

Bredenbury Neighbourhood Plan – review of representations made to Regulation 16 consultation

Organisation	Policy / issue	Steering Group response
Herefordshire Council (Andrew Turner – service area not specified)	Policy BW&GB4 – site 2	Repeats representations made at Reg 14 stage as addressed in Consultation Statement (p51).
Herefordshire Council (Building Conservation)	Policy BW&GB11.	The section of the Policy referred to in the representation applies to development proposals.
Herefordshire Council (Environmental Health)	No specific comments.	Noted.
Herefordshire Council (Strategic Planning)	BW&GB3 (para 4.2.6)	Agree that both Core Strategy Policies H2 and RA3 are the key policies in relation to Rural Exception Sites.
	BW&GB5	Repeats representations made at Reg 14 stage as addressed in Consultation Statement (p48).
	BW&GB7	Repeats representations made at Reg 14 stage as addressed in Consultation Statement (p48).
	BW&GB8	Repeats representations made at Reg 14 stage as addressed in Consultation Statement (p48).
	BW&GB11	Repeats representations made at Reg 14 stage as addressed in Consultation Statement (p49).
	BW&GB15	Repeats representations made at Reg 14 stage as addressed in Consultation Statement (p49).
	BW&GB18	Repeats representations made at Reg 14 stage as addressed in Consultation Statement (p50).
	BW&GB19	Repeats representations made at Reg 14 stage as addressed in Consultation Statement (p50).
	BW&GB20	Repeats representations made at Reg 14 stage as addressed in Consultation Statement (p50).
Coal Authority	No comments.	Noted.
Dwr Cymru Welsh Water	Commented at Reg 14 Stage and no further comments.	Noted.

Organisation	Policy / issue	Steering Group response
Historic England	Commented at Reg 14 Stage and no further comments.	Noted.
National Grid	No comments.	Noted.
Simon Hale	Settlement boundary – land at Harp Lane.	<p>Comments made at Reg 14 stage questioned the reasoning for the inclusion of this site within the settlement boundary and suggested it should not be developed. The PC disagreed and the detailed response is included in the Consultation Statement (page 43).</p> <p>The Reg 16 consultation response also suggests the site has a drainage function and cannot be developed. We are not aware of any insurmountable physical constraints associated with the site if it were to come forward for development. Any specific issues including addressing drainage infrastructure would need to be addressed via a planning application. In any case, the site is not specifically allocated for development and so is not critical to the delivery of the Neighbourhood Plan housing target.</p>