<br>heritage assets and<br>culture heritage.  | <ul> <li>Preserve, protect and enhance<br/>heritage assets including<br/>conservation areas, historic<br/>buildings, archaeological sites<br/>and other culturally important<br/>features in both urban and rural<br/>settings.</li> <li>Create places, spaces and<br/>buildings that work woll, wear woll</li> </ul>   | The need for, frequency and<br>outcomes of planning enforcement<br>investigations/<br>planning appeals concerning<br>aspects of local loss of locally<br>important buildings within a<br>conservation area.   | To improve upon or otherwise maintain current status.  |
|            |  | buildings that work well, wear well<br>and look well  | Number and percentage of listed<br>buildings and Scheduled Ancient<br>Monuments on Buildings at Risk<br>Register (English Heritage).  | To improve upon or otherwise maintain current status.  |
|            |  |   | Ensure that Herefordshire Council's<br>Sites and Monuments Register is kept<br>up to date.  | No specific targets identified,<br>but need to ensure that the<br>register is kept up to date.   |

| SEA Topics      | <b>SEA Objective</b> (These<br>objectives are strategic<br>overall SEA objectives,<br>which you may need to<br>refine, based on your<br>local evidence, to make<br>them locally relevant) | Sub-objectives / Appraisal<br>Questions (Will the<br>option/proposal/site) (These sub-<br>objectives are strategic overall SEA<br>sub-objectives, which you may need<br>to refine, based on your local<br>evidence, to make them locally<br>relevant)  | <b>Indicators</b> (Insert indicators, based on<br>evidence, to test and monitor whether<br>your NDP proposals and policies are<br>working. These should relate to the sub-<br>objectives and be taken from Template<br>A2) | <b>Targets</b> (Insert targets, based on<br>evidence, to monitor whether the<br>NDP proposals and policies are<br>working. These should relate to<br>the sub-objectives indicators and<br>be taken from Template A2) |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|
| Air and Climate | To improve air quality<br>To reduce the effect of<br>traffic on the<br>environment<br>To reduce contributions<br>to climate change<br>To reduce vulnerability<br>to climate change        | <ul> <li>Limit air pollution, including greenhouse gas emissions and ozone depleting substances</li> <li>Improve air quality</li> <li>Reduce the need to travel</li> <li>Reduce traffic volumes and congestion</li> <li>Reduce road traffic accidents</li> <li>Reduce commuting and improve accessibility by public transport walking and cycling</li> <li>Increase proportion of journeys using modes other than the car</li> <li>Reduce the effects of heavy goods traffic (freight) on people and the environment</li> <li>Reduce energy consumption and improve energy efficiency</li> <li>Increase proportion of energy needs being met from renewable sources</li> <li>Reduce vulnerability to the effects of climate change e.g. flooding, disruption to travel by extreme weather</li> </ul> | Transport patronage by mode<br>Number of decentralised energy<br>schemes granted permission.<br>Total CO2 emissions per capita   | To encourage the take up of<br>lesser polluting modes of<br>transport<br>To contribute towards the<br>national target.<br>To reduce the overall carbon<br>emissions.   |

| SEA Topics | SEA Objective (These<br>objectives are strategic<br>overall SEA objectives,<br>which you may need to<br>refine, based on your<br>local evidence, to make<br>them locally relevant) | Sub-objectives / Appraisal<br>Questions (Will the<br>option/proposal/site) (These sub-<br>objectives are strategic overall SEA<br>sub-objectives, which you may need<br>to refine, based on your local<br>evidence, to make them locally<br>relevant)   | <b>Indicators</b> (Insert indicators, based on<br>evidence, to test and monitor whether<br>your NDP proposals and policies are<br>working. These should relate to the sub-<br>objectives and be taken from Template<br>A2)  | <b>Targets</b> (Insert targets, based on<br>evidence, to monitor whether the<br>NDP proposals and policies are<br>working. These should relate to<br>the sub-objectives indicators and<br>be taken from Template A2)  |
|------------|--|---|---|---|
| Water      | To improve water quality<br>To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply<br>To avoid, reduce and<br>manage flood risk  | <ul> <li>Improve the quality of inland<br/>water: rivers, lakes and ponds</li> <li>Limit water pollution</li> <li>Maintain water abstraction, run-off<br/>and recharge within carrying<br/>capacity (including future<br/>capacity)</li> <li>Reduce water consumption and<br/>improve water efficiency</li> <li>Minimise the risk of flooding from<br/>rivers and watercourses to people<br/>and property</li> <li>Minimise risk of subsidence</li> <li>Reduce risk of damage to<br/>property from storm events</li> </ul>  | Number of planning permissions<br>granted contrary to the advice of the<br>Environment Agency on flood defence<br>grounds.<br>Percentage of river length assessed<br>as good or very good chemical quality<br>and ecological quality<br>Phosphate levels within the River<br>Wye SAC and adjoining tributaries<br>that receive increased phosphates | To have no applications<br>permitted contrary to EA<br>advice.<br>To ensure that rivers meet<br>their conservation objectives<br>and do not fall below the<br>required standard of quality as<br>set out in the Water<br>Framework Directive.<br>To meet the targets set out in<br>the Nutrient Management Plan<br>(2014) |
| Soil       | To conserve soil<br>resources and quality<br>Ensure integrated,<br>efficient and balanced<br>land use.   | <ul> <li>Reduce contamination, and<br/>safeguard soil quality and quantity</li> <li>Minimise loss of greenfield land /<br/>maximise development on<br/>brownfield land</li> <li>Reduce the amount of derelict<br/>and underused land</li> <li>Minimise waste generation and<br/>increase re-use or recovery<br/>through recycling, composting or<br/>energy recovery</li> <li>Maximise the amount of waste<br/>diverted from landfill through<br/>recovery and recycling</li> <li>Reduce household waste going to<br/>landfill</li> <li>Reduce waste in the construction<br/>of developments</li> </ul> | from proportional growth.<br>Percentage of all new development<br>completed on previously developed<br>land.<br>Amount of land identified as best and<br>most versatile agricultural land lost to<br>development.   | To increase the number of<br>homes built on PDL in line<br>with the provisions of national<br>planning policy.<br>No specific targets identified.   |
| SEA Topics                     | SEA Objective (These<br>objectives are strategic<br>overall SEA objectives,<br>which you may need to<br>refine, based on your<br>local evidence, to make<br>them locally relevant) | Sub-objectives / Appraisal<br>Questions (Will the<br>option/proposal/site) (These sub-<br>objectives are strategic overall SEA<br>sub-objectives, which you may need<br>to refine, based on your local<br>evidence, to make them locally<br>relevant)   | Indicators (Insert indicators, based on<br>evidence, to test and monitor whether<br>your NDP proposals and policies are<br>working. These should relate to the sub-<br>objectives and be taken from Template<br>A2) | <b>Targets</b> (Insert targets, based on<br>evidence, to monitor whether the<br>NDP proposals and policies are<br>working. These should relate to<br>the sub-objectives indicators and<br>be taken from Template A2) |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Population and<br>Human Health | To improve health of the<br>population<br>To reduce crime and<br>nuisance  | <ul> <li>Create conditions to improve<br/>health and reduce health<br/>inequalities in those areas most<br/>affected</li> <li>Promote healthy living and<br/>lifestyles</li> <li>Reduce death rates</li> <li>Protect and enhance human<br/>health</li> <li>Reduce and prevent crime,<br/>reduce fear of crime</li> <li>Decrease noise and vibration</li> <li>Increase opportunities for indoor<br/>recreation and exercise</li> </ul> | Number of developments meeting<br>and surpassing national design<br>standards.  | No specific targets identified.  |
| Material Assets                | To conserve natural and manmade resources  | <ul> <li>Protect built assets, property,<br/>infrastructure and services</li> <li>Increase proportion of building<br/>materials from sustainable<br/>sources</li> <li>Promote the development of a<br/>sustainable settlement pattern<br/>and physical infrastructure</li> <li>Promote the efficient use of land<br/>and resources</li> <li>Reduce consumption of materials<br/>and resources</li> </ul>                              | Agricultural land usage by quality<br>Housing densities in urban and rural<br>areas<br>Level of development in urban areas<br>compared to rural.  | No specific targets identified.<br>No specific targets identified.<br>N/A  |

# Appendix 3

No comments received

# Appendix 4

| Objectives verses<br>SEA Objectives<br>(SMART and<br>Compatibility Test) |                                       |                               |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| SEA Stage B1   | Кеу:                                  | SMART criteria:               |  |
| + =/++   | Compatible/ Very comp                 | S – Specific:                 | NDP objectives should specify what is intended to be done in detail and should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations   |
| - =  | Possible conflict                     | M – Measurable:               | It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited resource im                              |
| 0 =  | Neutral                               | A –<br>Attainable/achievable: | NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale of growth proposed   |
| X =  | No relationship between<br>objectives | R – Realistic:                | NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes.  |
| ? =  | Unclear, more information needed      | T – Time-Bound:               | Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should sp the target should be achieved. |

# SEA Objectives

- 1- To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)
- 2- To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes
- 3- To improve quality of surroundings
- 4- To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage
- 5- To improve air quality
- 6- To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment
- 7- To reduce contributions to climate change
- 8- To reduce vulnerability to climate change
- 9- To improve water quality
- 10- To provide for sustainable sources of water supply
- 11- To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk
- 12- To conserve soil resources and quality
- 13- To minimise the production of waste
- 14- To improve health of the population
- 15- To reduce crime and nuisance
- 16- To conserve natural and manmade resources

| implications. | The following matrix appraises the emerging<br>Linton Parish (Bromsash, Gorsley and<br>Linton) NDP Objectives in terms of their<br>SMART criteria and their compatibility with<br>the SEA Objectives. These have been<br>developed from Government guidance on<br>SEA and from the local evidence base<br>gathered for identifying the NDP issues. |
|---------------|--|
| specify when  | ,  |

| NDP objectives   | SEA Objectives |        |        |        |   |    |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |        |        |        |   |  |  |                            |
|--|----------------|--------|--------|--------|---|----|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|--------|--------|--------|---|--|--|----------------------------|
|  | 1              | 2      | 3      | 4      | 5 | 6  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14     | 15     | 16     | Conclusions   | Recommendations  | SMART Test of NDP objective  | After SMART objectives     |
| Objective 1 –<br>Environment and<br>Heritage               | +<br>+         | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | + | +  | + | 0 | 0 | X  | +  | +  | X  | +<br>+ | 0      | +<br>+ | Overall this objective<br>has a positive outcome<br>over the SEA objectives<br>where relevant by<br>protecting and<br>enhancing the<br>environment and<br>heritage. | Ensure that detail of the<br>aims and of the objective<br>are thoroughly explained<br>in any emerging policy<br>and that further details are<br>given at each stage. | This objective is achievable<br>and deliverable. It could be<br>monitored against policy<br>criteria relating to the<br>impact of development on<br>the character and<br>environment and is in line<br>with the overall vision for<br>the plan and it is<br>considered that this<br>objective meets the<br>SMART criteria. | No changes<br>recommended. |
| Objective 2 -<br>Housing                                   | 0              | +<br>+ | +      | +      | X | ×  | x | x | X | 0  | X  | X  | ?  | +      | 0      | +      | Overall this objective<br>has a positive outcome<br>over the SEA objectives<br>where relevant.  | Ensure that detail of the<br>aims and of the objective<br>are thoroughly explained<br>in any emerging policy<br>and that further details are<br>given at each stage. | This objective is achievable<br>and deliverable. It could be<br>monitored against policy<br>sustainability criteria and is<br>in line with the overall<br>vision for the plan and it is<br>considered that this<br>objective meets the<br>SMART criteria.  | No changes<br>recommended. |
| Objective 3 –<br>Infrastructure and<br>Roads               | 0              | +      | +<br>+ | 0      | + | ++ | + | 0 | + | 0  | +  | +  | 0  | +      | +      | +      | Overall this objective<br>has a positive outcome<br>over the SEA objectives,<br>with positive steps being<br>taken towards key<br>environmental aspects.            | Ensure that detail of the<br>aims and of the objective<br>are thoroughly explained<br>in any emerging policy<br>and that further details are<br>given at each stage. | This objective is achievable<br>and deliverable. It could be<br>monitored against policy<br>sustainability criteria and is<br>in line with the overall<br>vision for the plan and it is<br>considered that this<br>objective meets the<br>SMART criteria.  | No changes<br>recommended. |
| Objective 4 –<br>Community<br>Services and<br>Facilities   | 0              | +      | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | X | +  | 0 | 0 | X | X  | X  | +  | 0  | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | +      | Overall this objective<br>has a positive outcome<br>over the SEA objectives<br>where relevant.  | Ensure that detail of the<br>aims and of the objective<br>are thoroughly explained<br>in any emerging policy<br>and that further details are<br>given at each stage. | This objective is achievable<br>and deliverable. It could be<br>monitored against policy<br>sustainability criteria and is<br>in line with the overall<br>vision for the plan and it is<br>considered that this<br>objective meets the<br>SMART criteria.  | No changes<br>recommended. |
| Objective 5 –<br>Economic<br>Development and<br>Employment | X              | x      | +      | +<br>+ | + | +  | X | x | X | X  | X  | X  | ?  | X      | X      | +      | Overall this objective<br>has a positive outcome<br>over the SEA objectives<br>where relevant.  | Ensure that detail of the<br>aims and of the objective<br>are thoroughly explained<br>in any emerging policy<br>and that further details are<br>given at each stage. | This objective is achievable<br>and deliverable. It could be<br>monitored against policy<br>criteria and is in line with<br>the overall vision for the<br>plan and it is considered<br>that this objective meets<br>the SMART criteria.  | No changes<br>recommended. |

| Objectives verses<br>SEA Objectives<br>(SMART and<br>Compatibility Test) |                                       |                               |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| SEA Stage B1   | Key:                                  | SMART criteria:               |  | The following matrix appraises the                           |
| + =/++   | Compatible/very comp                  | S – Specific:                 | NDP objectives should specify what is intended to be done in detail and should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations   | emerging Linton Parish<br>(Bromsash, Gorsley and Linton)     |
| - =  | Possible conflict                     | M – Measurable:               | It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited resource implications.                             | NDP Objectives in terms of their<br>SMART criteria and their |
| 0 =  | Neutral                               | A –<br>Attainable/achievable: | NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale of growth proposed   | compatibility with the SEA<br>Objectives. These have been    |
| X =  | No relationship between<br>objectives | R – Realistic:                | NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes.  | developed from Government guidance on SEA and from the       |
| ? =  | Unclear, more information needed      | T – Time-Bound:               | Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should specify when the target should be achieved. | local evidence base gathered for identifying the NDP issues. |

| SEA Objectives   | Baseline carried over from Stage A  |
|--|---|
| 1- To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)             | 1-The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.2010/11: 27% of Herefordshire's SSSI land was in favourable conservation with the transformation of the Wye SAC betwee meeting its phosphate target and is meeting the conservation targets. The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.2010/11: 27% of Herefordshire's SSSI land was in favourable conservation targets. The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.2010/11: 27% of Herefordshire's SSSI land was in favourable conservation targets. The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.2010/11: 27% of Herefordshire's SSSI land was in favourable conservation targets. The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.2010/11: 27% of Herefordshire's SSSI land was in favourable conservation targets. The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.2010/11: 27% of Herefordshire's SSSI land was in favourable conservation targets. The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation targets. |
| 2- To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes                        | Species Action Plans are currently in operation across Herefordshire. Linton Parish has: 1 SSSI, 8 LWS, 4 Ancient Woodlands, 3 LG Park and Garden. The East of Linton Parish falls inside the hydrological catchment area for the River Wye SAC and is within 10 km c   |
| 3- To improve quality of surroundings  | (2.8km) and is within 10km of the Wye Valley Woodlands (9.8km). There are no NNRs or SINCs within the parish.   |
| 4- To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage | 2-There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning locally important buildings within Linton Parish at present.   |
| 5- To improve air quality  | 3- In terms of Linton, the Parish has 1.6ha at Linton Primary School which is a secured community access area.  |
| 6- To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment                                      | 4-Whilst there is no qualitative, locally specific data available at present, Linton Parish has 0 Scheduled Ancient Monument and nume maintenance.  |
| 7- To reduce contributions to climate change   | 5-Between 2005 and 2010 Herefordshire's total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 7% and 8% respectively; while UK's total and 12% respectively within the same period. This suggests that air quality is improving.  |
| 8- To reduce vulnerability to climate change   |   |
| 9- To improve water quality  | 6-% of Herefordshire residents who travel to work by: Car: 70.1%, Foot: 14.7: Bicycle: 4.3%,Bus: 2%, Train: 0.8%,Motorbike: 0.8%,Ta   |
|  | 7-Herefordshire latest figure of C02 emissions per capita-dates back to 2010: 1.61 million tonnes (mtCO <sup>2</sup> )  |
| 10- To provide for sustainable sources of water supply                                     | 8-Reduce the risk of flooding-There have been no approvals contrary to EA advice since reporting began in 2004. The 2011-2013 AM  |
| 11- To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk   | or Neduce the fisk of hooding-there have been no approvals contrary to LA advice since reporting began in 2004. The 2011-2013 Am  |
| 12- To conserve soil resources and quality   | 9-Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality and ecological quality as required by the Water Framew 84%.   |
| 13- To minimise the production of waste  | 10-The parish falls into the Herefordshire Conjunctive Use Water Resource Zones (WRZs), one of 6 zones covering Herefordshire. 39   |
| 14- To improve health of the population  | 11-Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood defence grounds. The 2011-2 data.   |
| 15- To reduce crime and nuisance   |   |
| 16- To conserve natural and manmade resources  | 12- Majority of land within the agricultural land classification around Linton is predominantly Grades 2 to 3 (Very Good to Very good). previously developed land.2010/11: 67%2011-13: 57%.   |
|  | 16- There are numerous listed buildings within the parish and 0 SAM.  |

# October 2019

condition.– August 2014 status of the SSSIs are: een Hay and the Lugg confluence is currently data. 2010/11: 17 Habitat Action Plans and 14 \_GS, 1 Geopark, 1 Nature Trail, 1 Unregistered of the Wye Valley & Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC

merous listed buildings, all of which require ongoing

tal and per capita carbon emission reduced by 8%

Taxi: 0.3%,Other: 7%.

MR does not contain updated conservation data.

ework Directive. Latest figure dates back to 2005:

39% of demand is from non-household use.

-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation

I). Percentage of all new development completed on

| NDP Options   |          |   |   |   |          |          | SE | EA ok    | ojecti | ves      |    |    |          |    |    |    |   |   |
|---|----------|---|---|---|----------|----------|----|----------|--------|----------|----|----|----------|----|----|----|---|---|
| and Policies  | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5        | 6        | 7  | 8        | 9      | 10       | 11 | 12 | 13       | 14 | 15 | 16 | Summary in relation to baseline   | Overall commentary ar                                 |
|   |          |   |   |   |          |          |    |          |        |          |    |    |          |    |    |    |   | cumulative effects/ Rec                               |
| Baseline  | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5        | 6        | 7  | 8        | 9      | 10       | 11 | 12 | X        | X  | X  | 16 |   |   |
| Options   | <u> </u> |   |   |   | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |    | <u> </u> |        | <u> </u> |    |    | <u> </u> |    |    |    |   |   |
| Option 1: not to<br>prepare a<br>Neighbourhood<br>Development Plan,<br>relying instead on<br>the Core Strategy<br>and the Rural Areas<br>Sites Allocations<br>DPD | X        | X | X | X | X        | X        | X  | X        | X      | X        | X  | X  |          |    |    | X  | N/A   | N/A   |
| Option 2: use a<br>criteria-based Plan<br>incorporating a<br>general<br>development<br>strategy   | +        | + | + | + | 0        | 0        | 0  | 0        | 0      | 0        | 0  | 0  |          |    |    | +  | Overall this option has mainly a positive<br>impact on the baseline data where relevant<br>and in terms of providing a general<br>development strategy. A Criteria based plan<br>does not give the same level of certainty as<br>the other options as it will be more<br>reactionary than proactive in terms of growth<br>proposals, therefore some environmental<br>factors are neutral. However provided criteria<br>is added to the policy to safeguard or<br>mitigate against any harm, the option will<br>have a positive effect on the baseline.  | can be assessed at plan                               |
| Option 3: allocate<br>sites to address the<br>minimum housing<br>requirement  | +        | + | + | + | 0        | ?        | 0  | 0        | 0      | 0        | 0  | 0  |          |    |    | +  | Overall this option has mainly a positive<br>impact on the baseline data where relevant<br>and in terms of providing a general<br>development strategy and allocating sites to<br>address the minimum housing requirement<br>across the Parish. Allocation of sites for<br>housing or other uses would give certainty to<br>future development. Uncertainly will come<br>from traffic increase from proposed<br>development Specific environmental issues<br>could be investigated during the site search<br>and be positively addressed within the policy<br>wording. This option could have a positive<br>effect on the baseline. | added to site allocations<br>SEA objectives are achie |
| Option 4: define<br>settlement<br>boundaries for<br>Bromsash, Gorsley<br>and Linton without<br>allocating sites   | +        | + | + | + | 0        | 0        | 0  | 0        | 0      | 0        | 0  | 0  |          |    |    | +  | Overall this option has mainly a positive<br>impact on the baseline data where relevant<br>and in terms of providing a plan with a<br>settlement boundary, however the plan does<br>not give the same level of certainty as an<br>option with site allocations, as it will be more<br>reactionary than proactive in terms of growth<br>proposals, therefore some environmental<br>factors are neutral. The designation of a<br>settlement boundary will give additional<br>certainty and help define those areas<br>considered as the built form and open   | development within the a the Parish, where propor     |

| and any initial  | Conformity with                   |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| ecommendations   | Core Strategy                     |
|  |                                   |
|  |                                   |
|  |                                   |
|  | N/A                               |
|  |                                   |
|  |                                   |
|  |                                   |
|  |                                   |
|  |                                   |
|  |                                   |
| ts the SEA baseline as it                              | This meets the                    |
| opment and the<br>e statistics can be suitably         | Core Strategy<br>requirements for |
| porting policies. Criteria<br>ded within the policy to | the purposes of the SEA.          |
| cts on any SEA objectives.                             |                                   |
| of environmental impact nning application stage.       |                                   |
|  |                                   |
|  |                                   |
|  |                                   |
| ts the SEA baseline as it opment and the               | This meets the                    |
| statistics. Pursuing this                              | Core Strategy<br>requirements for |
| ter certainty over future<br>area particularly within  | the purposes of                   |
| ortional growth is                                     | the SEA.                          |
| itigation criteria can be<br>s policies to ensure all  |                                   |
| nieved.  |                                   |
|  |                                   |
|  |                                   |
| ts the SEA baseline as it                              | This meets the                    |
| opment and the   | Core Strategy                     |
| e statistics. Pursuing this ter certainty over future  | requirements for                  |
| area particularly within                               | the purposes of the SEA.          |
| ortional growth is<br>itigation criteria can be        |                                   |
| s policies to ensure all<br>nieved.                    |                                   |
|  |                                   |
|  |                                   |

| Option 5: define<br>settlement boundary<br>for Bromsash,<br>Gorsley and Linton<br>and allocate sites in<br>or adjacent to these<br>villages | +      | +      | +      | +      | 0  | ?      | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0      |  | +      | countryside. This can aid the direction of<br>further growth to maintain the quality of the<br>landscape and surroundings. There is less<br>certainty over the positive effects on the<br>baseline as any growth with be adjudged by<br>criteria based policy<br>Overall this option has mainly a positive<br>impact on the baseline data where relevant<br>and in terms of providing a general<br>development strategy and allocating sites to<br>address the minimum housing requirement<br>across the Parish. Allocation of sites for<br>housing or other uses would give certainty to<br>future development and designation of a<br>settlement boundary will give additional<br>certainty and help define those areas<br>considered as the built form and open<br>countryside. Uncertainly will come from<br>traffic increase from proposed development.<br>Specific environmental issues could be<br>investigated during the site search and be<br>positively addressed within the policy<br>wording. This option could have a positive<br>effect on the baseline. | Overall this option meets the SEA baseline as it<br>will help to guide development and the<br>environmental baseline statistics. Pursuing this<br>option would give greater certainty over future<br>development within the area particularly within<br>the Parish, where proportional growth is<br>expected. If required mitigation criteria can be<br>added to site allocations policies to ensure all<br>SEA objectives are achieved. | This meets the<br>Core Strategy<br>requirements for<br>the purposes of<br>the SEA.        |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|----|--------|----|----|----|----|---|--------|--|--------|---|--|---|
| Policies<br>Policy BGL 1:<br>Sustainable<br>Development   | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | +  | +<br>+ | +  | +  | +  | ÷  | × | +      |  | +      | Overall this policy has mainly a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant.   | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it<br>will help to guide development. It will not lead<br>directly to development but indicated criteria<br>proposals for sustainable development and any<br>further environmental baseline statistics can be<br>suitably addressed through supporting policies.   | This policy meets<br>the Core Strategy<br>requirements for<br>the purposes of<br>the SEA. |
| Policy BGL2:<br>Natural<br>environment  | +<br>+ | +      | +<br>+ | +      | +  | +      | +  | +  | +  | +  | + | +<br>+ |  | +<br>+ | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data where relevant.  | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it<br>will help to guide design in the parish. This policy<br>is concerned within the safeguarding of features<br>of the natural environment. Additional safeguards<br>existing both within the Core Strategy and the<br>NDP to address other SEA objectives   | This policy meets<br>the Core Strategy<br>requirements for<br>the purposes of<br>the SEA. |
| Policy BGL3:<br>Historic<br>Environment   | +      | +<br>+ | ++     | +<br>+ | X  | x      | x  | x  | x  | X  | x | x      |  | X      | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant.   | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it<br>will help to guide conservations of the historic<br>environment in the parish. Additional safeguards<br>existing both within the Core Strategy and the<br>NDP to address other SEA objectives  | This policy meets<br>the Core Strategy<br>requirements for<br>the purposes of<br>the SEA. |
| Policy BGL4:<br>Building design   | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | ++     | +      | ++ | +      | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | x | +      |  | +<br>+ | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant.   | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it<br>will help to guide building design and addresses<br>environmental issues through design guidance. It<br>will not lead directly to development but indicated<br>criteria proposals for sustainable development  | This policy meets<br>the Core Strategy<br>requirements for<br>the purposes of<br>the SEA. |

|   |        |        |        |        |   |    |   |   |   |   |   |        |  |        | and any further baseline statistics can be suitably<br>addressed through supporting policies.  |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|--------|--|--------|--|
| Policy BGL5:<br>Renewable and low<br>carbon energy        | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | +      | +<br>+ | + | +  | + | + | X | X | X | +      |  | ++     | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA<br>baseline and has a positive impact on the<br>baseline data where relevant.Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it<br>will help to support renewable and low carbon<br>energy within the parish. It will not lead directly to<br>development but indicated criteria proposals for<br>sustainable development and renewable energy<br>production and any further baseline statistics can<br>be suitably addressed through supporting<br>policies.This policy mether<br>the Core Strate<br>requirements<br>the SEA.   |
| Policy BGL6<br>Settlement<br>boundaries                   | +<br>+ | +      | +      | +      | + | +  | + | + | + | + | + | +<br>+ |  | +<br>+ | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA<br>baseline and has a positive impact on the<br>baseline data.Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it<br>will help to guide location of development in the<br>parish by defining settlement boundaries for<br>identified villages in the parish. This policy is<br>concerned within the safeguarding of features of<br>the natural environment, building design and<br>green spaces. Additional safeguards existing both<br>within the Core Strategy and the NDP to address<br>other SEA objectivesThis policy means<br>the Core Strategy<br>other SEA objectives |
| Policy BGL7<br>Housing mix                                | +      | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | x | ?  | Х | x | x | x | x | X      |  | +      | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA<br>baseline and has a positive impact on the<br>baseline data.Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it<br>will help to guide location of development in the<br>parish. This policy is concerned within the<br>housing mix within the parish. Additional<br>safeguards existing both within the Core Strategy<br>and the NDP to address other SEA objectivesThis policy meets<br>the Core Strategy<br>the SEA.  |
| Policy BGL8:<br>Community<br>services and<br>facilities   | +      | +      | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | + | +  | + | + | X | X | X | X      |  | +      | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA<br>baseline and has a positive impact on the<br>baseline data.Overall the policy is positive as it will encourage<br>the continuing use and enhancement of existing<br>facilities which will help to retain the village<br>character, heritage and environment. It will also<br>reduce the impact of climate change by reducing<br>the need to travel by car to other facilities.This policy me<br>the Core Stra<br>requirements<br>the SEA.   |
| BGL9 Local Green<br>Space                                 | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | + | 0  | + | + | 0 | 0 | X | +<br>+ |  | +<br>+ | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA<br>baseline and has a positive impact on the<br>baseline data.Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it<br>will help to guide location of development in the<br>parish. This policy is concerned within the<br>safeguarding of features of the natural<br>environment, mainly open spaces in the parish.<br>Additional safeguards existing both within the<br>Core Strategy and the NDP to address other SEAThis policy meets<br>the Core Strategy<br>the SEA.  |
| Policy BGL10:<br>Small-scale<br>employment<br>development | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | +<br>+ | + | ++ | Х | X | X | x | x | +      |  | +<br>+ | This policy is compatible and will have a<br>positive effect on the baseline data where<br>relevant.Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline and<br>will benefit the future of the area in terms of<br>employment and traffic reduction in terms of<br>home working.This policy meets<br>the Core Stra<br>requirements<br>the Devente  |

# B2: Develop and Refinement of NDP polices

| Policy BGL11<br>Agricultural | + | - | ┢ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |  | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline and will benefit the future of the area in terms of agricultural development. | This policy meets<br>the Core Strategy<br>requirements for |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| development                  | + | - | ₽ | + | + |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  | + |   |  | the purposes of the SEA.                                   |

# October 2019

Key:

| ++ | - Move        | + Move towards | Move away     | - Move away | 0 Neutral | ? Uncertain | N/A No       |
|----|---------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
|    | towards       | Marginally     | significantly | marginally  |           |             | relationship |
|    | significantly |                |               |             |           |             |              |

# Policy BGL1: Sustainable development

| SEA Objective   | cumulative of effect and m     | nt of effect (con<br>effects, significat<br>nagnitude of the<br>three time perio | nce of the<br>effect in   | Summary Explanation  | Enhancement and<br>mitigation<br>opportunities |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|
|   | Short<br>term (1 –<br>5 years) | Medium<br>term<br>(6 – 10<br>years)  | Long term<br>(11 years +) |  |  |
| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna) | ++                             | ++   | ++                        | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would work towards<br>ensuring that sustainability<br>measures are included in new<br>development. Policy safeguards<br>are included within the policy to<br>ensure that any development does<br>not impact detrimentally on the<br>character and diversification and<br>nature conservation are taken into<br>consideration. | N/A  |

| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes                            | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would lead to the<br>enhancement of landscapes and<br>townscapes. Policy safeguards are<br>included within the policy to ensure<br>landscape and townscape features<br>are taken into consideration.  | N/A |
|--|----|----|----|--|-----|
| To improve quality of surroundings   | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy.  | N/A |
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic<br>environment and<br>cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and see to enhance<br>surroundings with development in<br>line with the proportional growth<br>within the Core Strategy. Policy<br>safeguards are included within the<br>policy to ensure historic and cultural<br>heritage features are taken into<br>consideration. | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | +  | +  | +  | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core  | N/A |

|  |   |   |   | Strategy. This could have a positive<br>effect on air quality if implemented<br>correctly over time.   |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To reduce the effect of<br>traffic on the<br>environment | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>traffic however this would be<br>balanced by the implementation of<br>sustainable development and<br>measures to mitigate against<br>impacts.                                     | N/A |
| To reduce contributions<br>to climate change             | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>contributions to climate change but<br>sustainable development will help<br>to ensure the impact from the start<br>of any development is working<br>towards reducing any impacts. | N/A |

| To reduce vulnerability<br>to climate change             | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>contributions to climate change but<br>sustainable development will help<br>to ensure the impact from the start<br>of any development is working<br>towards reducing any impacts. | N/A |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To improve water<br>quality                              | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>contributions to climate change but<br>sustainable development will help<br>to ensure the impact from the start<br>of any development is working<br>towards reducing any impacts. | N/A |
| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the  | N/A |

|   |   |   |   | proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. Sustainable development<br>will help to ensure the impact from<br>any development is working<br>towards reducing any impacts and<br>supplying sustainable water<br>sources.           |     |
|---|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk          | x | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality          | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with policy RA2<br>and proportional growth within the<br>Core Strategy. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste             |   |   |   |  |     |
| To improve health of the population             |   |   |   |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                    |   |   |   |  |     |
| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with policy RA2   | N/A |

|   |  |   |  | and proportional growth within the Core Strategy.  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects | surroundings<br>With develop<br>implementation | through sustainal<br>ment there will be | ble development<br>some margin in<br>measures to mit | ategy in terms of SEA objectives and we<br>t in line with the proportional growth wit<br>acrease in traffic however this would be<br>igate against impacts as with the impact. | hin the Core Strategy.<br>balanced by the |  |

### Policy BGL2: Natural environment

| SEA Objective   | cumulative offect and m | nt of effect (con<br>effects, significa<br>nagnitude of the<br>three time perio | nce of the<br>effect in | Summary Explanation   | Enhancement and<br>mitigation<br>opportunities |
|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|--|
|   | Short                   | Medium  | Long term               |   |  |
|   | term (1 –<br>5 years)   | <b>term</b><br>(6 – 10<br>years)  | (11 years +)            |   |  |
| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna) | +                       | ++  | ++                      | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would work towards<br>ensuring that the natural<br>environment is protected and any<br>new development respects this.<br>Policy safeguards are included<br>within the policy to ensure that any<br>development does not impact<br>detrimentally on the character and | N/A  |

|  |   |    |    | diversification and nature<br>conservation are taken into<br>consideration.  |     |
|--|---|----|----|--|-----|
| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes                            | ÷ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would lead to the<br>enhancement of landscapes and<br>townscapes. Policy safeguards are<br>included within the policy to ensure<br>landscape and townscape features<br>are taken into consideration.  | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy.  | N/A |
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic<br>environment and<br>cultural heritage | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and see to enhance<br>surroundings with development in<br>line with the proportional growth<br>within the Core Strategy. Policy<br>safeguards are included within the<br>policy to ensure historic and cultural<br>heritage features are taken into | N/A |

|  |   |   |   | consideration.   |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To improve air quality                                   | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. This could have a positive<br>effect on air quality if implemented<br>correctly over time.  | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of<br>traffic on the<br>environment | + | ÷ | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>traffic however this would be<br>balanced by the implementation of<br>measures to protect the natural<br>environment mitigate against<br>impacts. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change                | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in  | N/A |

|  |   |   |   | contributions to climate change the<br>implementation of measures to<br>protect the natural environment<br>mitigate against impacts.  |     |
|--|---|---|---|---|-----|
| To reduce vulnerability<br>to climate change | ÷ | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>contributions to climate change the<br>implementation of measures to<br>protect the natural environment<br>mitigate against impacts.     | N/A |
| To improve water<br>quality                  | ÷ | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>contributions to climate change but<br>the implementation of measures to<br>protect the natural environment<br>mitigate against impacts. | N/A |

| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. The implementation of<br>measures to protect the natural<br>environment mitigate against<br>impacts and supply of sustainable<br>water sources. | N/A |
|--|---|---|---|---|-----|
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk                   | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. The implementation of<br>measures to protect the natural<br>environment mitigate against<br>impacts mitigating against surface<br>water runoff. | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality                   | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with policy RA2<br>and proportional growth within the<br>Core Strategy.  | N/A |

| To minimise the production of waste                 |                            |                  |                               |  |                            |
|---|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| To improve health of the population                 |                            |                  |                               |  |                            |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                        |                            |                  |                               |  |                            |
| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources     | +                          | +                | +                             | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with policy RA2<br>and proportional growth within the<br>Core Strategy. | N/A                        |
| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects | the landscap<br>Environmen | pe and natural e | nvironment. A e mitigated wit | e Core Strategy. This policy helps<br>positive overall cumulative will be<br>h core strategy and specific enviror  | the impact of this policy. |

## Policy BGL3: Historic Environment

| SEA Objective   | cumulative of effect and m | nt of effect (con<br>effects, significat<br>nagnitude of the<br>three time peric<br>Medium<br>term<br>(6 – 10 | nce of the<br>effect in | Summary Explanation  | Enhancement and<br>mitigation<br>opportunities |
|---|----------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|--|
| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna) | +                          | years)  | +                       | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would work towards<br>ensuring that the natural<br>environment is protected and any<br>new development respects this.<br>Policy safeguards are included<br>within the policy to ensure that any<br>development does not impact<br>detrimentally on the character and<br>diversification and nature<br>conservation are taken into<br>consideration. | N/A  |
| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes               | +                          | ++  | ++                      | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would lead to the<br>enhancement of landscapes and<br>townscapes. Policy safeguards are<br>included within the policy to ensure<br>landscape and townscape features   | N/A  |

|  |    |    |    | are taken into consideration.  |     |
|--|----|----|----|--|-----|
| To improve quality of surroundings   | +  | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy.  | N/A |
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic<br>environment and<br>cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and see to enhance<br>surroundings with development in<br>line with the proportional growth<br>within the Core Strategy. Policy<br>safeguards are included within the<br>policy to ensure historic and cultural<br>heritage features are taken into<br>consideration. | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | x  | X  | X  | N/A  | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of<br>traffic on the<br>environment   | X  | x  | X  | N/A  | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change  | X  | X  | X  | N/A  | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability  | X  | X  | X  | N/A  | N/A |

| B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the polici | es and sites within the Neighbo | urhood Development Plan |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
|  |                                 |                         |

| to climate change  |              |  |                 |  |   |
|--|--------------|--|-----------------|--|---|
| To improve water quality                                 | X            | X  | X               | N/A  | N/A   |
| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | X            | X  | X               | N/A  | N/A   |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk                   | x            | X  | X               | N/A  | N/A   |
| To conserve soil resources and quality                   | X            | X  | X               | N/A  | N/A   |
| To minimise the production of waste                      |              |  |                 |  |   |
| To improve health of the population                      |              |  |                 |  |   |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                             |              |  |                 |  |   |
| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources          | X            | X  | X               | N/A  | N/A   |
| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects      | SEA baseline | blicy is not over<br>data. This polic<br>of the historic e | y will have par | Core Strategy. The polic<br>ticular positive impacts the | y would have a positive impact on the<br>e area in regard to the protection and |

# Policy BGL4: Building design

| SEA Objective   | cumulative offect and m        | nt of effect (con<br>effects, significat<br>nagnitude of the<br>e three time perio | nce of the<br>effect in   | Summary Explanation  | Enhancement and<br>mitigation<br>opportunities |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|
|   | Short<br>term (1 –<br>5 years) | Medium<br>term<br>(6 – 10<br>years)  | Long term<br>(11 years +) |  |  |
| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna) | +                              | ++   | ++                        | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.<br>Building design will incorporate<br>sustainable measures to ensure the<br>least impact on the natural<br>environment.  | N/A  |
| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes               | +                              | ++   | ++                        | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.<br>Policy safeguards within the Core<br>Strategy and the Neighbourhood<br>Plans are in place to avoid or<br>mitigate against impacts on the<br>environment and landscape setting. | N/A  |

| To improve quality of surroundings   | +  | ++ | ++ | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.<br>Policy safeguards within the Core<br>Strategy and the Neighbourhood<br>Plans are in place to avoid or<br>improve the quality of surroundings.  | N/A |
|--|----|----|----|--|-----|
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic<br>environment and<br>cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.<br>Policy safeguards within the Core<br>Strategy and the Neighbourhood<br>Plan to avoid or mitigate against<br>impacts on the historic environment<br>and or cultural heritage directly and<br>to help to improve the quality of the<br>surroundings. | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | +  | +  | +  | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment   | +  | +  | +  | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change  | +  | +  | +  | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |

| To reduce vulnerability to climate change                | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To improve water quality                                 | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk                   | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality                   | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                      |   |   |   |  |     |
| To improve health of the population                      |   |   |   |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                             |   |   |   |  |     |
| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources          | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.<br>Policy safeguards are in place to<br>mitigate against impacts on the | N/A |

|   |              |                     |                    | historic environment.  |  |
|---|--------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects | SEA baseline | e data. This policy | / will have partic | ore Strategy. The policy would have a<br>ular positive impacts the area in regard<br>rs through development. |  |

# Policy BGL5: Renewable and low carbon energy

| SEA Objective   | cumulative<br>effect and n     | Assessment of effect (consider<br>cumulative effects, significance of the<br>effect and magnitude of the effect in<br>erms of the three time periods) |                           | Summary Explanation  | Enhancement and<br>mitigation<br>opportunities |
|---|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|
|   | Short<br>term (1 –<br>5 years) | Medium<br>term<br>(6 – 10<br>years)   | Long term<br>(11 years +) |  |  |
| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna) | +                              | ++  | ++                        | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would work towards<br>ensuring that the natural<br>environment is protected and any<br>new development and energy<br>production respects this. Policy | N/A  |

| B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of | the policies and sites withir | n the Neiahbourhood D | evelopment Plan |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
|   |                               |                       |                 |

|  |   |    |    | safeguards are included within the<br>policy to ensure that any<br>development does not impact<br>detrimentally on the character and<br>diversification and nature<br>conservation are taken into<br>consideration.  |     |
|--|---|----|----|--|-----|
| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes                            | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would lead to the<br>enhancement of landscapes and<br>townscapes. Policy safeguards are<br>included within the policy to ensure<br>landscape and townscape features<br>are taken into consideration when<br>energy production is concerned. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy.  | N/A |
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic<br>environment and<br>cultural heritage | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and see to enhance<br>surroundings with development in<br>line with the proportional growth<br>within the Core Strategy. Policy<br>safeguards are included within the   | N/A |

|  |   |    |    | policy to ensure historic and cultural<br>heritage features are taken into<br>consideration.  |     |
|--|---|----|----|---|-----|
| To improve air quality                                   | + | +  | +  | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. This could have a positive<br>effect on air quality if implemented<br>correctly over time.   | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of<br>traffic on the<br>environment | + | +  | +  | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy.   | N/A |
| To reduce contributions<br>to climate change             | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>contributions to climate change the<br>implementation of measures of<br>renewable energy will help to<br>protect the natural environment | N/A |

|  |   |   |   | mitigate against impacts.   |     |
|--|---|---|---|---|-----|
| To reduce vulnerability<br>to climate change             | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>contributions to climate change the<br>implementation of measures to<br>protect the natural environment<br>mitigate against impacts of energy<br>production. | N/A |
| To improve water quality                                 | X | X | X | N/A   | N/A |
| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | X | x | x | N/A   | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk                   | X | X | x | N/A   | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality                   | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with policy RA2<br>and proportional growth within the  | N/A |

| B3: Predict and evaluate the effects | of the policies and sites | within the Neighbourhood | Development Plan |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
|                                      |                           |                          |                  |

| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in contributions to climate change the implementation of measures of renewable energy will help to protect the natural environment mitigate against impacts. |   |   |  |     |
|---|--|---|---|--|-----|
| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources     | +  | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with policy RA2<br>and proportional growth within the<br>Core Strategy. | N/A |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                        |  |   |   |  |     |
| To improve health of the population                 |  |   |   |  |     |
| To minimise the production of waste                 |  |   |   |  |     |
|   |  |   |   | Core Strategy.   |     |

## Policy BGL6 Settlement boundaries

| SEA Objective   | Assessment of effect (consider<br>cumulative effects, significance of the<br>effect and magnitude of the effect in<br>terms of the three time periods) |    |    | Summary Explanation   | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|--|----|----|---|--|
|   | Short<br>term (1 -<br>5 years)Medium<br>termLong term<br>(11 years +)(6 - 10<br>years)(6 - 10<br>years)  |    |    |   |  |
| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna) | ++   | ++ | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity<br>of the rural environment and help to<br>guide the location of development<br>in the parish by defining a<br>settlement boundary. The policy<br>will have a positive impact on SEA<br>baseline data. | N/A                                      |
| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes               | ++   | ++ | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity<br>of the rural environment and help to<br>guide the location of development<br>in the parish by defining a<br>settlement boundary. The policy<br>will have a positive impact on SEA<br>baseline data. | N/A                                      |
| To improve quality of<br>surroundings   | ++   | ++ | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity<br>of the rural environment and help to<br>guide the location of development   | N/A                                      |

|  |    |    |    | in the parish by defining a<br>settlement boundary. The policy<br>will have a positive impact on SEA<br>baseline data.  |     |
|--|----|----|----|---|-----|
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic<br>environment and<br>cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity<br>of the rural environment and help to<br>guide the location of development<br>in the parish by defining a<br>settlement boundary. The policy<br>will have a positive impact on SEA<br>baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | +  | +  | +  | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment   | +  | +  | +  | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change  | +  | +  | +  | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change  | +  | +  | +  | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |

| To improve water quality                                 | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
|--|---|---|---|---|-----|
| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk                   | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality                   | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                      |   |   |   |   |     |
| To improve health of the population                      |   |   |   |   |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                             |   |   |   |   |     |
| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources          | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects | This policy seeks to guide the location of development by defining a clear settlement boundary for the parish by defining settlement boundaries for identified villages in the parish. This will protect the integrity of the rural environment but protecting the built form retaining open countryside. Development proposals will be supported when they do not give rise to significant adverse impacts on resources and fall within the designated boundary. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. |
|---|--|
|---|--|

### Policy BGL7 Housing mix

| SEA Objective   | cumulative<br>effect and n     | nt of effect (con<br>effects, significa<br>nagnitude of the<br>e three time perio | nce of the<br>effect in   | Summary Explanation Enhancemer<br>mitigation<br>opportunities   |     |
|---|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|-----|
|   | Short<br>term (1 –<br>5 years) | Medium<br>term<br>(6 – 10<br>years)   | Long term<br>(11 years +) |   |     |
| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna) | +                              | +   | +                         | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data relating to<br>development in line with<br>proportional growth requirements<br>and housing mix. | N/A |

| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes                            | + | + | ++ | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data relating to<br>development in line with<br>proportional growth requirements<br>and housing mix. | N/A |
|--|---|---|----|---|-----|
| To improve quality of surroundings   | + | + | ++ | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data relating to<br>development in line with<br>proportional growth requirements<br>and housing mix. | N/A |
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic<br>environment and<br>cultural heritage | + | + | ++ | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data relating to<br>development in line with<br>proportional growth requirements<br>and housing mix. | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | X | X | X  | N/A   | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment   | ? | + | +  | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data in the long run as<br>supporting policies will help to<br>manage traffic however uncertain in   | N/A |

| B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Developm | ient Plan |
|--|-----------|
|  |           |

|  |   |   |   | the shorter term until development is underway |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To reduce contributions to climate change                | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change                | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To improve water quality                                 | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk                   | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality                   | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                      |   |   |   |  |     |
| To improve health of the population                      |   |   |   |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                             |   |   |   |  |     |

| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources     | +  | + | + | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data | N/A |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|-----|--|
| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to the development of housing and the mix of housing required. |   |   |   |     |  |

### Policy BGL8: Community services and facilities

| SEA Objective   | cumulative<br>effect and n     | nt of effect (con<br>effects, significa<br>nagnitude of the<br>e three time perio | nce of the<br>effect in   | Summary Explanation  | Enhancement and<br>mitigation<br>opportunities |
|---|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|
|   | Short<br>term (1 –<br>5 years) | Medium<br>term<br>(6 – 10<br>years)   | Long term<br>(11 years +) |  |  |
| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna) | +                              | +   | ++                        | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data relating to the<br>protection of community facilities. | N/A  |

| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes                            | + | + | ++ | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data relating to the<br>protection of community facilities.  | N/A |
|--|---|---|----|---|-----|
| To improve quality of surroundings   | + | + | ++ | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data relating to the<br>protection of community facilities.  | N/A |
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic<br>environment and<br>cultural heritage | + | + | ++ | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data relating to the<br>protection of community facilities.  | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | + | + | +  | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data   | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of<br>traffic on the<br>environment   | + | + | +  | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data. Protecting existing<br>community facilities could help to<br>reduce vehicle use in travelling to<br>other places to find suitable<br>facilities. | N/A |

| To reduce contributions to climate change                | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data. | N/A |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change                | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve water quality                                 | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk                   | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality                   | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                      |   |   |   |  |     |
| To improve health of the population                      |   |   |   |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                             |   |   |   |  |     |

| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources     | +               | +  | + | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data. | N/A |  |  |
|---|-----------------|--|---|--|-----|--|--|
| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects | relating to the | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to the protection of community facilities, and the impact of traffic on the parish, there will be a presumption in favour community type uses. |   |  |     |  |  |

### **BGL9 Local Green Space**

| SEA Objective   | cumulative offect and m        | nt of effect (con<br>effects, significat<br>nagnitude of the<br>three time peric | nce of the<br>effect in   | mitigation<br>opportunitie   | Enhancement and<br>mitigation<br>opportunities |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|
|   | Short<br>term (1 –<br>5 years) | Medium<br>term<br>(6 – 10<br>years)  | Long term<br>(11 years +) |  |  |
| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna) | ++                             | ++   | ++                        | This policy seeks to protect integrity<br>of the rural environment and help to<br>guide the location of development<br>in the parish by defining local green<br>spaces. The policy will have a<br>positive impact on SEA baseline<br>data. | N/A  |

| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes                            | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity<br>of the rural environment and help to<br>guide the location of development<br>in the parish by defining local green<br>spaces. The policy will have a<br>positive impact on SEA baseline<br>data. | N/A |
|--|----|----|----|--|-----|
| To improve quality of surroundings   | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity<br>of the rural environment and help to<br>guide the location of development<br>in the parish by defining local green<br>spaces. The policy will have a<br>positive impact on SEA baseline<br>data. | N/A |
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic<br>environment and<br>cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity<br>of the rural environment and help to<br>guide the location of development<br>in the parish by defining local green<br>spaces. The policy will have a<br>positive impact on SEA baseline<br>data. | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | +  | +  | +  | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data.  | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment   | 0  | +  | +  | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data as  | N/A |

| To reduce contributions to climate change                | + | + | + | retaining local green spaces over<br>time could reduce traffic as people<br>will utilise local spaces more.<br>Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change                | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data.  | N/A |
| To improve water quality                                 | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk                   | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality                   | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                      |   |   |   |  |     |
| To improve health of the population                      |   |   |   |  |     |

| To reduce crime and nuisance                        |   |                   |   |   |     |
|---|---|-------------------|---|---|-----|
| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources     | + | +                 | + | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects |   | e rural environme |   | opment by defining local green spaces<br>g the countryside. The policy will have  |     |

### Policy BGL10: Small-scale employment development

| SEA Objective | cumulative<br>effect and r     | nt of effect (co<br>effects, signific<br>nagnitude of th<br>e three time pe | ance of the<br>le effect in | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and<br>mitigation<br>opportunities |
|---------------|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|
|               | Short<br>term (1 –<br>5 years) | Medium<br>term<br>(6 – 10<br>years)   | Long term<br>(11 years +)   |                     |  |

| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna)              | + | +  | +  | This Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy and will<br>have a positive impact towards<br>the SEA baseline data.        | N/A |
|--|---|----|----|--|-----|
| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes                            | + | +  | +  | This Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy and will<br>have a positive impact towards<br>the SEA baseline data.        | N/A |
| To improve quality of<br>surroundings  | + | +  | +  | This Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy and will<br>have a positive impact towards<br>the SEA baseline data.        | N/A |
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic<br>environment and<br>cultural heritage | + | +  | +  | This Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy and will<br>have a positive impact towards<br>the SEA baseline data.        | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | + | +  | +  | This Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy and will<br>have a positive impact towards<br>the SEA baseline data.        | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment   | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy and will<br>have a positive impact towards<br>the SEA baseline data through | N/A |

|  |   |   |   | the promotion of any<br>development not having any<br>adverse impact on the<br>residential amenity, in addition<br>car journeys may be reduced<br>but car parking should also be<br>accounted for. |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To reduce contributions to climate change                | X | x | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change                | X | x | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To improve water quality                                 | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk                   | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality                   | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                      |   |   |   |  |     |

| To improve health of the population                 |                               |                                       |                 |  |                                 |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--|---------------------------------|
| To reduce crime and nuisance                        |                               |                                       |                 |  |                                 |
| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources     | +                             | +                                     | +               | This Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy and will<br>have a positive impact towards<br>the SEA baseline data.                            | N/A                             |
| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects | baseline dat<br>residential a | a through the pi<br>menity, in additi | romotion of any | Strategy and will have a positive in<br>development not having any adve<br>s may be reduced but car parking s<br>uter traffic and the use of local wor | rse impact on the hould also be |

# Policy BGL11 Agricultural development

| SEA Objective | cumulative effects, significance of the<br>effect and magnitude of the effect in<br>terms of the three time periods) |                                     |                           | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and<br>mitigation<br>opportunities |
|---------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--|
|               | Short<br>term (1 –<br>5 years)   | Medium<br>term<br>(6 – 10<br>years) | Long term<br>(11 years +) |                     |  |

| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna) | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would work towards<br>ensuring that sustainability<br>measures are included in agricultral<br>development. Policy safeguards<br>are included within the policy to<br>ensure that any development does<br>not impact detrimentally on the<br>character and diversification and<br>nature conservation are taken into<br>consideration. | N/A |
|---|----|----|----|--|-----|
| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes               | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would lead to the<br>enhancement of landscapes and<br>townscapes. Policy safeguards are<br>included within the policy to ensure<br>landscape and townscape features<br>are taken into consideration.  | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings  | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy.  | N/A |
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic                            | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and see to enhance  | N/A |

| environment and<br>cultural heritage                     |   |   |   | surroundings with agricultural<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. Policy safeguards are<br>included within the policy to ensure<br>historic and cultural heritage<br>features are taken into<br>consideration.  |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To improve air quality                                   | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. This could have a positive<br>effect on air quality if implemented<br>correctly over time.  | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of<br>traffic on the<br>environment | + | ÷ | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>traffic however this would be<br>balanced by the implementation of<br>sustainable development and<br>measures to mitigate against<br>impacts. | N/A |

| To reduce contributions<br>to climate change | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>contributions to climate change but<br>sustainable development will help<br>to ensure the impact from the start<br>of any development is working   | N/A |
|--|---|---|---|---|-----|
| To reduce vulnerability<br>to climate change | + | + | ÷ | towards reducing any impacts.<br>This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>contributions to climate change but<br>sustainable development will help<br>to ensure the impact from the start<br>of any development is working<br>towards reducing any impacts from<br>agriculture. | N/A |
| To improve water<br>quality                  | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with   | N/A |

|  |   |   |   | development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>contributions to climate change but<br>sustainable development will help<br>to ensure the impact from the start<br>of any development is working<br>towards reducing any impacts.   |     |
|--|---|---|---|---|-----|
| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | ÷ | ÷ | ÷ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. Sustainable development<br>will help to ensure the impact from<br>any development is working<br>towards reducing any impacts and<br>supplying sustainable water<br>sources. | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk                   | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. Sustainable development<br>will help to ensure the impact from<br>surface water.  | N/A |

| To conserve soil resources and quality              | +   | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with policy RA2<br>and proportional growth within the<br>Core Strategy. | N/A |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|-----|--|
| To minimise the production of waste                 |   |   |   |  |     |  |
| To improve health of the population                 |   |   |   |  |     |  |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                        |   |   |   |  |     |  |
| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources     | +   | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with policy RA2<br>and proportional growth within the<br>Core Strategy. | N/A |  |
| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings through sustainable agricultural development in line with the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in traffic however this would be balanced by the implementation of sustainable measures to mitigate against impacts as with the impact on flooding and enhancement of the rural character of the area. |   |   |  |     |  |

Key:

| + + Move<br>towards<br>significantly                       | + Move to<br>margina   |   | <ul> <li>Move awa<br/>Significar</li> </ul>    | -  | ove away<br>arginally           | 0 Neutra  | al  | ? Uncertain   |                                      | o<br>elationship  |  |  |  |  |   |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|---------------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| SEA Objective<br>Objective /<br>Policy                     | 1. To<br>maintain<br>and<br>enhance<br>nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity,<br>flora and<br>fauna) | 2. To<br>maintain<br>and<br>enhance<br>the quality<br>of<br>landscapes<br>and<br>townscapes | 3. To<br>improve<br>quality of<br>surroundings | 4. To<br>conserve<br>and where<br>appropriate<br>enhance the<br>historic<br>environment<br>and culture<br>heritage | 5. To<br>improve air<br>quality | 6. To<br>reduce the<br>effect of<br>traffic on the<br>environment | 7. To reduce<br>contributions<br>to climate<br>change | 8. To reduce<br>vulnerability<br>to climate<br>change | 9. To<br>improve<br>water<br>quality | 10. To<br>provide for<br>sustainable<br>sources of<br>water<br>supply | 11. To<br>avoid,<br>reduce and<br>manage<br>flood risk | 12. To<br>conserve<br>soil<br>resources<br>and quality | 13. To<br>minimise the<br>production of<br>waste | 14. To<br>improve<br>health of the<br>population | 15. To<br>reduce<br>crime and<br>nuisance | 16. To<br>conserve<br>natural<br>and<br>manmade<br>resources |
| Objective 1 –<br>Environment and<br>Heritage               | ++   | ++  | ++   | ++   | +                               | +   | +   | 0   | 0                                    | X   | +  | +  | X  | ++   | 0   | ++   |
| Objective 2 -<br>Housing                                   | 0  | ++  | +  | +  | X                               | x   | X   | X   | X                                    | 0   | X  | X  | ?  | +  | 0   | +  |
| Objective 3 –<br>Infrastructure and<br>Roads               | 0  | +   | ++   | 0  | +                               | ++  | +   | 0   | +                                    | 0   | +  | +  | 0  | +  | +   | +  |
| Objective 4 –<br>Community<br>Services and<br>Facilities   | 0  | +   | ++   | ++   | X                               | +   | 0   | 0   | X                                    | X   | X  | +  | 0  | ++   | ++  | +  |
| Objective 5 –<br>Economic<br>Development and<br>Employment | X  | X   | +  | ++   | +                               | +   | X   | X   | X                                    | X   | X  | X  | ?  | X  | X   | +  |
| Policy BGL1:<br>Sustainable<br>development                 | ++   | ++  | ++   | ++   | +                               | ++  | +   | +   | +                                    | +   | Х  | +  |  |  |   | +  |
| Policy BGL2:<br>Natural<br>environment                     | ++   | ++  | ++   | ++   | +                               | +   | +   | +   | +                                    | +   | +  | ++   |  |  |   | ++   |
| Policy BGL3:<br>Historic<br>Environment                    | +  | ++  | ++   | ++   | X                               | x   | x   | X   | X                                    | x   | Х  | х  |  |  |   | X  |
| Policy BGL4:<br>Building design                            | ++   | ++  | ++   | +  | ++                              | +   | ++  | ++  | ++                                   | ++  | X  | +  |  |  |   | ++   |

| Policy BGL5:<br>Renewable and low<br>carbon energy           | ++          | ++              | ++              | ++ | ++          | +  | + | ++                               | x | x | x | +  |  |
|--|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|----|-------------|----|---|----------------------------------|---|---|---|----|--|
| Policy BGL6<br>Settlement<br>boundaries                      | ++          | ++              | ++              | ++ | +           | +  | + | +                                | + | + | + | ++ |  |
| Policy BGL7<br>Housing mix                                   | +           | ++              | ++              | ++ | X           | ?  | X | X                                | X | X | Х | X  |  |
| Policy BGL8:<br>Community<br>services and<br>facilities      | +           | +               | ++              | ++ | +           | +  | + | +                                | X | X | X | X  |  |
| BGL9 Local Green<br>Space                                    | ++          | ++              | ++              | ++ | +           | 0  | + | +                                | 0 | 0 | X | ++ |  |
| Policy BGL10:<br>Small-scale<br>employment<br>development    | ++          | ++              | ++              | ++ | +           | ++ | X | X                                | X | X | X | +  |  |
| Policy BGL11<br>Agricultural<br>development                  | ++          | ++              | ++              | ++ | +           | +  | + | +                                | + | + | + | +  |  |
| Summary of effects<br>of whole plan on<br>each SEA Objective | ++          | ++              | ++              | ++ | +           | +  | + | +                                | + | + | + | +  |  |
| Cumulative effects of<br>whole plan (1 + 2 +<br>3)           | Strategy ov | verall an all c | of the policies |    | es meet the | -  | - | ave an overall<br>ts for the pur |   |   |   | -  |  |
| Commentary for<br>significant<br>cumulative effects          | this may re | • •             | enhancement     | -  | -           | -  |   | ta. Key recon<br>er resources.   |   |   |   |    |  |



# Appendix 5

Appendix 5 – Linton (Reg 14) Options Considered October 2019

Option 1: not to prepare a Neighbourhood Development Plan, relying instead on the Core Strategy and the Rural Areas Sites Allocations DPD

Option 2: use a criteria-based Plan incorporating a general development strategy

Option 3: allocate sites to address the minimum housing requirement

# Option 4: define settlement boundaries for Bromsash, Gorsley and Linton without allocating sites

Option 5: define settlement boundary for Bromsash, Gorsley and Linton and allocate sites in or adjacent to these villages

# Appendix 6

# Consultation date: 11 November to 6 January 2020

Consultation title: Linton Parish Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14

N.B. This consultation feedback is only for comments received on the SEA of the draft Neighbourhood Development Plan

| Consultee                  | Summary of Comments                           | Re |
|----------------------------|---|----|
| Natural England            | No comments received                          |    |
| Historic England           | Comments received but not specific to the SEA |    |
| Environment<br>Agency      | No comments received                          |    |
| Natural Resources<br>Wales | No comments received                          |    |

#### Response to Comments

g team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part. Page **1** of **1** 

# Appendix 7

| Objectives verses<br>SEA Objectives<br>(SMART and<br>Compatibility Test) |                                    |                               |  |  |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| SEA Stage B1   | Key:                               | SMART criteria:               |  | The following matrix appraises the                           |
| + =/++   | Compatible/very comp               | S – Specific:                 | NDP objectives should specify what is intended to be done in detail and should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations   | submission Linton Parish<br>(Bromsash, Gorsley and Linton)   |
| - =  | Possible conflict                  | M – Measurable:               | It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited resource implications.                             | NDP Objectives in terms of their<br>SMART criteria and their |
| 0 =  | Neutral                            | A –<br>Attainable/achievable: | NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale of growth proposed   | compatibility with the SEA<br>Objectives. These have been    |
| X =  | No relationship between objectives | R – Realistic:                | NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes.  | developed from Government guidance on SEA and from the       |
| ? =  | Unclear, more information needed   | T – Time-Bound:               | Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should specify when the target should be achieved. | local evidence base gathered for identifying the NDP issues. |

| NDP Policies                                |    |    |    |    |    |    | S  | EA ol | bjecti | ves |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |  |   |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------|--------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|--|---|
|   | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8     | 9      | 10  | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | Summary in relation to baseline   | Overall commentary and any initial cumulative effects/ Recommendations   | Conformity with<br>Core Strategy  |
| Baseline                                    | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8     | 9      | 10  | 11 | 12 | X  | x  | X  | 16 |   |  |   |
| Policies                                    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |       |        |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |  |   |
| Policy BGL 1:<br>Sustainable<br>Development | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | ++ | +  | +     | +      | +   | x  | +  |    |    |    | +  | Overall this policy has mainly a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant.                                 | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it<br>will help to guide development. It will not lead<br>directly to development but indicated criteria<br>proposals for sustainable development and any<br>further environmental baseline statistics can be<br>suitably addressed through supporting policies.   | This policy meets<br>the Core Strategy<br>requirements for<br>the purposes of<br>the SEA. |
| Policy BGL3:<br>Historic<br>Environment     | +  | ++ | ++ | ++ | x  | x  | x  | x     | x      | x   | x  | x  |    |    |    | x  | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it<br>will help to guide conservations of the historic<br>environment in the parish. Additional safeguards<br>existing both within the Core Strategy and the<br>NDP to address other SEA objectives  | This policy meets<br>the Core Strategy<br>requirements for<br>the purposes of<br>the SEA. |
| Policy BGL4:<br>Building design             | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | ++ | +  | ++ | ++    | ++     | ++  | x  | +  |    |    |    | ++ | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it<br>will help to guide building design and addresses<br>environmental issues through design guidance. It<br>will not lead directly to development but indicated<br>criteria proposals for sustainable development<br>and any further baseline statistics can be suitably<br>addressed through supporting policies. | This policy meets<br>the Core Strategy<br>requirements for<br>the purposes of<br>the SEA. |

# D2: assessment the amended NDP polices (Reg16)

| Policy BGL8:<br>Community<br>services and<br>facilities | +  | +  | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | х | x | x | x  |  | +  | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data.       | Overall the policy is positive as it will encourage<br>the continuing use and enhancement of existing<br>facilities which will help to retain the village<br>character, heritage and environment. It will also<br>reduce the impact of climate change by reducing<br>the need to travel by car to other facilities.  | This policy meets<br>the Core Strategy<br>requirements for<br>the purposes of<br>the SEA. |
|---|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|--|----|--|--|---|
| BGL9 Local Green<br>Space                               | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | x | ++ |  | ++ | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA<br>baseline and has a positive impact on the<br>baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it<br>will help to guide location of development in the<br>parish. This policy is concerned within the<br>safeguarding of features of the natural<br>environment, mainly open spaces in the parish.<br>Additional safeguards existing both within the<br>Core Strategy and the NDP to address other SEA<br>objectives. | This policy meets<br>the Core Strategy<br>requirements for<br>the purposes of<br>the SEA. |

Key:

| ++ Move       | + Move towards | Move away     | - Move away | 0 Neutral | ? Uncertain | N/A No       |
|---------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| towards       | Marginally     | significantly | marginally  |           |             | relationship |
| significantly |                |               |             |           |             |              |

# Policy BGL1: Sustainable development

| SEA Objective   | cumulative of effect and m     | nt of effect (con<br>effects, significat<br>nagnitude of the<br>three time peric | nce of the<br>effect in   | Summary Explanation  | Enhancement and<br>mitigation<br>opportunities |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|
|   | Short<br>term (1 –<br>5 years) | Medium<br>term<br>(6 – 10<br>years)  | Long term<br>(11 years +) |  |  |
| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna) | ++                             | ++   | ++                        | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would work towards<br>ensuring that sustainability<br>measures are included in new<br>development. Policy safeguards<br>are included within the policy to<br>ensure that any development does<br>not impact detrimentally on the<br>character and diversification and<br>nature conservation are taken into<br>consideration. | N/A  |

| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes                            | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would lead to the<br>enhancement of landscapes and<br>townscapes. Policy safeguards are<br>included within the policy to ensure<br>landscape and townscape features<br>are taken into consideration.  | N/A |
|--|----|----|----|--|-----|
| To improve quality of surroundings   | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy.  | N/A |
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic<br>environment and<br>cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and see to enhance<br>surroundings with development in<br>line with the proportional growth<br>within the Core Strategy. Policy<br>safeguards are included within the<br>policy to ensure historic and cultural<br>heritage features are taken into<br>consideration. | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | +  | +  | +  | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core  | N/A |

| D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission | policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan |
|--|--|
|  |  |

| To reduce the effect of                      |   |   |   | Strategy. This could have a positive<br>effect on air quality if implemented<br>correctly over time.   | N/A |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| traffic on the<br>environment                | + | + | + | the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>traffic however this would be<br>balanced by the implementation of<br>sustainable development and<br>measures to mitigate against<br>impacts.  |     |
| To reduce contributions<br>to climate change | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>contributions to climate change but<br>sustainable development will help<br>to ensure the impact from the start<br>of any development is working<br>towards reducing any impacts. | N/A |

| To reduce vulnerability<br>to climate change             | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>contributions to climate change but<br>sustainable development will help<br>to ensure the impact from the start<br>of any development is working<br>towards reducing any impacts. | N/A |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To improve water<br>quality                              | + | + | ÷ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. With development there<br>will be some margin increase in<br>contributions to climate change but<br>sustainable development will help<br>to ensure the impact from the start<br>of any development is working<br>towards reducing any impacts. | N/A |
| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the  | N/A |

|   |   |   |   | proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy. Sustainable development<br>will help to ensure the impact from<br>any development is working<br>towards reducing any impacts and<br>supplying sustainable water<br>sources.           |     |
|---|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk          | X | X | x | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality          | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with policy RA2<br>and proportional growth within the<br>Core Strategy. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste             |   |   |   |  |     |
| To improve health of the population             |   |   |   |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                    |   |   |   |  |     |
| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with policy RA2   | N/A |

|   |  |   |   | and proportional growth within the Core Strategy.   |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects | surroundings<br>With develop<br>implementation | through sustainat<br>ment there will be | ble development<br>some margin in<br>measures to miti | ategy in terms of SEA objectives and w<br>t in line with the proportional growth wit<br>acrease in traffic however this would be<br>igate against impacts as with the impact. | thin the Core Strategy.<br>balanced by the |  |

#### **Policy BGL3: Historic Environment**

| SEA Objective   | Assessment of effect (consider<br>cumulative effects, significance of t<br>effect and magnitude of the effect in<br>terms of the three time periods)ShortMedium<br>termLong<br>(11 yer) |                   |   | Summary Explanation   | Enhancement and<br>mitigation<br>opportunities |
|---|---|-------------------|---|---|--|
|   | 5 years)  | (6 – 10<br>years) |   |   |  |
| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna) | +   | +                 | + | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would work towards<br>ensuring that the natural<br>environment is protected and any<br>new development respects this.<br>Policy safeguards are included<br>within the policy to ensure that any<br>development does not impact | N/A  |

|  |    |    |    | detrimentally on the character and<br>diversification and nature<br>conservation are taken into<br>consideration.  |     |
|--|----|----|----|--|-----|
| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes                            | +  | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would lead to the<br>enhancement of landscapes and<br>townscapes. Policy safeguards are<br>included within the policy to ensure<br>landscape and townscape features<br>are taken into consideration.  | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | +  | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and would only see to<br>enhance surroundings with<br>development in line with the<br>proportional growth within the Core<br>Strategy.  | N/A |
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic<br>environment and<br>cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy in terms of SEA<br>objectives and see to enhance<br>surroundings with development in<br>line with the proportional growth<br>within the Core Strategy. Policy<br>safeguards are included within the<br>policy to ensure historic and cultural<br>heritage features are taken into | N/A |

|  |   |   |   | consideration. |     |
|--|---|---|---|----------------|-----|
| To improve air quality                                   | X | X | X | N/A            | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of<br>traffic on the<br>environment | X | X | X | N/A            | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change                | X | X | X | N/A            | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change                | X | X | X | N/A            | N/A |
| To improve water quality                                 | x | X | X | N/A            | N/A |
| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | X | X | X | N/A            | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk                   | X | X | X | N/A            | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality                   | X | X | X | N/A            | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                      |   |   |   |                |     |

| To improve health of the population                 |              |   |                    |   |     |
|---|--------------|---|--------------------|---|-----|
| To reduce crime and nuisance                        |              |   |                    |   |     |
| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources     | X            | X | X                  | N/A   | N/A |
| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects | SEA baseline |   | / will have partic | ore Strategy. The policy would have a<br>ular positive impacts the area in regard |     |

### Policy BGL4: Building design

| SEA Objective | cumulative<br>effect and r     | nt of effect (cor<br>effects, significa<br>nagnitude of the<br>e three time peri | ance of the<br>e effect in | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and<br>mitigation<br>opportunities |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|--|
|               | Short<br>term (1 –<br>5 years) | Medium<br>term<br>(6 – 10<br>years)  | Long term<br>(11 years +)  |                     |  |
| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna)              | +  | ++ | ++ | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.<br>Building design will incorporate<br>sustainable measures to ensure the<br>least impact on the natural<br>environment.  | N/A |
|--|----|----|----|--|-----|
| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes                            | +  | ++ | ++ | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.<br>Policy safeguards within the Core<br>Strategy and the Neighbourhood<br>Plans are in place to avoid or<br>mitigate against impacts on the<br>environment and landscape setting.           | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | +  | ++ | ++ | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.<br>Policy safeguards within the Core<br>Strategy and the Neighbourhood<br>Plans are in place to avoid or<br>improve the quality of surroundings.  | N/A |
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic<br>environment and<br>cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.<br>Policy safeguards within the Core<br>Strategy and the Neighbourhood<br>Plan to avoid or mitigate against<br>impacts on the historic environment<br>and or cultural heritage directly and | N/A |

| D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission | policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan |
|--|--|
|  |  |

|  |   |   |   | to help to improve the quality of the surroundings.  |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To improve air quality                                   | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment       | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change                | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change                | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve water<br>quality                              | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk                   | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality                   | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |

| To minimise the production of waste                 |   |   |   |   |     |
|---|---|---|---|---|-----|
| To improve health of the population                 |   |   |   |   |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                        |   |   |   |   |     |
| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources     | +   | + | + | This policy is not above the Core<br>Strategy and will have a positive<br>impact on the SEA baseline data.<br>Policy safeguards are in place to<br>mitigate against impacts on the<br>historic environment. | N/A |
| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to the development of sustainable measures and environmental factors through development. |   |   |   |     |

## Policy BGL8: Community services and facilities

| SEA Objective | cumulative offect and m | nt of effect (con<br>effects, significat<br>nagnitude of the<br>e three time perio | nce of the<br>effect in | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and<br>mitigation<br>opportunities |
|---------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------|--|
|               | Short                   | Medium   | Long term               |                     |  |

|  | <b>term</b> (1 –<br>5 years) | <b>term</b><br>(6 – 10<br>years) | (11 years +) |  |     |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|--|-----|
| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna)              | +                            | +                                | ++           | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data relating to the<br>protection of community facilities. | N/A |
| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes                            | +                            | +                                | ++           | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data relating to the<br>protection of community facilities. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | +                            | +                                | ++           | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data relating to the<br>protection of community facilities. | N/A |
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic<br>environment and<br>cultural heritage | +                            | +                                | ++           | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data relating to the<br>protection of community facilities. | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | +                            | +                                | +            | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA   | N/A |

| D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission | policies within the | Neighbourhood De | velopment Plan |
|--|---------------------|------------------|----------------|
|  |                     |                  |                |

|  |   |   |   | baseline data   |     |
|--|---|---|---|---|-----|
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment       | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data. Protecting existing<br>community facilities could help to<br>reduce vehicle use in travelling to<br>other places to find suitable<br>facilities. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change                | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data.  | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change                | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data.  | N/A |
| To improve water quality                                 | X | X | x | N/A   | N/A |
| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | X | X | X | N/A   | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk                   | X | x | x | N/A   | N/A |

| D3: Predict and evaluate the effects | of the submission | policies within the | Neighbourhood | Development Plan |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------|
|                                      |                   |                     |               |                  |

| To conserve soil resources and quality              | X  | X | X | N/A  | N/A |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|-----|--|
| To minimise the production of waste                 |  |   |   |  |     |  |
| To improve health of the population                 |  |   |   |  |     |  |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                        |  |   |   |  |     |  |
| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources     | +  | + | + | This policy is not over and above<br>the Core Strategy and has a<br>positive impact on the SEA<br>baseline data. | N/A |  |
| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to the protection of community facilities, and the impact of traffic on the parish, there will be a presumption in favour community type uses. |   |   |  |     |  |

## **BGL9 Local Green Space**

| SEA Objective | cumulative<br>effect and r | nt of effect (c<br>effects, signifi<br>nagnitude of t<br>e three time pe | cance of the<br>he effect in | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and<br>mitigation<br>opportunities |
|---------------|----------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------|--|
|               | Short<br>term (1 –         | Medium<br>term   | Long term<br>(11 years +)    |                     |  |

|   | 5 years) | (6 – 10<br>years) |    |  |     |
|---|----------|-------------------|----|--|-----|
| To maintain and<br>enhance nature<br>conservation<br>(biodiversity, flora and<br>fauna) | ++       | ++                | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity<br>of the rural environment and help to<br>guide the location of development<br>in the parish by defining local green<br>spaces. The policy will have a<br>positive impact on SEA baseline<br>data. | N/A |
| To maintain and<br>enhance the quality of<br>landscapes and<br>townscapes               | ++       | ++                | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity<br>of the rural environment and help to<br>guide the location of development<br>in the parish by defining local green<br>spaces. The policy will have a<br>positive impact on SEA baseline<br>data. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings  | ++       | ++                | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity<br>of the rural environment and help to<br>guide the location of development<br>in the parish by defining local green<br>spaces. The policy will have a<br>positive impact on SEA baseline<br>data. | N/A |
| To conserve and where<br>appropriate enhance<br>the historic<br>environment and         | ++       | ++                | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity<br>of the rural environment and help to<br>guide the location of development<br>in the parish by defining local green<br>spaces. The policy will have a   | N/A |

| D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission | policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan |
|--|--|
|  |  |

| cultural heritage  |   |   |   | positive impact on SEA baseline data.  |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To improve air quality                                   | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data.  | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment       | 0 | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data as<br>retaining local green spaces over<br>time could reduce traffic as people<br>will utilise local spaces more. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change                | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data.  | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change                | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data.  | N/A |
| To improve water quality                                 | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To provide for<br>sustainable sources of<br>water supply | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |

| D3: Predict and evaluate the effects | of the submission policies within | the Neighbourhood Development Plan |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                                      |                                   |                                    |

| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk              | X | X                 | X | N/A   | N/A |
|---|---|-------------------|---|---|-----|
| To conserve soil resources and quality              | X | X                 | X | N/A   | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                 |   |                   |   |   |     |
| To improve health of the population                 |   |                   |   |   |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                        |   |                   |   |   |     |
| To conserve natural<br>and manmade<br>resources     | + | +                 | + | Overall this Policy is not over and<br>above the Core Strategy. The<br>policy would have a positive impact<br>on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| Overall commentary<br>and any cumulative<br>effects |   | e rural environme |   | elopment by defining local green spaces<br>ng the countryside. The policy will have   |     |

## Appendix 8

1

| Objectives and context  | Where are the points covered in<br>Neighbourhood Development Plan<br>SEA |
|---|--|
| <ul> <li>The Neighbourhood Development<br/>Plan's purpose and objectives are made<br/>clear.</li> </ul>   | Chapter 1.6 – 1.10   |
| • The Neighbourhood Area's<br>environmental issues and constraints,<br>including acknowledgement of those in<br>the Local Plan (Core Strategy) SA, where<br>relevant, and local environmental<br>protection objectives, are considered in<br>developing objectives and targets. | Chapter 2 methodology, chapter 3 and tables A2 and A3                    |
| <ul> <li>SEA objectives are clearly set out and<br/>linked to indicators and targets where<br/>appropriate.</li> </ul>  | Chapter 3 paragraph 3.5 and 3.7  |
| • Links with other locally related plans,<br>programmes and policies are identified,<br>explained and acknowledgement for<br>those set out in the SA of the Local Plan<br>(Core Strategy) is given, where relevant.   | Chapter 3, paragraph 3.1   |
| • Conflicts that exist between SEA<br>objectives; between SEA and<br>Neighbourhood Development Plan<br>objectives; and between SEA objectives<br>and other local plan objectives are<br>identified and described.   | Chapter 3  |
| Scoping   |  |
| • Statutory Consultees_are consulted in appropriate ways and at appropriate times on the content and scope of the Environmental Report.   | Chapter 2, paragraphs 2.6-2.9  |
| <ul> <li>The assessment focuses on significant issues.</li> </ul>   | Chapter 2 and chapter 4  |
| • Technical, procedural and other<br>difficulties encountered are discussed;<br>assumptions and uncertainties are made<br>explicit.   | Chapter 2  |
| <ul> <li>Reasons are given for eliminating<br/>issues from further consideration.</li> </ul>  | Chapter 2, chapter 4 and chapter 5                                       |
|   |  |

| Alternatives  |   |
|---|---|
| • Realistic alternatives are considered for key issues, and the reasons for choosing them are documented.   | Chapter 4 and chapter 5                   |
| • Alternatives include 'do minimum'<br>and/or 'business as usual' scenarios<br>wherever relevant.   | Paragraph 5.4                             |
| • The environmental effects (both adverse and beneficial) of each alternative are identified and compared.  | Chapter 5                                 |
| • Inconsistencies between the alternatives and other relevant local plans, programmes or policies are identified and explained.   | Chapter 5                                 |
| <ul> <li>Reasons are given for selection or<br/>elimination of alternatives.</li> </ul>   | Chapter 5                                 |
| Baseline information  |   |
| • Relevant aspects of the current state of<br>the local, neighbourhood area<br>environment and their likely evolution<br>without the Neighbourhood Development<br>Plan are described. Acknowledgement to<br>the information in the SA of the Local<br>Plan (Core Strategy) is given, where<br>relevant.   | Chapter 1, Chapter 3                      |
| • Environmental characteristics of the<br>local, neighbourhood area, likely to be<br>significantly affected are described,<br>including areas wider than the physical<br>boundary of the designated<br>neighbourhood area, where it is likely to<br>be affected by the Neighbourhood<br>Development Plan. | Initial screening report and Chapter<br>1 |
| • Difficulties such as deficiencies in information or methods are explained.  | Chapter 2                                 |
| Prediction and evaluation of likely significant environmental effects   |   |
| • Effects identified include the types listed<br>in the Directive (biodiversity, population,<br>human health, fauna, flora, soil, water,<br>air, climate factors, material assets,<br>cultural heritage and landscape), as<br>relevant; and other local likely  | Tables A2, A3 and A4                      |

| environmental effects are also covered, as appropriate.  |                                   |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| • Both positive and negative effects are considered, and the duration of effects (short, medium or long-term) is addressed.  | Tables B2 and B3, Table D2 and D3 |
| • Likely secondary, cumulative (growing<br>in quantity and strength) and synergistic<br>(acting together) effects are identified,<br>where practicable.                  | Table B4                          |
| • Inter-relationships between effects are considered, where practicable.   | Chapter 5, chapter 6              |
| • The prediction and evaluation of effects<br>makes use of relevant accepted<br>standards, regulations, and thresholds<br>(i.e. data gathered for the evidence<br>base). | Chapter 5, chapter 6              |
| • Methods used to evaluate the effects are described.  | Chapter 2                         |
| Mitigation measures  |                                   |
| • Measures envisaged to prevent, reduce<br>and offset any significant adverse effects<br>of implementing the Neighbourhood<br>Development Plan are indicated.            | Chapter 6, paragraph 6.6 – 6.9    |
| • Issues to be taken into account when determining planning applications or other projects, for example funding bids, are identified.                                    | Chapter 6                         |
| The Environmental Report   |                                   |
| <ul> <li>Is clear and concise in its layout and presentation.</li> </ul>   | -                                 |
| • Uses simple, clear language and avoids or explains technical terms.  | -                                 |
| <ul> <li>Uses maps and other illustrations,<br/>where appropriate.</li> </ul>  | -<br>Chapter 2                    |
| • Explains the methodology used.   |                                   |
| • Explains who was consulted and what methods of consultation were used.   | Paragraph 2.6-2.9                 |
| <ul> <li>Identifies sources of information,<br/>including expert judgement and matters</li> </ul>  | Paragraph 2.8-2.9                 |

| of opinion.  |                                    |
|--|------------------------------------|
| • Contains a non-technical summary<br>covering the overall approach to the<br>SEA, the objectives of the<br>Neighbourhood Development Plan, the<br>main options considered, and any<br>changes to the Neighbourhood<br>Development Plan resulting from the<br>SEA.                         | Page 1 / Paragraph 1.8 / Chapter 6 |
| Consultation   |                                    |
| <ul> <li>The SEA is consulted on as an integral part of the plan-making process of the Neighbourhood Development Plan.</li> <li>Consultation Bodies and the public likely to be affected by, or having an integration of the plane.</li> </ul>   | Paragraph 2.6-2.9                  |
| interest in, the Neighbourhood<br>Development Plan are consulted in ways<br>and at times, which give them an early<br>and effective opportunity within<br>appropriate time frames to express their<br>opinions on the draft Neighbourhood<br>Development Plan and Environmental<br>Report. | Chapter 8                          |
| Decision-making and information on the decision  |                                    |
| • The environmental report and the opinions of those consulted are taken into account in finalising and adopting the Neighbourhood Development Plan.   | Chapter 8                          |
| <ul> <li>An explanation is given of how they<br/>have been taken into account.</li> </ul>  | Following draft consultation       |
| • Reasons are given for choosing the<br>Neighbourhood Development Plan as<br>adopted, in the light of other reasonable<br>alternatives considered.   | Following draft consultation       |
| Monitoring measures  |                                    |
| • Measures proposed for monitoring the<br>Neighbourhood Development Plan are<br>clear, practicable and linked to the<br>indicators and objectives used in the<br>SEA.  | Chapter 7                          |
| • Monitoring is used, where appropriate,   |                                    |
| Neighbourhood Development Plan are<br>clear, practicable and linked to the<br>indicators and objectives used in the  | Chapter 7                          |

| during implementation of the<br>Neighbourhood Development Plan to<br>make good deficiencies in baseline<br>information in the SEA.   | Chapter 7 |
|--|-----------|
| • Acknowledgement that monitoring<br>enables unforeseen adverse effects to be<br>identified at an early stage. (These<br>effects may include predictions which<br>prove to be incorrect.) And that | Chapter 7 |
| • Proposals are made for action in response to significant adverse effects arising from the monitoring of the Neighbourhood Development Plan.  | Chapter 7 |