


Environmental Report

Report for:

Dilwyn Neighbourhood Area

January 2019



Dilwyn Parish Environmental Report

Contents

Non-technical summary

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Methodology
- 3.0 The SEA Framework
- 4.0 Appraisal of Objectives
- 5.0 Appraisal of Options
- 6.0 Appraisal of Policies
- 7.0 Implementation and monitoring
- 8.0 Next steps

Appendix 1: Initial SEA Screening Report

Appendix 2: SEA Scoping Report incorporating Tasks A1, A2, A3 and A4

Appendix 3: SEA Scoping Report Consultation Responses -Natural England and English Heritage

Appendix 4: SEA Stage B incorporating Tasks B1, B2, B3 and B4

Appendix 5: Options Considered

Appendix 6: Environmental Report checklist

Appendix 7: Feedback of Draft Environmental Report consultation (D1)

Appendix 8: Screening of amended policies (D2 and D3)

Non-technical summary

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) is an important part of the evidence base which underpins Neighbourhood Development Plans (NDP), as it is a systematic decision support process, aiming to ensure that environmental assets, including those whose importance transcends local, regional and national interests, are considered effectively in plan making.

Dilwyn Parish has undertaken to prepare an NDP and this process has been subject to environmental appraisal pursuant to the SEA Directive.

The Parish of Dilwyn covers a rural area within the north-west of the County of Herefordshire, 6 miles to the west of Leominster, and 13 miles north of Hereford. The parish sits immediately to the south of the A4112 which links Leominster to the Welsh Border close to Hay-on-Wye. The A49 runs through Leominster and there is also a railway station.

The draft Dilwyn Draft NDP includes 6 main objectives and it is intended that these objectives will be delivered by 20 criteria based planning policies.

The environmental appraisal of the Dilwyn NDP has been undertaken in line with the Environmental Assessment of Plan and Programmes Regulations 2004. Stage A of the SEA process involved Scoping and Stage B provided a review and analysis of the NDP. Stage C involved preparing an Environmental Report and Stage D comprises a formal consultation on both this and the draft plan itself.

Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) screening has been carried out as the Parish falls within the catchment for the River Wye (including River Lugg). The HRA assesses the potential effects of the NDP on the River Wye (including River Lugg) SAC.

On the whole, it is considered that the Dilwyn Parish NDP is in general conformity with both national planning policy contained in the National Planning Policy Framework and strategic policies set within the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy). Parish Councillors will welcome the conclusion as to general conformity. It also does it propose any growth that would be over and above that prescribed by strategic policies.

Taking into consideration the cumulative effects of policies, options and sites, the plan will have an overall positive impact on environmental assets. The plan is in general conformity with the Core Strategy overall as all of the policies and objectives meet the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. Other issues with a current uncertain outcome have the opportunity to be mitigated by further detail in policies or at planning stage.

Overall the plan is positive and would have a positive impact upon the SEA baseline data. Key recommendations would be to ensure that the environmental mitigation impacts are clear and robust, this may require some enhancement of existing policies in regard to air quality and water resources. However the plan as a whole is robust and meets key targets, the unknown areas require further detail such as location, scale and size of development, therefore are likely to be determined at planning application/ proposal stage. No significant cumulative effects have been identified.

Once made (adopted) by Herefordshire Council, the effects of the policies within the Dilwyn Parish NDP will be monitored annually via the Council's Annual Monitoring Report (AMR).

1.0 Introduction

1.1 This report forms the draft Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) of the Dilwyn Parish Draft Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP).

1.2 The Dilwyn Parish Draft NDP will provide general policies for guiding future development across the parish.

Purpose of the SEA

1.3 SEA is a requirement of EC Directive 2001/42/EC (the SEA Directive) which requires the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment to ensure that the proposals in that plan or programme contribute to the achievement of sustainable development.

1.4 The Directive was transposed into domestic legislation through the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 and which applies to plans with significant environmental effects.

1.5 A screening opinion was carried out on the Dilwyn Parish Draft NDP and it concluded that due to the range of environmental designations in and around the parish, there may be significant environmental effects and consequently an SEA would be required.

Parish Neighbourhood Plan Context

1.6 The Parish of Dilwyn covers a rural area within the north-west of the County of Herefordshire, 6 miles to the west of Leominster, and 13 miles north of Hereford. The parish sits immediately to the south of the A4112 which links Leominster to the Welsh Border close to Hay-on-Wye. The A49 runs through Leominster and there is also a railway station here.

1.7 The Parish includes numerous Listed Buildings and 2 Scheduled Ancient Monument. The Parish area consists of 4 Local Wildlife Sites, 5 ancient woodlands, 5 Mineral Reserves, no sites of Special Scientific Interest, 1 unregistered park and garden. There are 2 conservation areas in the parish.

1.8 The Draft Dilwyn Parish NDP includes 6 main objectives, which are designed to deliver the overarching vision on the ground, and it is intended that these objectives will be supported by 20 criteria based planning policies. Alternative options were considered prior to reaching a decision over the format of the draft plan.

1.9 There are numerous built heritage assets including numerous listed buildings. There are 2 Scheduled Ancient Monuments.

1.10 The vision for Dilwyn Parish in 2031 is as follows:

"Dilwyn will be a thriving and prosperous community that retains its peace and tranquillity whilst offering a high quality of life for residents of all ages, a resilient economy, a sustainable use of resources, and an excellent natural environment."

The 6 NDP objectives are as follows:

- 1. To accommodate housing growth in proportion to the size of Dilwyn and in keeping with its heritage while promoting a range of tenures, types and sizes to encourage young families and enable local people of all ages to live in a vibrant community.**
- 2. To maintain, sustain and improve community facilities.**

3. **To promote local employment and tourism through encouraging sustainable farming and accommodating new ideas from the community to develop small businesses.**
4. **To promote better understanding of and respect for our countryside and local heritage in order to maintain and enhance the rural and historic environment.**
5. **To promote sustainable development for this and future generations by protecting key environment assets including green spaces and a high-quality landscape.**
6. **To address traffic and transport issues.**

Context of Neighbourhood Plans

- 1.11 NDPs are a relatively new type of planning document that form a key part of the Government's localism agenda. They enable local communities to develop plans that reflect local aspirations, in accordance with strategic policies.
- 1.12 The Dilwyn Parish NDP must therefore conform to national planning policy set within the NPPF and strategic level local policy including the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy).
- 1.13 The Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy) was adopted on the 16th October 2015.
- 1.14 Paragraph 216 of the NPPF and Planning Practice Guidance set out the weight that may be given to relevant policies in emerging plans, including NDPs, and indicated that weight may be given to relevant policies in emerging NDPs according to:
- The stage of preparation of the emerging plan (the more advanced the preparation, the greater the weight that may be given);
 - The extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies (the less significant the unresolved objections, the greater the weight that may be given); and
 - The degree of consistency of the relevant policies in the emerging plan to the policies in this Framework (the closer the policies in the emerging plan to the policies in the Framework, the greater the weight that may be given).
- 1.15 This part of the NPPF is reinforced by Planning Practice Guidance published in March 2014, which is clear that an emerging NDP may be a material planning consideration once it has reached submission/local authorities publication stage (Regulation 16). This is reinforced by recent ministerial statements and case law (West Sussex), all of which have demonstrated that an emerging NDP may be a material consideration at the Regulation 16 stage¹.
- 1.16 The Local Plan (Core Strategy) lists Dilwyn as a 4.14 settlement, of which it considers it to be a sustainable location for growth, and with a 14% proportional growth target as part of the Leominster HMA.
- 1.17 Once made (adopted) by Herefordshire Council, the Dilwyn Parish NDP will have a role in guiding future development proposals within the Parish, by setting out policies against which planning applications will be determined.

Structure of SEA

- 1.19 The structure of the document is as follows:
- Section 2 – Explains the SEA methodology and summarises the comments received in respect of the SEA Scoping Report

¹ For the avoidance of doubt, this NDP is currently at the Draft Plan Stage (Regulation 14) and cannot, therefore, be given weight in reaching decisions on planning applications

- Section 3 - Introduces the Brampton Abbots and Foy Parish Neighbourhood Plan objectives and the SEA framework
- Section 4 - Appraises the objectives contained within the Neighbourhood Plan against the SEA framework
- Section 5 - Appraises the options considered within the Neighbourhood Plan against the SEA framework
- Section 6 – Appraises the policies contained within the Neighbourhood Plan against the SEA framework
- Section 7 – Discusses the implementation and monitoring of the Neighbourhood Plan
- Section 8 - Concludes the SEA report by outlining next steps

2.0 Methodology

2.1 The SEA process comprised several stages and which are summarised, in some detail, below.

2.2 Stage A involved 4 tasks and culminated in a Scoping Report:

- *Task A1:* Identified and reviewed relevant policies, plans and programmes and environmental protection objectives from European, National and Local sources.
- *Task A2:* Collected baseline information to provide a picture of past, present and likely future conditions within the area. This helped to establish indicators which will be used to monitor the effects and performance of the Brampton Abbots and Foy Parish NDP.
- *Task A3:* Focused on the environmental issues identified from the baseline, highlighting key issues and problems within the neighbourhood area.
- *Task A4:* Used the information gathered from Tasks A1-A3 to develop a set of SEA objectives, sometimes referred to as the 'sustainability framework'.
- *Task A5:* Collated the results of Tasks A1-A4 within a Scoping Report, a document which was subject to a statutory 5 week consultation.

2.3 Stage B involved 4 tasks and assessed the effects of the NDP.

- *Task B1:* Tested NDP Objectives against the SEA Objectives
- *Task B2:* Developed and refined the NDP options and policies
- *Task B3/B4:* Predicted and evaluated the significant effects of the NDP

2.4 Stage C involved preparing an Environmental Report. This report presents information compiled during Stage B of the SEA process and constitutes the Draft Environmental Appraisal of the NDP. It accompanies the Draft Plan during its formal Regulation 14 consultation with people who live, work and carry out businesses in the neighbourhood area, as well as statutory bodies listed in the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012.

2.5 Producing an Environmental Report was therefore a legal requirement and the submission of this report to Herefordshire Council forms Stage D of the SEA process.

Scoping Report Consultation

- 2.6 With regard to the SEA scoping assessments, documents A1 to A4 were completed by a Herefordshire Council Planning Officer and sent to the Parish Council for comment, in readiness for a 5 week consultation with statutory bodies, pursuant to the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004.
- 2.7 After the document was approved by the Parish Council, the Dilwyn Parish SEA Scoping Report was available to four² statutory bodies for consultation from 21 February 2017 to 28 March 2017.

Consultation outcomes from Statutory Consultees

- 2.8 The consultation resulted in two responses, see Appendix 3.
- 2.9 The responses were collated and incorporated within this document where relevant.

Natural England:

Appendix A2 – Baseline information

Water, air, soil and material assets

This section (or suitable alternative) should include information on geodiversity. The baseline and assessment should make reference to geological conservation and the need to conserve, interpret and manage geological sites and features, both in the wider environment and in relation to designated features. The Herefordshire & Worcestershire Earth Heritage Trust may be of assistance.

Soil

Natural England notes and supports the consideration of best and most versatile agricultural land at objective 18.

Appendix A3 – Environmental issues identified from the baseline

We welcome the recognition that development can be a pressure on biodiversity and the inclusion of landscape and soils as environmental issues, as per our previous recommendations in response to other neighbourhood plan SEA Scoping reports

Appendix A4

Under the SEA topic “Nature Conservation (Biodiversity, flora and fauna) we note and support the inclusion of the target of “no net loss” of biodiversity.

Under the SEA topic “*Landscape*” we suggest that reference could be made to the county Landscape Character Assessment and Landscape Characterisation studies including Historic Landscape Characterisation if this has been carried out. Applications resulting in the loss of open space could be monitored.

Historic England: no substantive concerns as to the contents of the document.

Environment Agency: No comments received

Natural Resources Wales: No comments received

3.0 The SEA Framework

- 3.1 As mentioned previously, Stage A of the SEA identifies and reviews relevant policies, plans and programmes and environmental protection objectives from European, National and Local sources (refer to Table A1 in Appendix 2 for details of those documents that were reviewed in completing Stage A of SEA on the Dilwyn Parish NDP).
- 3.2 The requirement to undertake this ‘context review’ is contained in Annexes 1(a) and (e) of the SEA Directive which states that an Environmental Report should include:

“...an outline of the contents, main objectives of the plan or programme and relationship with other relevant plans and programmes” and

² Statutory consultation bodies: Natural England; English Heritage; Environment Agency Natural Resources Wales

“...the environmental protection objectives, established at international, Community or Member State level, which are relevant to the plan or programme and the way those objectives and any environmental considerations have been taken into account during its preparation”

Policy context

- 3.3 The Dilwyn Parish NDP will deliver the Local Plan (Core Strategy) at parish level by adding locally specific detail to strategic policies. As a consequence, the Scoping Report for the NDP was based on the context review Herefordshire Council undertook for its Local Plan (Core Strategy).
- 3.4 The results of this assessment (context review) provide the source of the local baseline data and have been incorporated into the SEA framework. It should be noted that:
- No list of policies, plans and programmes can ever be exhaustive and that Herefordshire Council has selected those considered to be of particular relevance to the planning system;
 - New or revised plans and policies can emerge during the SEA process
- 3.5 The following strategies and plans have been reviewed and, where appropriate, incorporated within the SA Framework objectives:
- *The EC Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations (2010)* - These regulations transpose the Habitats Directive in England, Wales and to a limited extent Scotland by ensuring that activities are carried out in accordance with the Habitats Directive, which is to protect biodiversity through the conservation of natural habitats and species of wild flora and fauna.
 - *The EC Water Framework Directive (2000)* - Commits all EU member states to achieve good qualitative and quantitative status of all water courses by 2015
 - *The Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981)* - The major legal instrument for wildlife protection in Britain, although other significant acts have been passed since. It has numerous parts and supplementary lists and schedules many of which have been amended since publication.
 - *Biodiversity 2020: A strategy for England's wildlife and ecosystem services (2011)* - Forms part of the UK's Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework by setting out England's contribution towards the UK's commitments under the United Nations Convention of Biological Diversity.
 - *The Countryside and Right of Way Act (2000)* - Creates a statutory right of access on foot to certain types of open land, to modernise the public rights of way system, to strengthen nature conservation legislation, and to facilitate better management of AONBs
 - *The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (2006)* - Designed to help achieve a rich and diverse natural environment and thriving rural communities through modernised and simplified arrangements for delivering Government policy.
 - *Revised EU Sustainable Development strategy (2009)* - Sets out a single strategy on how the EU will more effectively meet its long-standing commitment to meet the challenges of sustainable development.
 - *National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2012)* - Consolidates the suite of PPG/PPS into one succinct planning policy document.

- *Planning Practice Guidance (2013)* - Sets out the vision, objectives and policies for the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy), which will guide development across the county up to 2031.
- *Herefordshire Local Transport Plan 3 (LTP) 2013-2015* - Sets out the Council's strategy for supporting economic growth, social inclusion and reducing the environmental impacts of transport, as well as the program of investment for the period April 2013 to April 2015.
- *Understanding Herefordshire Report (2014)* - Important to understand the place such as the local economy natural and built environment in which people live, learn and work as part of understanding their quality of life. Enable development for economy and housing to required levels and growth should be supported by sustainable transport measures.
- *Malvern Hills AONB Management Plan 2009-2014 (2009)* - Identifies the issues and challenges facing the special features of the area and contains 24 guiding principles and 46 strategic objectives which will help address them.
- *Wye Valley AONB management Plan 2009-2014 (2009)* - The Management Plan is the prime document which sets out the vision for the area and the priorities for its management.
- *Herefordshire Economic Development Strategy 2011-2016* - Aims to increase the economic wealth of Herefordshire by setting out proposals and to support business growth up to 2016.
- *Herefordshire Employment Land Study (2012)* - Includes employment land assessments for the plan period 2011-2031. The study includes Quantitative and Qualitative assessments of employment land, assessment of market demand and need, as well as providing forecasts and recommendations for future employment need over the plan period.
- *Herefordshire Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) (2009)* - The SHLAA aims to justify site allocations in plans by:
 - Identifying sites which are capable of delivering housing development
 - Assessing sites for their housing potential; and
 - Predicting when a site could be developed for housing.
- *Herefordshire Local Housing Market Assessment (LHMA) (2013)* - Builds on an earlier Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) developed for Herefordshire and Shropshire. Its purpose is to inform the Local Plan's policies regarding housing need and demand (for market and affordable housing) within each of the 7 Housing Market Areas (HMAs) in Herefordshire between 2011 and 2031.
- *Herefordshire Local Housing Requirements Study (2012)* - Technical assessment of the housing market and potential future local housing requirements which supports planning policy regarding the amount of growth, housing tenure and housing type needed within Herefordshire up to 2031.
- *Herefordshire Rural Housing Background Report (2013)* - Provides the justification for the proportional housing growth targets outlined in the Core Strategy
- *Herefordshire Draft Gypsies and Travellers Assessment (2013)* - Assesses the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers across Herefordshire.

- *Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan (2007)* - Focuses conservation efforts on the areas within Herefordshire that will result in the greatest benefit for ecological networks, habitats and species.
- *Building Biodiversity into the LDF (2009)* - Provides the Council's Local Plan (Core Strategy) with evidence in respect of biodiversity and geodiversity, identifying both opportunities and constraints across Herefordshire.
- *Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy (2010)* - Develops a framework of natural and culturally important features and functions so that planning for a sustainable future is at the heart of planning within Herefordshire.
- *Renewable Energy Study (2010)* - Assesses the energy demand within Herefordshire and the ability for the county to accommodate renewable and low carbon energy technologies.
- *Herefordshire Playing Pitch Assessment (2012)* - Produces a strategic framework, audit and assessment and needs analysis of outdoor sports pitches and facilities for Herefordshire. The document arises as a result of a recommendation in the Herefordshire and Worcestershire Sports Facilities Framework to develop local standards for playing fields and sports pitches throughout Herefordshire.
- *Open Spaces Study (2006)* - The 2006 space audit and assessment of need is a snap shot of the quality, quantity and distribution of open space across Herefordshire.
- *Play Facilities Study (2012)* - The Play Facilities Study 2012 updates the previous play facilities analysis under the Open Spaces Study 2006 and provides guidance and a framework for the development, delivery and continued sustainability of providing new and improved play facilities for children and young people in Herefordshire to 2031.
- *Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) and Water Cycle Study (2009)* - The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) provides a summary of flood risk in Herefordshire to inform the location of future development. The Water Cycle Study examines how water resources and water supply infrastructure, wastewater treatment, water quality, sewerage and flood risk could constrain growth across Herefordshire.

3.6 Appendix 1 of the Dilwyn Parish NDP Scoping Report provides additional detail on the Plans, Policies and Programmes mentioned above and identifies the implications for the SEA and NDP.

SEA Objectives and baseline characteristics

3.7 The SEA objectives that were used at Stages A and B of the process are listed in the following table.

| SEA Objective | |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | To maintain or enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) |
| 2 | To maintain or enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes |
| 3 | To improve the quality of surroundings |
| 4 | To conserve or where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage |
| 5 | To improve air quality |

| | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------|
| 6 | To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment |
| 7 | To reduce contributions to climate change |
| 8 | To reduce vulnerability to climate change |
| 9 | To improve water quality |
| 10 | To provide for sustainable sources of water supply |
| 11 | To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk |
| 12 | To conserve soil resources and quality |
| 13 | To minimise the production of waste |
| 14 | To improve the health of the population |
| 15 | To reduce crime and nuisance |
| 16 | To conserve natural and manmade resources |

- 3.8 The SEA objectives detailed above conform to the SEA Directive, and are derived from the Sustainability Appraisal undertaken for the Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy 2011-2031.
- 3.9 Baseline information gathered during Stage A of the SEA process provided details of the current environmental characteristics of the neighbourhood area and the status of its natural assets and features (refer to Appendix 2). This information was analysed as part of Task B2 of SEA, which looked at the extent to which the emerging NDP policies will help or obstruct these characteristics.
- 3.10 Following the completion of Task B2 of SEA there were no major issues identified against environmental impacts.
- 3.11 The NDP contains 20 criteria-based policies, including one policy which identifies housing sites in Dilwyn Village (Policy DW3)
- 3.12 Baseline characteristics within the SEA detail the current environmental status of environmental characteristics in the neighbourhood plan area from different sources. The source of Baseline Information used in Table A2 in Appendix 2, and analysed in Table B2 can be found in Appendix 4.
- 3.13 There are 6 objectives for which there is no local baseline data available and therefore this provides a limitation on the baseline data and whether the NDP policies are able to move towards or away from this data, this also means that there are no future trends to link the SEA objective too.

4.0 Assessing the NDP Objectives

- 4.1 The following are objectives listed in the NDP that aim to realise the vision for Dilwyn Parish in 2031:
- 1. To accommodate housing growth in proportion to the size of Dilwyn and in keeping with its heritage while promoting a range of tenures, types and sizes to encourage young families and enable local people of all ages to live in a vibrant community.**
 - 2. To maintain, sustain and improve community facilities.**
 - 3. To promote local employment and tourism through encouraging sustainable farming and accommodating new ideas from the community to develop small businesses.**
 - 4. To promote better understanding of and respect for our countryside and local heritage in order to maintain and enhance the rural and historic environment.**

5. To promote sustainable development for this and future generations by protecting key environment assets including green spaces and a high-quality landscape.

6. To address traffic and transport issues

4.2 The table below tests these NDP objectives against the SEA objectives, providing a summary of the results of Task B1 of SEA. The full results are available at Appendix 4 of this report.

4.3 The majority of those NDP objectives which have a relationship with the SEA framework are positively compatible with it or have an unknown effect, requiring more detail at planning application stage or in policy detail.

4.4 The NDP objectives had a mainly positive or neutral impact on some of the key SEA Objectives relating to the environment aspects of water and sustainability. This is because at this stage given the lack of detail over the exact details of a development that may come to fruition as a result of the implementation of these objectives; however, it is accepted that further development of the planning policies that relate to these objectives would move them towards a compatible outcome.

4.5 Task B1 of the SEA did not identify any major potential conflicts between the NDP objectives and the SEA framework.

| Key: | |
|------|------------------------------------|
| + | Compatible |
| - | Possible conflict |
| 0 | Neutral |
| X | No relationship between objectives |
| ? | Unclear, more information needed |

| NDP Objectives | SEA Objectives | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----|----|----|---|----|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Objective 1 – | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | 0 | + | 0 | X | X | X | X | X | X | ++ | + | + |
| Objective 2 – | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | ++ | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | ++ | ++ | + |
| Objective 3 – | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Objective 4 – | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + |
| Objective 5 – | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| Objective 6 - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | ++ | + | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | + |

5 Assessing the NDP Options

5.1 All options that were considered by Dilwyn Parish during the development of their Plan have been assessed as part of the SEA, the summary matrix of the assessment can be found in Table B2 options these tables are in Appendix 4.

5.2 The options covered were are followed;

| |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Option 1 - Accommodating the level of housing growth required to meet Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy through small sites available within Dilwyn main village and Dilwyn Common. |
| Option 2 – Accommodating the level of housing growth required to meet Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy through a large site at Dilwyn main village. |
| Option 3 – Accommodating the level of housing growth required to meet Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy through development of several medium sized sites within Dilwyn main village and Dilwyn Common. |
| Option 4 – Accepting a higher level of growth than that required by Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy through a combination of a larger site and some small sites. |
| Option 5 – not to prepare an NDP. |

5.3 The choice of preferred option was Option 4. This option was accepted because the consultation process demonstrated that this option should be adopted.

5.4 A 'do nothing' option (option 5) as considered by the Parish, i.e. not undertaking a Neighbourhood Development Plan, however this was discounted after due consideration at an early stage by the Parish Council.

5.5 The option which emerged as the most achievable and had support from both the LPA officers and the local community was Option 4 above; To accept a higher level of growth than that required by Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy through a combination of a larger site and some small sites.

5.6 These options were formulated from the responses to residents' surveys and community consultation as well as evidence from the AECOM report identifying the need for affordable and low-cost housing. The results of which will feed into the identification of the preferred options and ultimately the draft policies.

5.7 The options all had a generally positive or neutral impact upon the Baseline data and SEA objectives, each option, apart from option 5, gave certainty as they all took note of the proposed delivery of housing within proportional requirements. Option 4 was chosen by the steering group as evidence from the AECOM report and the village questionnaire highlight the need for affordable and low-cost housing, so that the demographic imbalance of the village can be addressed. Option 1 and 3 were rejected due to there being insufficient small or medium sites. Option 2

5.8 Option 1, 2 and 3 were rejected because the consultation process demonstrated that this option should be in line with being in conformity with the Core Strategy/Local.

5.9 Overall all of the options had mainly a positive effect on the SEA objectives and baseline where relevant. If the policies that are developed incorporated the elements of the options that are ensuring mitigation of new development and additional details are provided for the location and design of any proposed site then the assessment of the policies should result in a positive result. As these options were generally moving towards the SEA objectives any further alternatives would probably be moving away and therefore no further options are required to be assessed.

5.10 Overall all options had a mostly positive or neutral or unknown effect on the SEA objectives and baseline, if the policies that are developed incorporated the elements of the options that are ensuring mitigation of new development and additional details are provided for the location and design of any proposed further development then the assessment of the policies should result in a positive result. As these options were generally moving towards the SEA objectives any further alternatives would probably be moving away and therefore no further options are required to be assessed. Option 5, to do nothing was not deemed to be relevant against the baseline as it was not directly contributing to any locally specific environmental issues.

| NDP Options | SEA Objectives | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------------|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Option 1 | + | ++ | + | + | X | ? | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | X |
| Option 2 | + | + | + | + | X | ? | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | X |
| Option 3 | + | + | + | + | X | ? | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | X |
| Option 4 | + | ++ | ++ | + | X | ? | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | X |
| Option 5 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | X |

6.0 Appraisal of the policies

- 6.1 A key part of developing a plan such as the Dilwyn Parish NDP is developing a range of options and testing these, so that a preferred way forward can be selected.
- 6.2 The following, emerging set of draft policies can be appraised for the purposes of Stage B of the SEA:

Policy DW1 – Promoting Sustainable Development

Policy DW2 – Housing Development in Dilwyn Village

Policy DW3 – Housing Sites in Dilwyn Village

Policy DW4 – Development Principles for Land to the southwest of Orchard Close

Policy DW5 – Affordable and Intermediate Homes

Policy DW6 – Conserving the Landscape, Scenic Beauty and Natural Environment of the Parish.

Policy DW7 – Protecting Heritage Assets

Policy DW8 - Development within Dilwyn Conservation Area

Policy DW9 – Foul and Storm Water Drainage and Flooding

Policy DW10 – Protection of Local Green Space

Policy DW11 – Design and Appearance

Policy DW12 – Small and Home-based businesses

Policy DW13 – Rural Enterprises, Diversification and Tourism

Policy DW14 – Promotion of High-Speed Broadband and Telecommunications

Policy DW15 – Renewable and Low Carbon Energy

Policy DW16 -Protection and Enhancement of Community Facilities and Services

Policy DW17 – Contributions to Community Facilities

Policy DW18 – Traffic Measures within the Parish

Policy DW19 - Highway Design Requirements

Policy DW20 – Protection and Enhancement of the Public Rights of Way Network

Stage B of SEA

- 6.3 In the context of Task B1 of SEA, the previous section of this report identified that many of the NDP objectives are compatible with the SEA framework, while others had either a neutral impact, no relationship with the SEA objectives or if needed; further information such as location of development. Additional policy safeguards within the NDP and Local Plan (Core Strategy) would help mitigate any possible conflicts.

- 6.4 With regard to Task B2 of SEA, the NDP policies were measured against both the SEA framework and the baseline characteristics identified during Stage A of the process. Full details of this appraisal are attached at Appendix 4.
- 6.5 The policies largely score as positive or neutral or are not relevant against the SEA objectives and will not, therefore, have an adverse impact on the baseline characteristics or immediate environmental impacts. This is because they are mainly criteria based policies which only consider schemes on their own merits, as and when planning applications are submitted to the local planning authority.
- 6.6 Impact on water quality, water supply and flood risk can be fully assessed further when more details are known, such as location, scale and type of development. This could be determined on an individual basis at planning application stage. Mitigation measures have been included within the Core Strategy and criteria policies within the NDP.

| NDP Policies | SEA objectives | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Baseline | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | X | X | X | 16 |
| Policy DW1 | + | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | + | | | | + |
| Policy DW2 | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | | | | + |
| Policy DW3 | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | + | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | + | | | | + |
| Policy DW4 | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | + | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | + | | | | + |
| Policy DW5 | + | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW6 | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | + | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW7 | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | + | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW8 | + | ++ | + | ++ | + | + | + | + | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW9 | + | X | X | X | + | X | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | | | | ++ |
| Policy DW10 | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | X | X | X | + | | | | ++ |
| Policy DW11 | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | X | | | | ++ |
| Policy DW12 | X | + | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW13 | X | ++ | ++ | + | + | X | + | + | X | X | X | + | | | | + |
| Policy DW14 | + | 0 | ++ | + | + | + | + | 0 | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW15 | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | | | | + |
| Policy DW16 | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | + | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW17 | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | X | X | X | X | + | | | | ++ |
| Policy DW18 | X | + | ++ | + | + | ++ | + | + | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW19 - | X | ++ | + | ++ | + | ++ | + | + | X | X | X | + | | | | + |
| Policy DW20 | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | ++ | + | + | X | X | X | + | | | | ++ |

- 6.7 The results of Task B3, as shown at Appendix 4, demonstrate that the cumulative impact of the NDP policies over the course of the plan period is generally positive. Although some policies may have a neutral or uncertain impact during the first 5 years of the plan period, there is no reason why they cannot have a positive effect in the medium to long-term due to policy safeguards included in the Local Plan (Core Strategy); these safeguards should avoid or mitigate against unacceptable adverse impacts.
- 6.8 Task B4 of SEA brings together the results of earlier tasks and thus identifies the cumulative impact of the entire of the NDP. This task, which is also attached at Appendix 4, reveals that the objectives and policies contained in the Dilwyn NDP are by and large in general conformity with the Local Plan (Core Strategy), which means that the cumulative effect of the plan will contribute to the achievement of the SEA objectives.

- 6.9 None of the NDP policies are considered to be in direct conflict with or propose greater levels of growth and development than strategic policies contained in the Local Plan (Core Strategy), which themselves have undergone a full Sustainability Appraisal.

Stage D of the SEA – assessment changes to policies

- 6.10 Regulation 14 Draft Plan Consultation - seven policies were amended following the Draft Plan stage; these changes were necessitated by comments received during the consultation period. Wording changes were made to DW1, DW5, DW6, DW18 and DW19 and Objective 5 in light of the consultation comments to add additional clarification on the criteria. Policies DW4 and DW11 were more significantly amended and had additional criteria added for further clarification and inclusion of key topics such as design and appearance and development regarding historical assets. No objectives were amended, Appendix 7.
- 6.11 The amended policies DW4 and DW11 were re screened for their impact on the SEA baseline and the cumulative impact over the course of the plan period. The results of this assessment, shown at Appendix 8, reveal that the outcomes of Stage B of the SEA process mentioned above were largely unaffected by the refinements. The conclusion for amended policies is that these criteria based policies will have no significant effects towards the surrounding environment.

7.0 Implementation and monitoring

- 7.1 Herefordshire Council as the Local Planning Authority should make arrangements to monitor the significant effects of implementing a neighbourhood plan.
- 7.2 Indeed, Regulation 17 of the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 requires the Local Planning Authority to monitor the significant environmental effects of the implementation of any NDP that was subject to SEA, in order to identify unforeseen adverse effects at an early stage and to enable appropriate remedial actions.
- 7.3 Accordingly, Herefordshire Council will monitor outcomes from the NDP policies and the results of these will be reported in the Council's Annual Monitoring Report (AMR).
- 7.4 The AMR runs from 1 April to 31 March each year and the topics covered therein include the following:
- Housing delivery;
 - Previously developed land
 - Housing completions
 - Affordable housing conditions
 - Employment land delivery.

8.0 Next steps

- 8.1 This report will be subject to a formal public consultation of 6 weeks duration alongside the Submission Dilwyn NDP.
- 8.2 Responses on the Submission NDP will be reviewed as part of the examination.
- 8.3 Any changes /modification made to the NDP as a consequence of the examination will trigger a review of the SEA, unless they do not materially affect the outcomes of the Stage B of the SEA process.

Appendix 1

**Initial Habitat Regulations Assessment and Strategic Environmental
Assessment Screening Notification**

**The Neighbourhood Planning (General) (Amendment) Regulations
2015 (Reg. 32)**

Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (d)

| | |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Neighbourhood Area: | Dilwyn Neighbourhood Area |
| Parish Council: | Dilwyn Parish Council |
| Neighbourhood Area Designation Date: | 9 September 2016 |


Introduction

This Initial Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening has been undertaken to assess whether any European sites exist within or in proximity to the Neighbourhood Area which could be affected by any future proposals or policies.

Through continual engagement the outcomes of any required assessments will help to ensure that proposed developments will not lead to Likely Significant Effects upon a European site or cause adverse impacts upon other environmental assets, such as the built historic or local natural environment.

HRA Initial Screening

Map showing relationship of Neighbourhood Area with European Sites (not to scale)



River Wye (including the River Lugg) Special Area of Conservation (SAC):

| | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Does the Neighbourhood Area have the River Wye (including the River Lugg) in or next to its boundary? | N | The River Wye (including the River Lugg) SAC is 6.8km away from the Parish |
| Is the Neighbourhood Area in the hydrological catchment of the River Wye (including the River Lugg) SAC? | Y | The Parish is within the River Arrow (to Lugg) hydrological catchment area. |
| If yes above, does the Neighbourhood Area have mains drainage to deal with foul sewage? | N | There is no mains drainage at Dilwyn. |

Downton Gorge SAC:

| | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------------|
| Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of Downton Gorge SAC? | N | Downton Gorge is 16km away from the Parish |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------------|

River Clun SAC:

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------------|
| Does the River Clun border the Neighbourhood Area | N | The River Clun does not border the Parish |
|---------------------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------------|

Wye Valley & Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC:

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of any of the individual sites that make up the Wye Valley & Forest of Dean Bat Sites? | N | The Wye Valley and Forest of Dean Bat Sites are 38km away from the Parish |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Wye Valley Woodlands SAC:

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of any of the individual sites that make up the Wye Valley Woodlands Site? | N | The Wye Valley Woodlands are 36km away from the Parish |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------|

HRA Conclusion:

The assessment above highlights that European Sites will need to be taken into account in the future Neighbourhood Development Plan for the Dilwyn Neighbourhood Area and a Full HRA Screening will be required.

European Site

(List only those which are relevant)

River Wye (including the River Lugg) Special Area of Conservation (SAC)

Strategic Environmental Assessment Initial Screening for nature conservation landscape and heritage features

The following environmental features are within or in general proximity to the Dilwyn Neighbourhood Area and would need to be taken into account within a Strategic Environmental Assessment. In addition, the NDP will also need to consider the other SEA topics set out in Guidance Note 9a to ensure that the plan does not cause adverse impacts.

| SEA features | Within Neighbourhood Area | | Bordering Neighbourhood Area | |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Total number | Name(s) | Total number | Name(s) |
| Ancient Woodland | 5 | Heath Wood; Henwood Wood; Stocking Wood; Chadnor Hill Wood; Upper Marsh Covert. | 6 | Lower Marsh Covert; Sarnesfield Coppice; Sherrington Wood; Broadmarsh Coppice; Lye Vallets & Yoke Wood; Westhope Wood. |
| Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Conservation Areas | 2 | Dilwyn; Weobley. | 0 | - |
| Flood Areas | Flood Zones follow the northern boundary of the Parish. They also run from north-east to south west of the Parish. | | | |
| Geoparks | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Listed Buildings | There are numerous listed buildings throughout the Parish. | | | |
| Local Geological Sites (LGS) | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Local Wildlife Sites (LWS) | 4 | Tippets Brook; Pigmore Common; Stretford Brook; Land adjacent to Newbridge Brook, Lower Newton. | 10 | Land at Stretford Bridge; Land at Knapton Green; Weobley Marsh Common; Weobley Church; Sherrington Wood; Land at Bearwood; Fields near Bearwood; Field near Weobley Marsh Common; |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | Pool near Black Hall; Westhope Hill and surrounding woodlands. |
| Mineral Reserves | 5 | South of Dilwyn; South of Dilwyn & Stretford Brook; Chadnor Court to Swanstone Court to Knapton Green; Newbridge Court to Throne Farm, north east of Weobley; East of Sollers Dilwyn – Tyrells Court to Stretford. | 1 | North of Lower Burton. |
| National Nature Reserve (NNR) | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Nature Trails | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Registered Parks and Gardens | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Scheduled Ancient Monuments (SAM) | 2 | Dovecote at Luntley court; Moated mound south of Church. | 5 | Three moated sites at The Hyde and Little Hyde; Kiln Site; Weobley Castle; Churchyard cross, St Peter and St Paul Church; Moated site and associated fishponds 160m east of Little Sarnesfield. |
| Sites of Importance in Nature Conservation (SINC) | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Unregistered parks and gardens | 1 | Henwood Farm. | 6 | Garnstone Park; Burton Court, Eardisland; Birley Court; Lynch Court; Sarnesfield; Canon Pyon House. |

| | | | SSSI Status | | | SSSI Status |
|---------------------------------------------|---|---|-------------|---|---|-------------|
| Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - |

Decision Notification:

The initial screening highlights that the Neighbourhood Development Plan for the Dilwyn Neighbourhood Area:

- a) Will require further environmental assessment for Habitats Regulations Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment.

Assessment date: 8 August 2016

Assessed by: James Latham

Appendix 1: European Sites

The table below provides the name of each European Site, which has been screened in for the purposes of neighbourhood planning in Herefordshire. This is based on the sites individual features of integrity and their vulnerabilities, which could include distance criteria. This has been used in identifying which parishes are likely to require a full HRA Screening of their future Neighbourhood Development Plan, to establish if their plan might have Likely Significant Effects on a European Site.

| |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Downton Gorge |
| Site Features: <i>Tilio-Acerion</i> forests of slopes, screes and ravines |
| Vulnerability data: 10km for air quality associated with poultry units or other intensive agricultural practices. |
| River Clun |
| Site Features: Freshwater pearl mussel <i>Margaritifera margaritifera</i> |
| Vulnerability data: Water quality is important to maintain the site feature. Parishes either side of the River Clun will be affected. |
| River Wye |
| Site Features: Water courses of plain to montane levels with the <i>Ranunculion fluitantis</i> and <i>Callitricho-Batrachion</i> vegetation. Transition mires and quaking bogs. White-clawed (or Atlantic Stream) crayfish <i>Austropotamobius pallipes</i> . Sea lamprey <i>Petromyzon marinus</i> . Brook lamprey <i>Lampetra planeri</i> . River lamprey <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i> . Twaite shad <i>Alosa fallax</i> . Atlantic salmon <i>Salmo salar</i> . Bullhead <i>Cottus gobio</i> . Otter <i>Lutra lutra</i> . Allis shad <i>Alosa alosa</i> |
| Vulnerability data: Proximity: Developments should not be within 100m of the designated bank. Some developments beyond 100m may also have impacts based on proximity and these issues should be addressed where possible when developing NDP policy and choosing site allocations. Water Quality: Within the whole catchment of the River Wye, which includes the River Lugg, mains drainage issues with regards to water quality are being resolved through the Core Strategy / Local Plan and development of a Nutrient Management Plan. Welsh Water should be consulted to ensure that the proposed growth will be within the limit of their consents. Otters: "An otter will occupy a 'home range', which on fresh waters usually includes a stretch of river as well as associated tributary streams, ditches, ponds, lakes and woodland. The size of a home range depends largely on the availability of food and shelter, and the presence of neighbouring otters. On rivers, a male's home range may be up to 40km or more of watercourse and associated areas; females have smaller ranges (roughly half the size) and favour quieter locations for breeding, such as tributary streams. Otters without an established home range are known as 'transients'. They are mostly juveniles looking for a territory of their own, or adults that have been pushed out of their territories. Transient otters may use an area for a short while, but they will move on if conditions are not suitable or if they are driven away by resident otters. Transients will have been important in extending the range of otters, but they are very difficult to identify from field signs. Within a home range an otter may use many resting sites. These include above-ground shelters, such as stands of scrub or areas of rank grass, and underground 'holts' – for example, cavities under tree roots and dry drainage pipes." |

Wye Valley and Forest of Dean Bat Sites

Site Features: Annex II species that are a primary reason for site selection: Lesser horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus hipposideros*. Greater horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*

Vulnerability data: Lesser Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 5km and 10km between their summer and winter roosts. The Lesser Horseshoe Bat is vulnerable to disturbance; light pollution; and habitat loss. Check with the planning ecologist for other issues.

Greater Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 20-30km between their summer and winter roosts.

NDPs closest to the European Site will need to consider:

Woodland habitat buffer.

Lesser Horseshoe Bat: Old buildings; woodland locations; sheltered valleys, extensive deciduous woods or dense scrub, close to roost sites. In areas of fragmented habitats, linear habitats such as hedgerows are important corridors. Vulnerable to loss or disturbance of both summer and winter roosts and removal of linear habitat.

Greater Horseshoe Bat: Large buildings, pasture, edge of mixed deciduous woodland and hedgerows. Mixed land-use especially south-facing slopes, favours beetles, moths and insects they feed on. During the winter they depend on caves, abandoned mines and other underground sites for undisturbed hibernation. A system/series of sites required. Vulnerable to loss of insect food supply, due to insecticide use, changing farming practices and loss of broad-leaved tree-cover and loss / disturbance of underground roosts sites.

Wye Valley Woodlands

Site Features: Annex I habitats that are a primary reason for site selection: Beech forests *Asperulo-Fagetum*, *Tilio-Acerion* forests of slopes, screes and ravines, *Taxus baccata* woods of the British Isles. Annex II species present as a qualifying feature, but not a primary reason for site selection: Lesser horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus hipposideros*, 51-100 residents


Vulnerability data: Lesser Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 5km and 10km between their summer and winter roosts. The Lesser Horseshoe Bat is vulnerable to disturbance; light pollution; and habitat loss. Check with the planning ecologist for other issues. NDPs closest to the European Site will need to consider: Woodland habitat buffer. Lesser Horseshoe Bat: Old buildings; woodland locations; sheltered valleys, extensive deciduous woods or dense scrub, close to roost sites. In areas of fragmented habitats, linear habitats such as hedgerows are important corridors. Vulnerable to loss or disturbance of both summer and winter roosts and removal of linear habitat.

Dilwyn SEA Map 1

Scale: 1:30,000



Contains, or is based upon, Historic England National Heritage List for England data © Historic England.
 © Crown copyright and database rights [2016] Ordnance Survey 100024168
 © Natural England [2016], reproduced with the permission of Natural England.
 © Environment Agency copyright and/or database right 2016. All rights reserved.



Key:

- Ancient Woodlands
- Scheduled Ancient Monument
- Conservation Area
- Unregistered Parks & Gardens
- Listed Buildings
- Parish Boundaries


Herefordshire Council

Dilwyn SEA Map 2

Scale: 1:25,000



Contains, or is based upon, English Heritage's National Heritage List for England data © Historic England.
© Crown copyright and database rights [2016] Ordnance Survey 100024168
© Natural England [2016], reproduced with the permission of Natural England.
© Environment Agency copyright and/or database right 2016. All rights reserved.



Monkland & Stret


Key:

- Minerals Sites
- Local Wildlife Sites
- Flood Zone 2
- Flood Zone 3
- Parish Boundaries

Herefordshire Council

Appendix 2

Strategic Environmental Assessment



Dilwyn Neighbourhood Area

Scoping Report

February 2017



**Herefordshire
Council**

Consultation on the Scoping Report


The aim of the consultation process is to involve and engage with statutory consultees and other relevant bodies on the scope of the appraisal. In particular, it seeks to:

- Ensure the SEA is both comprehensive and sufficiently robust to support the Neighbourhood Development Plan during the later stages of full public consultation;
- Seek advice on the completeness of the plan review and baseline data and gain further information where appropriate;
- Seek advice on the suitability of key sustainability issues;
- Seek advice on the suitability of the sustainability objectives.

Comments on this Scoping Report have been invited from the three consultation bodies as required by the SEA regulations, together with Natural Resources Wales.

The three consultation bodies are as follows:

1. Natural England;
2. Historic England;
3. Environment Agency.



Template A1: Identification and review of local Neighbourhood Area relevant plans, policies and programmes

Parish Council Name: Dilwyn

Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Dilwyn Neighbourhood Development Plan

Date completed: January 2017

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The EC Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations | European Union Legislation | 2010 | These regulations transpose the Habitats Directive in England, Wales and to a limited extent Scotland by ensuring that activities are carried out in accordance with the Habitats Directive, which is to protect biodiversity through the conservation of natural habitats and species of wild flora and fauna. | The Regulations make it an offence (subject to exceptions) to deliberately capture, kill, disturb, or trade in the animals listed in Schedule 2, or pick, collect, cut, uproot, destroy, or trade in the plants listed in Schedule 4. However, these actions can be made lawful through the granting of licenses by the appropriate authorities. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Biodiversity Cultural heritage and the landscape | The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations. |
| The EC Water Framework Directive | European Union | 2000 | Commits all EU member states to achieve good qualitative and quantitative status of all water courses by 2015 | Aims for 'good status' for all ground and surface waters (rivers, lakes, transitional waters, and coastal waters) in the EU | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Water | The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations. |
| The Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981) | Domestic Legislation | 1981 | The major legal instrument for wildlife protection in Britain, although other significant acts have been passed since. It | The principle mechanism for the legislative protection of wildlife in Great Britain. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Biodiversity Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna | The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations. |

¹ Derived from the LDF General Scoping Report (June 2007)

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | has numerous parts and supplementary lists and schedules many of which have been amended since publication. | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Material assets • Soil | |
| The Countryside and Right of Way Act (2000) | Domestic Legislation | 2000 | Creates a statutory right of access on foot to certain types of open land, to modernise the public rights of way system, to strengthen nature conservation legislation, and to facilitate better management of AONBs. | <p>The Act provides for a new right of access on foot to areas of open land comprising: Mountain (land over 600 metres); Moorland; Heath; Downland; Registered common land.</p> <p>There are provisions to consider extending the right in the future to coastal land, but not woodland despite some early publicity suggesting this.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Soil | The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations. |
| The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (2006) | Domestic Legislation | 2006 | Designed to help achieve a rich and diverse natural environment and thriving rural communities through modernised and simplified arrangements for delivering | Provides that any public body or statutory undertaker in England and Wales must have regard to the purpose of conservation of biological diversity in the exercise of their functions. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Soil | The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | Government policy. | | | |
| Revised EU Sustainable Development strategy | European Union Strategy | 2009 | Sets out a single strategy on how the EU will more effectively meet its long-standing commitment to meet the challenges of sustainable development. | Recognises the need to gradually change current unsustainable consumption and production patterns and move towards a better integrated approach to policy making. The Strategy sets overall objectives, targets and concrete actions for seven key priority challenges, predominantly environmental: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Climate change and clean energy; • Sustainable transport • Sustainable production and consumption; • Public health threats; • Better management of natural resources; • Social inclusion, demography and migration; • Fighting global poverty. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Population and human health | The Neighbourhood Plan should take into account the objectives of the strategy. The aim of sustainable development should be implicit in its approach. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Biodiversity 2020: A strategy for England's wildlife and ecosystem services | National Strategy | 2011 | Forms part of the UK's Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework by setting out England's contribution towards the UK's commitments under the United Nations Convention of Biological Diversity. | Sets out to halt overall biodiversity loss, support healthy well-functioning ecosystems and establish coherent ecological networks, with more and better places for nature for the benefit of wildlife and people. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity | The NDP should take account of the provisions of the strategy, making the most of opportunities to enhance wildlife habitats or restore degraded ecosystems in the process. |
| National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) | National planning policy. | 2012 | Consolidates the suite of PPG/PPS into one succinct planning policy document. | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | The NDP should take into account the relevant policies set within the NPPF. |
| Planning Practice Guidance | Government Guidance | 2014 | Provides guidance to local planning authorities and others on the operation of the planning system. | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets | The NDP should take into account the planning guidance provided within these documents. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Population and human health • Soil • Water | |
| Herefordshire Core Strategy, (adopted) 2011-2031 | Development Plan Document (DPD) | 2015 | Sets out the vision, objectives and policies for the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy), which will guide development across the county up to 2031. | <p>Outlines the emerging suite of countywide planning policies relating to housing, economic development and the environment, which the NDP will need to be in conformity with where relevant.</p> <p>The Core Strategy includes a range of objectives, five of which directly relate to rural areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To meet the housing needs of all sections of the community • To improve access to services in rural areas • To strengthen the economic viability of the villages and their rural hinterlands • To achieve sustainable communities and protect the environment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | <p>The NDP should take account of relevant policies set within in the Core Strategy.</p> <p>Where necessary, the NDP should provide services, facilities and employment opportunities that are accessible to both local and neighbouring communities.</p> <p>Dilwyn is listed in the Core Strategy under the Leominster HMA as: Dilwyn – Figure 4.14</p> <p>This means the NDP should take into consideration the criteria set within Policy RA1 and RA2.</p> <p>The neighbourhood plan should allocate a minimum of 46 dwellings within Ocle</p> |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|----------------------|------------------|------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To conserve, promote, utilise and enjoy our natural, built, historic and cultural assets for the fullest benefit of the whole community. <p>To achieve a thriving rural Herefordshire, the Core Strategy seeks to enhance the role the villages have traditionally played in as accessible, sustainable centres for their rural catchments.</p> <p>Dilwyn is within the Leominster Housing Market Area (HMA). The target for proportionate growth is 14% across the parish.</p> | | <p>Pycharad Group within the plan period of 2011 to 2031.</p> <p>Completions from 2011 – 2016 and Commitments as at April 1 2016, totalling 2, leaves a minimum figure of 44 dwellings to allocate.</p> |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Herefordshire Local Transport Plan 2016-2031 | Corporate | 2016 | The Local Transport Plan outlines the significant role that transport will play to enable the delivery of the Core Strategy proposals. This sets out the Council's strategy for supporting economic growth, social inclusion and reducing the environmental impacts of transport, as well as the program of investment for the period 2016 to 2031. | <p>The Transport Plan aims to increase highway capacity for new development sites as improve efficiency of existing transport network.</p> <p>The document includes five key objectives, one which plans to enable economic growth connecting new roads with developments. Intrinsic to this is to provide a good quality transport network. The other objectives aim to promote healthy lifestyles with pollution reduction and active travel schemes. Improvements will be made to make journeys easier and safer, ensuring access to services for living in rural areas maximising accessibility.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Climate factors • Population and human health | The LTP does not explore current transport issues in the Dilwyn Neighbourhood Plan area, but any new development proposed through the NDP should seek to reduce the environmental impacts of transport. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Understanding Herefordshire Report | Built and natural environment | 2016 | <p>Important to understand the place such as the local economy natural and built environment in which people live, learn and work as part of understanding their quality of life</p> <p>Promotion of healthy lifestyle choices.</p> <p>Enable development for economy and housing to required levels.</p> <p>Growth should be supported by sustainable transport measures.</p> | <p>An air quality management plan is in place to tackle this. Destination Hereford project is in place to give locals more sustainable transport options.</p> <p>Sustainable transport measures will also help to promote active lifestyles within Herefordshire.</p> | <p>Improve air quality.</p> <p>Have a more diverse range of transport options.</p> | None identified. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Malvern Hills AONB Management Plan 2014-2019 | Corporate | 2015 | The Management Plan is the prime document which sets out the vision and objectives for the Malvern Hills AONB area and the priorities for its management. | Identifies the issues and challenges facing the special features of the area and contains 21 guiding principles and 14 strategic objectives and 76 policies which will help address them. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | The Dilwyn Neighbourhood Plan Area is not within or adjacent to the Malvern Hills AONB. |
| Wye Valley AONB management Plan 2015-2020 | Corporate | 2015 | The Management Plan is the prime document which sets out the vision for the Wye Valley AONB area and the priorities for its management. | Sets out a range of objectives which seek to reconcile the competing interests of the environment, the ecology and the social and economic well-being of the AONB. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | Dilwyn Neighbourhood Plan Area is not within the Wye Valley AONB. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Herefordshire Economic Development Strategy 2011-2016 | Corporate | 2011 | Aims to increase the economic wealth of Herefordshire by setting out proposals and to support business growth up to 2016. | <p>The document outlines the path and direction to foster economic vitality within Herefordshire. Key objectives therefore include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sustaining business survival and growth • Increasing wage levels, range and quality of jobs • Having a skilled population to meet future work needs • Developing the county's built infrastructure so enterprise can flourish. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Material assets • Population and human health | None of merit |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Herefordshire Employment Land Study | Evidence | 2012 | Includes employment land assessments for the plan period 2011-2031. The study includes Quantitative and Qualitative assessments of employment land, assessment of market demand and need, as well as providing forecasts and recommendations for future employment need over the plan period. | <p>This study covers existing employment sites in Hereford, the five market towns and their rural hinterlands.</p> <p>There are no direct employment land allocations within Dilwyn at present and so the study does not identify sites which are worthy of continued protection from alternative uses. Nor does it make any recommendations in respect of employment need within the neighbourhood area.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Material assets • Population and human health | None of merit. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Herefordshire Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) | Evidence | 2015 | <p>The HELAA aims to justify site allocations in plans by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifying sites which are capable of delivering housing development Assessing sites for their housing potential; and Predicting when a site could be developed for housing. | <p>In terms of Dilwyn the previous SHLAA identifies a selection of direct development areas for Dilwyn (4.14):</p> <p>Key site identified: HLAA/364/001 – 3.34ha, n/a housing capacity, greenfield, not suitable for development, not achievable, available, Not achievable in the plan period</p> <p>Sites with no suitability during the plan period: HLAA/030/001 HLAA/030/002 HLAA/161/008 HLAA/161/002 HLAA/183/001 O/Dil/001 O/Dil/002</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Air Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Population and human health Soil Water | <p>The SHLAA assesses the potential availability of land for housing in the wider HMA.</p> <p>The Dilwyn NDP should be informed by the findings of the SHLAA.</p> |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Herefordshire Local Housing Market Assessment (LHMA) | Evidence | 2013 | Builds on an earlier Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) developed for Herefordshire and Shropshire. Its purpose is to inform the Local Plan's policies regarding housing need and demand (for market and affordable housing) within each of the 7 Housing Market Areas (HMAs) in Herefordshire between 2011 and 2031 | <p>The LHMA uses 7 HMAs as the geography for presenting data.</p> <p>Dilwyn falls within the Leominster HMA.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 55% of households are unable to afford market housing. • Total need of 1035 (2012-17) in the Leominster area. • There is an annual requirement for 22 affordable dwellings between 2012 and 2017. <p>The study highlights that within the Leominster HMA the overall estimated housing need by size 2012-2017 is as follows: 1 Bed - 77.1%, 2 bed -21.6%, 3 bed -10.4%, 4 bed- 11.7%</p> <p>The study identifies that, in rural parts of the Leominster HMA between 2011-2031, need :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 548 affordable houses • 183 market houses . | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climatic factors • Cultural heritage • Flora and fauna • Population • Soil • Water | <p>The LHMA provides an indication of housing needs and affordability within the Leominster HMA.</p> <p>It provides evidence that could be used to inform policies or market and affordable housing requirements in the NDP.</p> |

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council. Please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part.

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Herefordshire Local Housing Requirements Study 2012 (updated in 2014) | Evidence | 2014 | Technical assessment of the housing market and potential future local housing requirements which supports planning policy regarding the amount of growth, housing tenure and housing type needed within Herefordshire up to 2031. | <p>The delivery of 5,300 homes in the rural areas would:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support growth in the rural population by 6% • Increase the number of households by 14.5% <p>Forecasts also predict that growth in the population of the rural areas is likely to be primarily through an increase in those aged over 75.</p> <p>Moderate growth is expected in the 30-44 and 60-74 age brackets.</p> <p>The Local Housing Requirements Study therefore anticipates continuing improvements in life expectancy; significant growth is expected of those in their 80s, with the existing population in their 40s and 50s moving into retirement.</p> <p>As a consequence, the rural areas will face an increasing urgency to provide more 3 bedroom homes, with more 1</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | <p>This study provides an indication of housing requirements in the rural areas and the Leominster HMA.</p> <p>This evidence can be used to inform the content of the Dilwyn NDP, which could include policies to facilitate the provision of the right types of homes in the right places.</p> |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | and 2 bed homes required in the affordable sector. | | |
| Herefordshire Rural Housing Background Report | Evidence | 2013 | Provides the justification for the proportional housing growth targets outlined in the Core Strategy | Dilwyn is classified as a 4.14 settlement, as part of the Leominster HMA which means it is considered a sustainable location for proportional growth of up to 14% across the parish. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | The Dilwyn NDP will need to be in conformity with the provisions of Core Strategy Policy RA1 and RA2. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Herefordshire Draft Gypsies and Travellers Assessment | Evidence | 2016 | Assesses the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers across Herefordshire. | <p>Key findings from the survey of Gypsy and Traveller households in 2012 found that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 31% of households surveyed have some sort of accommodation need • Of the 17 households with an accommodation need, 7 had a requirement for at least one additional pitch • 10 households had a requirement for bricks and mortar housing • There is an additional requirement for 7 pitches and 9 units of Registered Social Landlord accommodation within Herefordshire. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | The Dilwyn NDP must establish whether any of the need identified in this assessment falls within the neighbourhood area and make appropriate provisions for it. |
| Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan | Evidence | 2007 | Focuses conservation efforts on the areas within Herefordshire that will result in the greatest benefit for ecological networks, habitats and species. | Integrating biodiversity objectives with other environmental, social and economic needs can provide a sustainable living and working environment that benefits both people and nature. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity | The NDP can help to achieve the LBAP priorities. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Building Biodiversity into the LDF | Evidence | 2009 | Provides the Council's Local Plan (Core Strategy) with evidence in respect of biodiversity and geodiversity, identifying both opportunities and constraints across Herefordshire. | This document provides useful information in respect of Hereford and the market towns only. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | There is a lack of information about rural areas which means it will be necessary to gather and assess existing biodiversity and geodiversity data, in order to ensure that the Dilwyn NDP can overcome any existing constraints and capitalise on opportunities to enhance habitats and their networks. |
| Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy | Evidence | 2010 | Develops a framework of natural and culturally important features and functions so that planning for a sustainable future is at the heart of planning within Herefordshire. | Establishes policies and principles for the protection and enhancement of those features and functions that contributes to the environment of Herefordshire across a range of scales. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the Dilwyn NDP. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|------------------------|------------------|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Renewable Energy Study | Evidence | 2010 | Assesses the energy demand within Herefordshire and the ability for the county to accommodate renewable and low carbon energy technologies. | <p>The total energy demand excluding transport for Herefordshire, at that point in time, was calculated as being:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Electrical: 731 GWh/yr • Heat: 1,810 GWh/yr • Total: 2,541 GWh/yr <p>There is scope for all types of renewable energy production.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the Dilwyn NDP . |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Herefordshire Playing Pitch Assessment | Evidence | 2012 | Produces a strategic framework, audit and assessment and needs analysis of outdoor sports pitches and facilities for Herefordshire. The document arises as a result of a recommendation in the Herefordshire and Worcestershire Sports Facilities Framework to develop local standards for playing fields and sports pitches throughout Herefordshire. | <p>The study updates components of the Herefordshire and Worcestershire Sports Facilities Framework 2010 such as updating population forecasts, setting local standards for synthetic turf pitches and grass playing fields within Herefordshire. It identifies any current gaps in provision, and looks forward to 2031 to assess what facilities are likely to be required by that date.</p> <p>There are no playing pitches within the Dilwyn NDP area.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health | <p>The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the Dilwyn NDP.</p> <p>Additional local evidence may be required to identify if there is a need for any secured playing pitch facilities within the Group Parish.</p> |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|----------------------|------------------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Open Spaces Study | Evidence | 2006 | The 2006 space audit and assessment of need is a snap shot of the quality, quantity and distribution of open space across Herefordshire. | <p>The study reveals that within the Leominster area, to which Dilwyn NDP is a part, there is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extensive under provision of parks and gardens and outdoor sport • Extensive over provision of natural and semi natural greenspace • Under provision of amenity greenspace • Average provision for children and young people | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health | The open space audit and assessment of does not give a specific indication of open space shortfalls and surpluses in Dilwyn . |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|-----------------------|------------------|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Play Facilities Study | Evidence | 2006 | The Play Facilities Study 2012 updates the previous play facilities analysis under the Open Spaces Study 2006 and provides guidance and a framework for the development, delivery and continued sustainability of providing new and improved play facilities for children and young people in Herefordshire to 2031. | Dilwyn has a population over 500 with no formal play provision, however requires a LEAP. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health | The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the Dilwyn NDP. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) and Water Cycle Study 2009 | Evidence | 2009 | <p>The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) provides a summary of flood risk in Herefordshire to inform the location of future development.</p> <p>The Water Cycle Study examines how water resources and water supply infrastructure, wastewater treatment, water quality, sewerage and flood risk could constrain growth across Herefordshire.</p> | <p>Dilwyn NDP area lies within the hydrological catchment of the River Arrow (to Lugg). It sits within the sub catchment of Stretford Brook.</p> <p>The SFRA study states that:</p> <p>It has a 35-40% standard run percentage run off by sub catchment. This is potentially highly unsuitable for infiltration source control.</p> <p>UH Tp 9 – 11 hours = Moderately slow response catchment</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Material assets • Population and human health • Water | <p>New development proposed through the Dilwyn NDP should be assessed against the capacity of local infrastructure. Where appropriate mitigation measures should be established within the NDP.</p> <p>Up-to-date flood risk information should be gathered from the Environment Agency, in order to ensure that any flood risks are considered when preparing the Dilwyn NDP.</p> |

Appendix A2 – Baseline information for **Dilwyn**

N.B. This is based on countywide baseline information with some additions relevant to Dilwyn (in red). Where no locally specific data is available for current status, trends and targets, only countywide data is reported. Any gaps in data may be filled following additional research.

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Biodiversity, flora and fauna</i> | | | | | | | |
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | Net change in condition of SSSIs across Herefordshire. | <p>The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.</p> <p>2010/11: 27% of Herefordshire's SSSI land was in favourable condition.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are no local or bordering SSSI's | <p>2006: 22%</p> <p>2007: 22%</p> <p>2008: 22%</p> <p>2010: 24 %</p> <p>Proportion of SSSI land that was in unfavourable condition but recovering increased between 2010 and 2012 going from 41% to 65%.</p> <p>Proportion in unfavourable and declining condition had also decreased from 4% to 1%.</p> | <p>% of SSSI land in favourable condition (Increase)</p> <p>% of SSSI land in unfavourable condition but recovering (Increase)</p> <p>% of SSSI land in unfavourable condition and declining (Decrease)</p> | <p>Herefordshire's SSSIs are in extremely poor condition relative to England as whole, where 96.1% of all SSSI land was in favourable condition in April 2014.</p> <p>The proportion of SSSI in unfavourable condition but recovering is greater than England as a whole, where the figure currently stands at 58.6%.</p> | <p>Understanding Herefordshire: An integrated needs assessment</p> <p>NE & DEFRA Website</p> |

¹ Derived from the Pre Submission Core Strategy Sustainability Appraisal Assessment (May 2014)

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | After use of mineral sites especially wildlife habitat creation | <i>There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.</i> | - | Percentage of opportunities taken | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | - |
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | Phosphate levels within the River Wye SAC and adjoining tributaries that receive increased phosphates from proportional growth. | Countywide data is available, but this indicator would apply to this Neighbourhood Area, as the parish falls inside the hydrological catchment area for the River Wye and River Lugg SAC. | N/A | N/A | N/A | Nutrient Management Plan |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | Changes to protected habitats and impacts of species within the Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan. | <p>The 2013 - 2014 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.</p> <p><i>There is no locally specific data available at present.</i></p> | <p>2010/11: 17 Habitat Action Plans and 14 Species Action Plans are currently in operation across Herefordshire.</p> <p>There are no formal records of any unacceptable adverse impacts on habitats or protected species.</p> <p>Originally 156 Priority Species were identified for inclusion in Herefordshire's LBAP.</p> <p>Similarly Herefordshire's LBAP covered 23 habitats with Action Plans.</p> | <p>To protect and where possible enhance the habitats of protected species identified.</p> <p>No net losses.</p> | Herefordshire Biological Records Centre (HBRC) holds limited data on some individual sites. | Herefordshire Council AMR (2013/14) |

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council. Please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part.

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | Changes in the areas of designated nature conservation sites as a consequence of planning permission. | <p>Dilwyn NDP area has:</p> <p>SSSI: 0</p> <p>(Bordering SSSI's: 0)</p> <p>LWS: 4 Tippets Brook; Pigmore Common; Stretford Brook; Land adjacent to Newbridge Brook, Lower Newton.</p> <p>(Bordering LWS:10) Land at Stretford Bridge; Land at Knapton Green; Weobley Marsh Common; Weobley Church; Sherrington Wood; Land at Bearwood; Fields near Bearwood; Field near Weobley Marsh Common; Pool near Black Hall; Westhope Hill and surrounding woodlands.</p> <p>There are no SACs, NNRs, SINCs and LNRs within the parish.</p> | As of 2012, there had been no change in the areas of designated nature conservation sites as a consequence of the planning permissions granted. | To capitalise on opportunities to enhance the areas of value to nature conservation as much as possible. | Herefordshire Biological Records Centre (HBRC) holds limited data on some individual sites. | Herefordshire Council Initial Screening Report for the Neighbourhood Plan Dilwyn 9th September 2016 |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | Proportion of local sites where positive conservation management has or is being implemented. | <p>The neighbourhood area comprises the following types of landscapes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Principal settled farmlands Wet Pastured Meadows | - | No specific targets identified. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | Herefordshire Landscape Character Assessment (2004 updated 2009) |
| SEA Topic covered by objectives: <i>Material assets</i> | | | | | | | |
| 14. Use natural resources and energy more efficiently. | Resource consumption and climate change | Maintaining Herefordshire Council's County Site and Monuments Register. | <p>Countywide data would be too large to incorporate into this template.</p> <p>Whilst there is no qualitative, locally specific data available at present, there are numerous scheduled monuments in Dilwyn NDP area according to the latest version of the register. There are 108 shown on HER database.</p> | - | No specific targets identified, but need to ensure that the register is kept up to date. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | Herefordshire Environmental Records Register (search January 2017). |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 14. Use natural resources and energy more efficiently. | Resource consumption and climate change | Monitoring changes to historic landscapes. | <p>Historic Landscape Character assessments have only been undertaken for Hereford City.</p> <p>Rapid townscape Assessments (2010) were only undertaken for Hereford, Ledbury and Ross.</p> <p><i>Urban Fringe Sensitivity Analysis (2010) only considers sites on the urban fringe of Hereford and the five market towns.</i></p> | - | No specific targets identified. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | <p>Historic Landscape Character Assessment for Hereford.</p> <p>Rapid townscape Assessments (2010)</p> <p><i>Urban Fringe Sensitivity Analysis (2010)</i></p> |
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Population, Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna</i> | | | | | | | |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 15. Value, protect, enhance and restore the landscape quality of Herefordshire, including its rural areas and open spaces. | Natural environment | Number of developments meeting and surpassing national design standards. | <i>There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.</i> | - | No specific targets identified. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | AMR 2013-14 |
| 15. Value, protect, enhance and restore the landscape quality of Herefordshire, including its rural areas and open spaces. | Natural environment | The need for, frequency and outcomes of planning enforcement investigations/ planning appeals concerning aspects of local loss of heritage assets and locally important buildings particularly within a conservation area. | Countywide data would be too large to incorporate into this template. There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning local loss of heritage assets and locally important buildings within Dilwyn. | No historic records of any planning enforcement action or appeals concerning locally important buildings. | To wherever possible improve upon or otherwise maintain current status. | Current status must be verified by Dvt Mgt and Enforcement Officers, but the NDP can help to avoid enforcement action and appeals during the plan period. | Council Dvt Mgt records (searched January 2017) |
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Climatic Factors</i> | | | | | | | |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 16. Reduce Herefordshire's vulnerability to the impacts of climate change as well as its contribution to the problem. | Resource consumption and climate change. | Transport patronage by mode | <p>% of Herefordshire residents who travel to work by:</p> <p>Car: 70.1% Foot: 14.7% Bicycle: 4.3% Bus: 2% Train: 0.8% Motorbike: 0.8% Taxi: 0.3% Other: 7%</p> <p><i>There is no locally specific data available at present</i></p> | The number of people cycling or travelling by bus as the main form transport to get to work declined between 2001 and 2011 – across England and Wales there was little change in either. Walking or driving a car or van on the other hand increased. | To encourage the take up of lesser polluting modes of transport. | There are a lack of transport options for many rural communities and therefore high car ownership and dependency – the last decade has seen a 15 per cent increase in household car ownership, although this is not reflected in traffic flows of recent years with volumes in Hereford City and wider county having decreased. The proportion of people working from home increased over the decade from 15 per cent in 2001 to 17 per cent in 2011. | 2011 Census |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 16. Reduce Herefordshire's vulnerability to the impacts of climate change as well as its contribution to the problem. | Resource consumption and climate change. | Number of decentralised energy schemes granted permission. | <i>There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.</i> | - | To contribute towards the national target. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | - |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 16. Reduce Herefordshire's vulnerability to the impacts of climate change as well as its contribution to the problem. | Resource consumption and climate | Total CO2 emissions per capita | <p>Latest figure dates back to 2010: 1.61 million tonnes (mtCO²)</p> <p>Domestic emissions accounted for 35 per cent (438,237 tonnes) of Herefordshire's carbon footprint.</p> <p>There is no locally specific data available at present.</p> | <p>Between 2005 and 2010 Herefordshire's total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 7% and 8% respectively; while UK's total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 8% and 12% respectively within the same period. This trend hides an increase in emissions between 2009 and 2010 when total emissions in the county increased by 5% the same as across the UK (+5%).</p> | To reduce the overall carbon emissions. | CO ² emissions produced are decreasing. | <p>Understanding Herefordshire: An integrated needs assessment (June 2013).</p> <p>Understanding Herefordshire: Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (July 2015).</p> |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Water</i> | | | | | | | |
| 17. Reduce the risk of flooding and the resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the environment. | Natural environment | Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood defence grounds. | 2010/11: None 2011/13: Not specified 2013/14: None <i>There is no locally specific data available at present.</i> | There have been no approvals contrary to EA advice since reporting began in 2004. | To have no applications permitted contrary to EA advice. | None identified. | Herefordshire Council AMR (2010/11) |
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Water, air, soil, material assets</i> | | | | | | | |
| 18. Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental resources. | Natural environment | Agricultural land usage by quality. LA to monitor the number of hectares of the best and most versatile agricultural land (grades 3a and higher) lost to development. | 2011: Majority of land within the neighbourhood area was listed Grade 2 (Good) and 1 (Excellent) with a small area noted Grade 3 (Good to Moderate). | - | Measure the number of hectares of best and most versatile soil lost through development. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | West Midland ALC Map (Natural England 2011). |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 18. Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental resources. | Natural environment | Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality and ecological quality as required by the Water Framework Directive | Latest figures for the county as a whole dates back to 2005 when there was considered to be 84% assessed as good or very good. This represents a decrease in the % since 2002 <i>There is no locally specific data available at present.</i> | Figure steadily improved before going into decline: Herefordshire 1999 85.9%, 2000 89.5%, 2001 92.2%, 2002 91.8% | To ensure that rivers meet their conservation objectives and do not fall below the required standard of quality. | None identified. | The State of Herefordshire (2007) Water Framework Directive (2000) |
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Soil</i> | | | | | | | |
| 19. Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use. | Built environment | Percentage of all new development completed on previously developed land. | 2010/11: 67% 2011-13: 57% 2013-14: 65% <i>There is no locally specific data available at present.</i> | Completions on PDL had risen to 71% by 2005. | To increase the number of homes built on PDL in line with the provisions of national planning policy. | The number of brownfield completions has fallen slightly in recent years, though this is probably the offshoot of tough market conditions. | Herefordshire Council AMR (2010/11),(2011-2013) and (2013-2014) |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 19. Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use. | Built environment | Housing densities in urban and rural areas | <i>There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.</i> | - | No specific targets identified. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | - |
| 19. Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use. | Built environment | Level of development in urban areas compared to rural. | <i>There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.</i> This indicator would not be applicable to rural NDPs. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Cultural heritage</i> | | | | | | | |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 20. Value, protect and enhance the character and built quality of settlements and neighbourhoods and the county's heritage assets, including conservation areas, historic environment and cultural heritage. | Built environment | Number and percentage of listed buildings and Scheduled Ancient Monuments on Buildings at Risk Register (English Heritage). | <p>Up-to-date countywide information will be presented in the next AMR and which is due to be published in 2014. However, this data would be too large to incorporate into this template.</p> <p>There are numerous listed buildings within the parish group.</p> <p>There are 2 SAM's listed in the parish group area: Dovecote at Luntley court; Moated mound south of Church.</p> <p>HE Heritage at Risk Register:</p> <p>There are not entries for Dilwyn NDP parish area.</p> | There were 71 heritage assets in Herefordshire that were considered to be at high risk and included in the Heritage at Risk Register January 2017. | <p>To wherever possible improve upon or otherwise maintain current status.</p> <p>Promote opportunities to improve condition</p> <p>Promote opportunities to achieve the removal of heritage assets from the at risk register.</p> | None of merit. | Buildings at Risk Register (English Heritage; search January 2017) |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 20. Value, protect and enhance the character and built quality of settlements and neighbourhoods and the county's heritage assets, including conservation areas, historic environment and cultural heritage. | Built environment | The need for, frequency and outcomes of planning enforcement investigations/ planning appeals concerning aspects of local loss of heritage assets, locally important buildings within the parish and particularly within a conservation area. | Countywide data would be too large to incorporate into this template. There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning local loss of heritage assets locally important buildings particularly within conservation areas within Dilwyn. | No historic records of any planning enforcement action or appeals concerning locally important buildings within the two conservation areas, Dilwyn and Weobley. | To wherever possible improve upon or otherwise maintain current status | Current status must be verified by Dvt Mgt and Enforcement Officers, but the NDP can help to avoid enforcement action and appeals during the plan period. | Council Dvt Mgt records (searched January 2017) |

Appendix A3 – Environmental issues identified from Dilwyn baseline

These environmental issues are the same as most of those identified for the Herefordshire Core Strategy¹

| SEA Topic | | Environmental issue | SA objectives |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Air | High reliance upon the private car causing high levels of air pollution and in Hereford in particular | Objective 16 |
| | | Need to reduce carbon emissions by encouraging alternative modes of travel. | |
| 2 | Biodiversity | Habitats and species of national, regional and local importance are under pressure from the adaptation and diversification of farming and forestry employment. | Objectives 13 & 15 |
| | | Habitats and species of national, regional and local importance are under pressure from development | |
| | | Minimise loss of biodiversity and expand opportunities for wildlife everywhere. | |
| 3 | Climatic factors | Reduce greenhouse gas emissions through planning, design and build. | Objective 16 |
| 4 | Cultural heritage | <p>There are numerous listed buildings and scheduled monuments in the Dilwyn NDP area according to the latest version of the register. All of which require ongoing protection and many in need of high levels of maintenance.</p> <p>There are two listed SAM's:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dovecote at Luntley court; • Moated mound south of Church. | Objective 20 |
| 5 | Flora and fauna | Conserve and enhance the character and quality of historic landscapes, including all types of natural flora and fauna. | Objective 15 |
| 6 | Material assets | How the countryside can continue to be managed in an economically, socially and environmentally beneficial way in the face of continuing pressures on traditional farming. | Objectives 14 & 18 |
| 7 | Population | Minimise energy waste through good designs, which help to reduce energy consumption and maximise efficiency. | Objective 15 |
| | | Need to avoid enforcement investigations/action concerning locally important buildings and those within conservation areas in particular. | |
| 8 | Soil | Promoting development of previously developed land and buildings as opposed to greenfield sites or agricultural land of the highest quality. | Objectives 18 & 19 |

¹ Derived from the Pre-submission Core Strategy Sustainability Appraisal Assessment (May 2014) and LDF General Scoping Report (June 2007)

| | | | |
|---|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 9 | Water | Issues relating to availability of resources, foul drainage, pollution, and abstraction in a county which supports water dependent biodiversity of international and national importance, given the predicted climate change consequences for water availability and demanding projections for new housing. | Objectives 17 & 18 |
| | | Steady decline in the chemical quality of rivers over the last 10 years. | |

Appendix A4: SEA Framework

SEA Scoping Stage A, Task A4 - SEA Framework SEA Objectives, Indicators, Targets

Parish Council Name: Dilwyn

Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Dilwyn Neighbourhood Plan

Date completed: January 2017

| SEA Topics | SA Objective | SEA Objective | Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...) | Indicators | Targets |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Nature Conservation (Biodiversity, flora and fauna) | Value, maintain, restore or expand county biodiversity. Value, protect, enhance or restore the landscape quality of Herefordshire, including its rural areas and open spaces. | To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Protect or enhance habitats of international, national, regional or local importance. Protect international, national, regional or locally important terrestrial or aquatic species. Maintain wildlife corridors and minimise fragmentation of ecological areas and green spaces. Manage access to sites in a sustainable way that protects or enhances their nature conservation value. Create new appropriate habitats. Value, enhance and protect natural environmental assets including AONB's, historic | <p>Net change in condition of SSSIs across Herefordshire.</p> <p>After use of mineral sites especially wildlife habitat creation</p> <p>Changes to protected habitats and impacts of species within the Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan.</p> | <p>% of SSSI land in favourable condition (Increase)</p> <p>% of SSSI land in unfavourable condition but recovering (Increase)</p> <p>% of SSSI land in unfavourable condition and declining (Decrease)</p> <p>Percentage of opportunities taken</p> <p>To protect and where possible enhance the habitats of protected species identified. No net losses</p> |

| SEA Topics | SA Objective | SEA Objective | Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...) | Indicators | Targets |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | <p>landscapes, open spaces, parks and gardens and their settings</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encourage local stewardship of local environments, for example by promoting best practices in agricultural management • Ensure that environmental impacts caused by mineral operations and the transport of minerals are minimised. • Promote the use of rural areas and open space by all, encourage easy non-car based access, and accommodate the needs of disabled users. | | |
| Landscape | Not covered in SA | <p>To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes</p> <p>To improve quality of surroundings</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protect and enhance the landscape everywhere and particularly in designated areas • Value and protect diversity and local distinctiveness • Improve landscape and ecological quality and character of the countryside • Improve the quantity and quality of publicly accessible open space | <p>Changes in the areas of designated nature conservation sites as a consequence of planning permission.</p> <p>Proportion of local sites where positive conservation management has or is being implemented.</p> <p>Monitoring changes to historic landscapes.</p> <p>Area resulting in a loss of open space as a result of planning</p> | <p>To capitalise on opportunities to enhance the areas of value to nature conservation as much as possible.</p> <p>No specific targets identified.</p> <p>No specific targets identified.</p> <p>No specific targets identified</p> |

| SEA Topics | SA Objective | SEA Objective | Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...) | Indicators | Targets |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improve satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods as places to live Decrease litter and graffiti in towns and countryside Result in the loss of open space | permission | |
| Heritage | Value, protect or enhance the character and built quality of settlements and neighbourhoods and the county's heritage assets, historic environment and cultural heritage. | To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and culture heritage | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preserve, protect and enhance heritage assets including conservation areas, listed buildings, archaeological sites and other culturally important features in both urban and rural settings. Prevent development which is inappropriate in scale, form or design to its setting or to its function or local area. Encourage development that creates and sustains well-designed, high quality built environments that incorporate green space, encourage biodiversity and promote local distinctiveness and sense of place. | <p>The need for, frequency and outcomes of planning enforcement investigations/ planning appeals concerning the aspects of local loss of locally important buildings within a conservation area.</p> <p>Number and percentage of listed buildings and Scheduled Ancient Monuments on Buildings at Risk Register (Historic England).</p> <p>Ensure that Herefordshire Council's Sites and Monuments Register is kept up to date.</p> | <p>To improve upon or otherwise maintain current status.</p> <p>To improve upon or otherwise maintain current status.</p> <p>No specific targets identified, but need to ensure that the register is kept up to date.</p> |

| SEA Topics | SA Objective | SEA Objective | Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...) | Indicators | Targets |
|------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Encourage cleanliness and/or improve the general appearance of the area. | | |

| SEA Topics | SA Objective | SEA Objective | Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...) | Indicators | Targets |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Air and Climate | <p>Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental resources.</p> <p>Reduce Herefordshire's vulnerability to the impacts of climate change as well as its contribution to the problem.</p> | <p>To improve air quality</p> <p>To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment</p> <p>To reduce contributions to climate change</p> <p>To reduce vulnerability to climate change</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimise water, air, soil, groundwater, noise and light pollution from current activities and the potential for such pollution. Protect or enhance the quality of watercourses. Provide opportunities to improve soil quality or reduce contaminated land. Reduce the county's contribution to climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions from transport, domestic, commercial and industrial sources. Increase the proportion of energy generated from renewable and low carbon sources including by micro-generation, Combined Heat and Power (CHP), district heating and in transportation. | <p>Transport patronage by mode</p> <p>Number of decentralised energy schemes granted permission.</p> <p>Total CO2 emissions per capita</p> | <p>To increase the take up of alternative modes to the private car.</p> <p>To contribute towards the national target.</p> <p>To reduce the overall carbon emissions.</p> |

| SEA Topics | SA Objective | SEA Objective | Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...) | Indicators | Targets |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Water | <p>Reduce the risk of flooding and the resulting detriment to public well-being, the economy and the environment.</p> <p>Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental resources.</p> | <p>To improve water quality</p> <p>To provide for sustainable sources of water supply</p> <p>To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce flood risk both presently and taking into account climate change. Prevent inappropriate development of the floodplain, and include flood protection systems. Include sustainable urban drainage systems where appropriate. Minimise water, air, soil, groundwater, noise and light pollution from current activities and the potential for such pollution. Protect or enhance the quality of watercourses. | <p>Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood defence grounds.</p> <p>Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality and ecological quality</p> <p>Phosphate levels within the River Wye SAC and adjoining tributaries that receive increased phosphates from proportional growth.</p> | <p>To have no applications permitted contrary to EA advice.</p> <p>To ensure that rivers meet their conservation objectives and do not fall below the required standard of quality as set out in the Water Framework Directive.</p> <p>To meet the targets set out in the Nutrient Management Plan (2014)</p> |
| Soil | <p>Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental resources.</p> <p>Ensure integrated, efficient and</p> | <p>To conserve soil resources and quality</p> <p>Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimise water, air, soil, groundwater, noise and light pollution from current activities and the potential for such pollution. Provide opportunities to improve soil quality or reduce contaminated land. Ensure new developments | <p>Percentage of all new development completed on previously developed land.</p> <p>Amount of land identified as best and most versatile agricultural land lost to development.</p> | <p>To increase the number of homes built on PDL in line with the provisions of national planning policy.</p> <p>Measure the number of hectares of best and most versatile soil lost through development.</p> |

| SEA Topics | SA Objective | SEA Objective | Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...) | Indicators | Targets |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | balanced land use. | | are in appropriate locations, optimising the use of previously developed land and buildings, primarily focussed on the urban areas and are accessible by walking, cycling or sustainable transport and/or will increase the share of these transport modes, thereby reducing the need to travel. | | |
| Population and Human Health | Value, protect, enhance or restore the landscape quality of Herefordshire, including its rural areas and open spaces. | To improve health of the population To reduce crime and nuisance | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Value, enhance and protect natural environmental assets including AONB's, historic landscapes, open spaces, parks and gardens and their settings. Encourage local stewardship of local environments, for example by promoting best practices in agricultural management. Ensure that environmental impacts caused by mineral operations and the transport of minerals are minimised. Promote the use of rural areas and open | Number of developments meeting and surpassing national design | No specific targets identified. |

| SEA Topics | SA Objective | SEA Objective | Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...) | Indicators | Targets |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | space by all, encourage easy non-car based access, and accommodate the needs of disabled users. | | |
| Material Assets | <p>Use natural resources and energy more efficiently.</p> <p>Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental resources.</p> | To conserve natural and manmade resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maximise energy efficiency and minimise the consumption of non-renewable energy i.e. from fossil fuels. • Minimise the consumption of water, land, soil, minerals, aggregates and other raw materials by all? E.g. through integrated transport, sustainable resource-efficient design, local sourcing of food, goods, materials. • Encourage the re-use/enhancement (to high standards of sustainable resource-efficient design) of existing buildings and minimise the need for new build. • Encourage the use of clean technologies and water minimisation techniques. | <p>The need for, frequency and outcomes of planning enforcement investigations/ planning appeals concerning the aspects of local loss of locally important buildings within a conservation area.</p> <p>Maintaining Herefordshire Council's County Site and Monuments Register.</p> <p>Monitoring changes to historic landscapes.</p> <p>Agricultural land usage by quality</p> | <p>Measure the number of hectares of best and most versatile soil lost through development. No specific targets identified.</p> <p>No specific targets identified, but need to ensure that the register is kept up to date.</p> <p>No specific targets identified.</p> <p>Measure the number of hectares of best and most versatile soil lost through development.</p> |

Appendix 3



Historic England

WEST MIDLANDS OFFICE

Mr James Latham
Herefordshire Council
Neighbourhood Planning & Strategic Planning
Planning Services, PO Box 230, Blueschool House
Blueschool Street
Hereford
HR1 2ZB

Direct Dial: 0121 625 6887

Our ref: PL00067909

27 March 2017

Dear Mr Latham

**CONSULTATION ON SEA SCOPING REPORTS FOR NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANS
IN: Dilwyn; Ocle Pychard Group; Pencombe Group; Titley Group.**

Thank you for your e-mail and the invitation to comment on the SEA Scoping Reports for the Neighbourhood Plans listed above. We have no substantive concerns as to the contents of the documents.

However, having considered the above Neighbourhood Plans please note that overall our comments and recommendations to you in relation to these remain substantively the same as those which we communicated to you in our letter of the 15th August 2014 in response to the first tranche of SEA Scoping Reports. We urge you to refer back to and consider these representations before finalizing the reports in relation to the above Neighbourhood Plans also.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,

Peter Boland
Historic Places Advisor
peter.boland@HistoricEngland.org.uk

cc:



THE AXIS 10 HOLLIDAY STREET BIRMINGHAM B1 1TG

Telephone 0121 625 6870
HistoricEngland.org.uk



Date: 16 March 2017
Our ref: 209109
Your ref: Dilwyn NDP



James Latham,
Neighbourhood Planning and Strategic Planning Teams

Customer Services
Hornbeam House
Crewe Business Park
Electra Way
Crewe
Cheshire
CW1 6GJ

T 0300 060 3900

BY EMAIL ONLY

Dear Mr Latham,

Dilwyn Neighbourhood Area – SEA Scoping Report

Thank you for your consultation on the above dated 21 February 2017 which was received by Natural England on 21 February 2017.

Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.

Where Neighbourhood Plans could have significant environmental effects, they may require a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) under the Environment Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004.

Further guidance on deciding whether the proposals are likely to have significant environmental effects and the requirements for consulting Natural England on SEA are set out in the [National Planning Practice Guidance](#).

We welcome the production of this SEA Scoping report. The following comments are intended to further improve the SEA and its usefulness in assessing the Neighbourhood Plan.

Appendix A1 – Plans, policies and programmes

Natural England approves of the plans, policies and programmes listed.

Appendix A2 – Baseline information

Water, air, soil and material assets

This section (or suitable alternative) should include information on geodiversity. The baseline and assessment should make reference to geological conservation and the need to conserve, interpret and manage geological sites and features, both in the wider environment and in relation to designated features. The Herefordshire & Worcestershire Earth Heritage Trust may be of assistance.

Soil

Natural England notes and supports the consideration of best and most versatile agricultural land at objective 18.

Appendix A3 – Environmental issues identified from the baseline

We welcome the recognition that development can be a pressure on biodiversity and the inclusion of landscape and soils as environmental issues, as per our previous recommendations in response to other neighbourhood plan SEA Scoping reports

Appendix A4

Under the SEA topic “Nature Conservation (Biodiversity, flora and fauna) we note and support the inclusion of the target of “no net loss” of biodiversity.

Under the SEA topic “*Landscape*” we suggest that reference could be made to the county Landscape Character Assessment and Landscape Characterisation studies including Historic Landscape Characterisation if this has been carried out. Applications resulting in the loss of open space could be monitored.

Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening

Where a Neighbourhood Plan could potentially lead to significant environmental effects it will be necessary to screen the Plan in relation to the Habitats and Species Regulations (2010), as amended (the ‘Habitats Regulations’). One of the basic conditions that will be tested at Examination is whether the making of the plan is compatible with European obligations and this includes requirements relating to the Habitats Directive.

In relation to the Habitats Regulations, a Neighbourhood Plan cannot progress if the likelihood of significant effects on any European Site, either alone (or in combination with other plans and projects) cannot be ruled out) (see Schedule 2, The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012). Therefore measures may need to be incorporated into the Neighbourhood Plan to ensure that any likely significant effects are avoided in order to secure compliance with the Regulations. A screening exercise should be undertaken if there is any doubt about the possible effects of the Plan on European protected sites. This will be particularly important if a Neighbourhood Plan is to progress before a Local Plan has been adopted and/or the Neighbourhood Plan proposes development which has not been assessed and/or included in the Habitats Regulations Assessment for the Local Plan.

We would be happy to comment further should the need arise but if in the meantime you have any queries please do not hesitate to contact us.

For any queries relating to the specific advice in this letter only please contact Tom Amos on 02080 260961. For any new consultations, or to provide further information on this consultation please send your correspondences to consultations@naturalengland.org.uk.

We really value your feedback to help us improve the service we offer. We have attached a feedback form to this letter and welcome any comments you might have about our service.

Yours sincerely

Tom Amos
Adviser
Sustainable Development
South Mercia Team

Appendix 4

| Objectives verses SEA Objectives (SMART and Compatibility Test) | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SEA Stage B1 | Key: | SMART criteria: | |
| + =/++ | Compatible/ Very comp | S – Specific: | NDP objectives should specify what is intended to be done in detail and should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations |
| - = | Possible conflict | M – Measurable: | It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited resource implications. |
| 0 = | Neutral | A – Attainable/achievable: | NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale of growth proposed |
| X = | No relationship between objectives | R – Realistic: | NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes. |
| ? = | Unclear, more information needed | T – Time-Bound: | Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should specify when the target should be achieved. |

The following matrix appraises the emerging Dilwyn NDP Objectives in terms of their SMART criteria and their compatibility with the SEA Objectives. These have been developed from Government guidance on SEA and from the local evidence base gathered for identifying the NDP issues.

- SEA Objectives**
- 1- To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)
 - 2- To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes
 - 3- To improve quality of surroundings
 - 4- To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage
 - 5- To improve air quality
 - 6- To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment
 - 7- To reduce contributions to climate change
 - 8- To reduce vulnerability to climate change
 - 9- To improve water quality
 - 10- To provide for sustainable sources of water supply
 - 11- To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk
 - 12- To conserve soil resources and quality
 - 13- To minimise the production of waste
 - 14- To improve health of the population
 - 15- To reduce crime and nuisance
 - 16- To conserve natural and manmade resources

| NDP objectives | SEA Objectives | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Conclusions | Recommendations | SMART Test of NDP objective | After SMART objectives |
|----------------|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | | | | |
| Objective 1 – | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | x | x | x | x | x | x | + | + | + | Overall this objective has a positive outcome over the SEA objectives where relevant and a neutral outcome across some other key environmental and community aspects. | Ensure that detail of the aims and of the objective are thoroughly explained in any emerging policy and that further details are given at each stage. | This objective is achievable and deliverable. It could be monitored against policy sustainability and infrastructure criteria and is in line with the overall vision for the plan and it is considered that this objective meets the SMART criteria. | No changes recommended. |
| Objective 2 – | + | + | + | + | x | + | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | + | + | + | Overall this objective has a positive outcome over the SEA objectives where relevant. | Ensure that detail of the aims and of the objective are thoroughly explained in any emerging policy and that further details are given at each stage. | This objective is achievable and deliverable. It could be monitored against policy sustainability criteria and is in line with the overall vision for the plan and it is considered that this objective meets the SMART criteria. | No changes recommended. |
| Objective 3 – | + | + | + | + | x | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | Overall this objective has a positive outcome over the SEA objectives and a neutral outcome across the other key environmental aspects but looking at sustainable farming measures. | Ensure that detail of the aims and of the objective are thoroughly explained in any emerging policy and that further details are given at each stage, especially in terms of traffic management relating to tourism development. | This objective is achievable and deliverable. It could be monitored against policy sustainability criteria and is in line with the overall vision for the plan and it is considered that this objective meets the SMART criteria. | No changes recommended. |
| Objective 4 – | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | Overall this objective has a positive outcome over the SEA objectives where relevant and a neutral outcome across the other key environmental aspects as there. | Ensure that detail of the aims and of the objective are thoroughly explained in any emerging policy and that further details are given at each stage. | This objective is achievable and deliverable. It could be monitored against policy criteria relating to conservation of the historical environment and is in line with the overall vision for the plan and it is considered that this objective meets the SMART criteria. | No changes recommended |
| Objective 5 – | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | Overall this objective has a positive outcome over the SEA objectives relating to the promotion of sustainable development and protection of key environmental assessment. | Ensure that detail of the aims and of the objective are thoroughly explained in any emerging policy and that further details are given at each stage. | This objective is achievable and deliverable. It could be monitored against policy criteria relating to conservation of the historical environment and is in line with the overall vision for the plan and it is considered that this objective meets the SMART criteria. | No changes recommended |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Objective 6 - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + + | + | X | + | Overall this objective has a positive outcome over the SEA objectives relating to the management of traffic and transport issues. | Ensure that detail of the aims and of the objective are thoroughly explained in any emerging policy and that further details are given at each stage. | This objective is achievable and deliverable. It could be monitored against policy criteria relating to traffic management and environmental criteria. It is in line with the overall vision for the plan and it is considered that this objective meets the SMART criteria. | No changes recommended |
|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|

| Objectives verses SEA Objectives (SMART and Compatibility Test) | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SEA Stage B1 | Key: | SMART criteria: | | |
| + =/++ | Compatible/very comp | S – Specific: | NDP objectives should specify what is intended to be done in detail and should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations | The following matrix appraises the emerging Dilwyn NDP Objectives in terms of their SMART criteria and their compatibility with the SEA Objectives. These have been developed from Government guidance on SEA and from the local evidence base gathered for identifying the NDP issues. |
| - = | Possible conflict | M – Measurable: | It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited resource implications. | |
| 0 = | Neutral | A – Attainable/achievable: | NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale of growth proposed | |
| X = | No relationship between objectives | R – Realistic: | NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes. | |
| ? = | Unclear, more information needed | T – Time-Bound: | Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should specify when the target should be achieved. | |

| SEA Objectives | Baseline carried over from Stage A |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>1- To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)</p> <p>2- To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes</p> <p>3- To improve quality of surroundings</p> <p>4- To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage</p> <p>5- To improve air quality</p> <p>6- To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment</p> <p>7- To reduce contributions to climate change</p> <p>8- To reduce vulnerability to climate change</p> <p>9- To improve water quality</p> <p>10- To provide for sustainable sources of water supply</p> <p>11- To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk</p> <p>12- To conserve soil resources and quality</p> <p>13- To minimise the production of waste</p> <p>14- To improve health of the population</p> <p>15- To reduce crime and nuisance</p> <p>16- To conserve natural and manmade resources</p> | <p>1-The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.2010/11: 27% of Herefordshire’s SSSI land was in favourable condition.– August 2014 status of the SSSIs are: River Wye – unfavourable recovering. Moseley Common (Unfavourable but recovering). The existing status of the Wye SAC between Hay and the Lugg confluence is currently meeting its phosphate target and is meeting the conservation targets. The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data. 2010/11: 17 Habitat Action Plans and 14 Species Action Plans are currently in operation across Herefordshire. Dilwyn Parish has: 0 SSSI, 11 LWS. Dilwyn Parish falls inside the hydrological catchment area for the River Wye SAC, and the River Arrow. There are no NNRs or SINCs within the parish.</p> <p>2-There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning locally important buildings within Dilwyn Parish at present.</p> <p>3- In terms of Dilwyn itself, no pitches are identified.</p> <p>4-Whilst there is no qualitative, locally specific data available at present, Dilwyn has 2 Scheduled Ancient Monuments and numerous listed buildings, all of which require ongoing maintenance.</p> <p>5-Between 2005 and 2010 Herefordshire’s total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 7% and 8% respectively; while UK’s total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 8% and 12% respectively within the same period. This suggests that air quality is improving.</p> <p>6-% of Herefordshire residents who travel to work by: Car: 70.1%, Foot: 14.7: Bicycle: 4.3%,Bus: 2%, Train: 0.8%,Motorbike: 0.8%,Taxi: 0.3%,Other: 7%.</p> <p>7-Herefordshire latest figure of CO2 emissions per capita-dates back to 2010: 1.61 million tonnes (mtCO²)</p> <p>8-Reduce the risk of flooding-There have been no approvals contrary to EA advice since reporting began in 2004.The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.</p> <p>9-Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality and ecological quality as required by the Water Framework Directive. Latest figure dates back to 2005: 84%.</p> <p>10-The parish falls into the Herefordshire Conjunctive Use Water Resource Zones (WRZs), one of 6 zones covering Herefordshire. 39% of demand is from non-household use.</p> <p>11-Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood defence grounds. The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.</p> <p>12- Majority of land within the agricultural land classification around Dilwyn is predominantly Grades 2 and 1 (Very Good to Excellent), with areas of Grade 3 (moderate). Percentage of all new development completed on previously developed land.2010/11: 67%2011-13: 57%.</p> <p>16- There are numerous listed buildings within the parish and 2 SAMs. The neighbourhood area comprises the following types of landscapes: Principal settled farmlands and Wet Pastured Meadows.</p> |

| NDP Options and Policies | SEA objectives | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Summary in relation to baseline | Overall commentary and any initial cumulative effects/ Recommendations | Conformity with Core Strategy |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | | | |
| Baseline | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | X | X | X | 16 | | | |
| Policy DW1 – Promoting Sustainable Development | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | X | + | | | | + | Overall this policy has mainly a positive impact on the baseline data and successfully addresses all SEA and baseline objectives. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline objectives as it sets the criteria requirements for development. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA and line with Core Strategy policy SS1. |
| Policy DW2 – Housing Development in Dilwyn Village | + | + | + | + | 0 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + | Overall this policy has mainly a positive impact on the baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide development and although some of the environmental baseline statistics can be addressed through supporting policies. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW3 – Housing Sites in Dilwyn Village (SITES) | + | + | + | + | 0 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide development in specific locations. Management of traffic issues and supported by sustainability criteria in supporting policies | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW4 – Development Principles for Land to the southwest of Orchard Close | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide development in specific locations. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW5 – Affordable and Intermediate Homes | + | + | + | + | 0 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to deliver affordable homes, as identified by the community in line with proportional growth requirements. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Policy DW6 – Conserving the Landscape, Scenic Beauty and Natural Environment of the Parish. | + | + | + | + | X | + | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline objectives. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW7 – Protecting Heritage Assets | + | + | + | + | X | + | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline objectives. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW8 - Development within Dilwyn Conservation Area | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | X | X | X | X | | | | + | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline objectives and works towards conserving and considering the Dilwyn conservation area. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW9 – Foul and Storm Water Drainage and Flooding | + | X | X | X | X | X | + | + | + | + | + | + | | | | + | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline objectives. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW10 – Protection of Local Green Space | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | X | X | X | + | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide development and protect important green spaces. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW11 – Design and Appearance | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | X | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data where relevant. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide design in the parish. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Policy DW12 – Small and Home-based businesses | X | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | X | X | X | X | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data where relevant. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline and will benefit the future of the area in terms of employment and traffic reduction in terms of home working. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW13 – Rural Enterprises, Diversification and Tourism | + | + | + | + | + | ? | + | + | X | X | X | + | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide development in terms of tourism and help to guide any diversification development. The impact of traffic at this point is unknown. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW14 – Promotion of High-Speed Broadband and Telecommunications | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | X | X | X | X | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline and will benefit the future of the area in terms of employment and traffic reduction in terms of home working. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW15 – Renewable and Low Carbon Energy | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline objectives. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW16 - Protection and Enhancement of Community Facilities and Services | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | X | X | X | X | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data. | Overall the policy is positive as it will encourage the continuing use and enhancement of existing facilities which will help to retain the village character, heritage and environment. It will also reduce the impact of climate change by reducing the need to travel by car to other facilities. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW17 – Contributions to Community Facilities | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | X | X | X | X | + | | | | + | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant. | The overall policy is positive as it will encourage and ensure the community and the youth in the area have some access to developed, renovated or new facilities. In all these will contribute positively to the village and in turn possibly reduce the need for travel if facilities are locally available. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|--------|--------|--------|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Policy DW18 – Traffic Measures within the Parish | X | + | + + | + | + | + + | + | + | X | X | X | X | | | + | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline objectives. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW19 - Highway Design Requirements | X | + + | + | + + | + | + + | + | + | X | X | X | X | | | + | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline objectives. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW20 – Protection and Enhancement of the Public Rights of Way Network | + | + + | + + | + + | X | + + | + | + | X | X | X | + | | | + + | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline objectives | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Key:

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|
| ++ Move towards significantly | + Move towards Marginally | - - Move away significantly | - Move away marginally | 0 Neutral | ? Uncertain | N/A No relationship |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|

Policy DW1 – Promoting Sustainable Development

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would work towards ensuring that sustainability measures are included in new development. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure that community facilities, character, diversification and nature conservation are taken into consideration. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | +++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would lead to the protection and enhancement of sustainable infrastructure, landscapes and townscapes. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure landscape and townscape features are taken into consideration. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | +++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure historic and cultural heritage features are taken into consideration. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|---|---|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To improve air quality | 0 | 0 | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. This could have a positive effect on air quality if implemented correctly over time. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | 0 | 0 | 0 | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in traffic however this would be balanced by the implementation of sustainable development and measures to mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Some margin increase in traffic could occur but this would be balanced by | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | sustainable development. | |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Some margin increase in traffic could occur but this would be balanced by sustainable development. | N/A |
| To improve water quality | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. The Arrow Valley will also be given high priority for protection through this policy. | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with policy RA2 and proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Policy safeguards exist which will help avoid or mitigate against | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|---|---|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | impacts on the natural environment. | |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with policy RA2 and proportional growth within the Core Strategy. | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with policy RA2 and proportional growth within the Core Strategy. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with policy RA2 and proportional | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|--|
| | | | | growth within the Core Strategy. | |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy compliments policy RA2 and SS1 of the Core Strategy and would see development work to enhance surroundings with sustainable development measures. Policy safeguards exist to ensure that environmental considerations are taking into account and open spaces and the character of the conservation area are protected in the Dilwyn Parish. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy DW2 – Housing Development in Dilwyn Village

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | ++ | This policy will not lead to development but indicates the number of housing required and the type of housing to be allowed in Dilwyn Village. This is positive in terms of the SEA objectives. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | ++ | This policy will not lead to development but indicates the number of housing required and the type of housing to be allowed in Dilwyn Village. This is positive in terms of the SEA objectives. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | ++ | This policy will not lead to development but indicates the number and type of housing to be allowed in the area. This is positive in terms of the SEA objectives. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | ++ | ++ | This policy will not lead to development but indicates the type of housing to be allowed in Pembridge and is supported by policy DW8 relating to development in the conservation area. This is positive in terms of the SEA objectives and especially so over the longer term. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | 0 | 0 | 0 | This policy will not lead to development but indicates the number and type of housing to be allowed in the area. This is positive in terms of the SEA objectives. | Supporting policies could address at the impact of development on air quality and how to mitigate against impacts. |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| water supply | | | | | |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy will not lead to development but indicates the number and type of housing to be allowed in the area. This is positive in terms of the SEA objectives. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy will not lead to development but indicates the type of housing to be allowed in Pembridge and is supported by policy DW8 relating to development in the conservation area. This is positive in terms of the SEA objectives and especially so over the longer term. This policy supports the relevant SEA baseline objectives and is in line with the Core Strategy, specifically RA3. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy DW3 – Housing Sites in Dilwyn Village (SITE)

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within Dilwyn Village. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. Policy safeguards are in place to avoid or mitigate effects on nature conservation. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within Dilwyn Village. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. Policy safeguards and supporting policies are in place to maintain and enhance quality of landscapes and townscapes. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the Pembridge NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the Pembridge NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. Policy safeguards are in place to avoid or mitigate effect on the historic environment or cultural heritage. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----|-----|
| | | | | | |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----|-----|
| | | | | | |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the Dilwyn NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy looks at the site that have been brought forward to service the allocation for proportional growth in line with RA2. The environmental details of the policy and site allocations would be likely to be addressed at a more detailed planning stage and be dependent on the type of development. Each site will be supported by associated policies in regard to environmental and heritage factors. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy DW4 – Development Principles for Land to the southwest of Orchard Close

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | ++ | This policy specifies the type and style of housing that should be provided through development on identified sites through the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. Policy safeguards are in place to avoid or mitigate effects on nature conservation. | No, the policy already specifies a full landscape scheme will be required to ensure development fits sensitively into the setting of the village. |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | ++ | This policy specifies the type of housing that should be provided through development. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. Policies are in place to maintain and enhance quality of landscapes and townscapes. | No, the policy already specifies a full landscape scheme will be required to ensure development fits sensitively into the setting of the village. |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | ++ | This policy specifies the type of housing that should be provided through development. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy specifies the type of housing that should be provided through development. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. Policy safeguards) are in place to avoid or mitigate effect on the historic environment or cultural heritage. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | 0 | 0 | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the Dilwyn NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. Policies DW18 and DW19 safeguard aspects of this criteria as they address specific highway design policy. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| to climate change | | | | allocation within the Dilwyn NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | 0 | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the Dilwyn NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | New development will include design measure to address vulnerability to climate change; it also depends on location and type of development. Supporting policies and guidance at planning stage should address this. |
| To improve water quality | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the Dilwyn NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | New development will include design measure to address improve water quality. Supporting policies and guidance at planning stage should address this. |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the Dilwyn NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | New development has the opportunity to plan for sustainable water resources and this should be considered. |
| To avoid, reduce and | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and | Supporting policies and guidance at planning |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| manage flood risk | | | | allocation within the Dilwyn NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | stage should address this. |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the Dilwyn NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy specifies the type of development for allocation within the Pembridge NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy looks at the type of development in regard to housing on tow identified sites within Pembridge Village and for development brought forward through allocation for proportional growth in line with RA2. Each tenure, size and type of housing will be supported by associated policies in regard to environmental and heritage factors. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy DW5 – Affordable and Intermediate Homes

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data as well as strengthening the community within the parish. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data as well as strengthening the community within the parish. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | The policy has no direct cumulative effects in terms of baseline SEA, however offering development to people who have a link with the settlement and to existing resident may have a positive impact over the timescales indicated as they will have a connection to the parish and or the maintenance or enhancement of the area in terms of environmental and heritage factors. The policy is in line with the Core Strategy and supports policy H1. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy DW6 – Conserving the Landscape, Scenic Beauty and Natural Environment of the Parish

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | ++ | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | ++ | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| cultural heritage | | | | | |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. The policy is strongly focused on the retaining of the natural environment and landscape. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy DW7 – Protecting Heritage Assets

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | ++ | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | ++ | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| cultural heritage | | | | | |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy overall and where relevant would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts on conservation aspects but by ensuring that development retains the character of the wider village and its surroundings. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy DW8 - Development within Dilwyn Conservation Area

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | ++ | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts on conservation aspects and maintaining the heritage of the townscape. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts on conservation aspects | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | and maintaining the heritage of the townscape. | |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts on conservation aspects and maintaining the heritage of the townscape. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts on conservation aspects and maintaining the man made aspects as well as the natural aspects of the heritage area. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data where relevant. This policy will have particular positive impacts on conservation aspects and maintaining the heritage of Dilwyn Village Conservation area, especially important views and the black and white village centre. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy DW9 – Foul and Storm Water Drainage and Flooding

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to sewage regulation and the subsequent effects on the environment can be mitigated. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve water quality | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to sewage regulation. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | ++ | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to sewage regulation. | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | + | ++ | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to sewage regulation. | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to sewage regulation. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| <p>To conserve natural and manmade resources</p> | <p>+</p> | <p>+</p> | <p>++</p> | <p>Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to sewage regulation.</p> | <p>N/A</p> |
| <p>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</p> | <p>Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data where relevant. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to sewage, mitigating the impact of flooding, environmental mitigation and the encouragement of developers to contribute to sustainable drainage systems (SUDS) and measures to support biodiversity.</p> | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy DW10 – Protection of Local Green Space

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy seeks to protect specific open spaces and ensure that access to them is not restricted by new development. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy seeks to protect specific open spaces and ensure that access to them is not restricted by new development. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | ++ | This policy seeks to protect specific open spaces and ensure that access to them is not restricted by new development. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | baseline data. | |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy seeks to protect specific open spaces and ensure that access to them is not restricted by new development. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | + | + | + | This policy seeks to protect specific open spaces and ensure that access to them is not restricted by new development. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | ++ | This policy seeks to protect specific open spaces and ensure that access to them is not restricted by new development. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | + | This policy seeks to protect specific open spaces and ensure that access to them is not restricted by new development. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | + | This policy seeks to protect specific open spaces and ensure that access to them is not restricted by new development. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy seeks to protect specific open spaces and ensure that access to them is not restricted by new development. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy identifies local spaces to be protected in the NDP area. Overall this policy will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data and is in line with the Core Strategy. This is a conservation policy designed to prevent the loss of existing and access to open space. |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Policy DW11 – Design and Appearance

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data due to the specified enhancement measures in the policy regarding the protection of biodiversity. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|----|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| townscapes | | | | the SEA baseline data due to the specified enhancement measures in the policy. | |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data due to the specified enhancement measures in the policy. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data due to the specified enhancement measures in the policy. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data through retention of ponds and tree life throughout development. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | 0 | 0 | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data over time. The impact will be neutral for the shorter term as parking | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|---|---|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | and provision for vehicles is made in the policy. | |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data and directly addresses and implements mitigation measures. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | + | + | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data Directly addresses and implements mitigation measures. | N/A |
| To improve water quality | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | the SEA baseline data | |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. This policy helps to shape landscape and townscape of Dilwyn through residential development. A positive overall cumulative will be the impact of this policy. Environmental effects can be mitigated with core strategy and specific environmental protection policies but are also detailed in this policy. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy DW12 – Small and Home-based businesses

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | 0 | 0 | 0 | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have at this stage, a neutral impact towards the SEA baseline data. | Ensure that any environmental issues are addressed and mitigation implemented prior to approval of any planning or support for live work unit. |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data through the promotion of any development not having any adverse impact on the residential amenity. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | 0 | 0 | 0 | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have at this stage, a neutral impact towards the SEA baseline data as development is focused on units within a | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | dwelling curtilage but specific detail on sustainability measures are not currently known, but should not comprise of large scale development. | |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|--|
| | | | | the SEA baseline data. | |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall the policy is in line with the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the relevant SEA baseline data and supports Core Strategy Policies SS5, RA5, RA6, E1 and E3. | | | | |

Policy DW13 – Rural Enterprises, Diversification and Tourism

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Additional tourism visitors and facilities could have an effect on the natural environment. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Dilwyn Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the environment. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Additional tourism visitors and facilities could have an effect on landscapes but could also enhance the development of the landscape in line with other complementary and conservation policies. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Additional tourism visitors and facilities could have an effect on the natural environment but could equally enhance the quality of the surroundings. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the environment. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | ++ | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Additional tourism visitors and facilities could have an effect on the historic environment but income from tourism could help to ensure | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | the conservation of the cultural heritage. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Dilwyn Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | |
| To improve air quality | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Additional tourism visitors and facilities could have an effect on the natural environment. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the environment. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | ? | ? | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Additional tourism visitors and facilities could have an effect on the natural environment. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the environment. Additional tourism facilities could increase visitor numbers; equally it could provide services for visitor who are already coming to the area by car but over the longer term supporting policies will help to mitigate the | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | impact. | |
|----------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Additional tourism visitors and facilities could have an effect on the natural environment. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Dilwyn Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the environment. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall this policy is not above and beyond the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Additional tourism visitors and facilities could have an effect on the natural environment. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and in line with policies SS5, RA5, RA6, E1 and E4. Policies within the Dilwyn Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the environment. | | | | |

Policy DW14 – Promotion of High-Speed Broadband and Telecommunications

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | 0 | 0 | 0 | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Promotion of high speed broadband and telecommunications facilities would have a neutral effect on the natural environment. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Dilwyn Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the environment. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Promotion of high speed broadband and telecommunications facilities would have a positive effect on the natural environment. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Dilwyn Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | ++ | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Promotion of high speed broadband and telecommunications facilities would have a positive effect on the natural environment. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Dilwyn Neighbourhood | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | ++ | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Promotion of high speed broadband and telecommunications facilities would have a positive effect on the natural environment. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Dilwyn Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Promotion of high speed broadband and telecommunications facilities would have a positive effect on the natural environment. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Dilwyn Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Promotion of high speed broadband and telecommunications facilities would have a positive effect on the | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | natural environment by encouraging home working. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Dilwyn Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Dilwyn Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the environment. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall this policy is not above and beyond the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy supports Core Strategy policy SS5. Policies within the Dilwyn Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the environment. | | | | |

Policy DW15 – Renewable and Low Carbon Energy

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | years) | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|--------|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | ++ | ++ | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | ++ | ++ | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | ++ | ++ | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | ++ | ++ | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To improve air quality | + | ++ | ++ | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the | + | ++ | ++ | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|---|----|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| environment | | | | baseline data | |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | ++ | ++ | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | + | ++ | ++ | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To improve water quality | + | ++ | ++ | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall this policy has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data and is in line with the Core Strategy Policy SD2. | | | | |

Policy DW16 - Protection and Enhancement of Community Facilities and Services

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – | Medium | Long term | | |
| | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | 5 years) | term (6 – 10 years) | (11 years +) | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To improve air quality | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall the aim of this policy is to encourage enhancement of community facilities with care of the natural and historic environment, this will have a positive effect on a number of SEA objectives and support Core Strategy Policies SS5, SC1 and OS3. | | | | |

Policy DW17 – Contributions to Community Facilities

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The impact on the SEA baseline data is uncertain as it would be dependent on what contributions and or funding was obtained and the development that would arise from this. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The impact on the SEA baseline data is positive as any contributions and or funding was obtained would be to enhance the parish. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The impact on the SEA baseline data is positive as any contributions and or funding was obtained would be to enhance the parish. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The impact on the SEA baseline data is positive as any contributions and or funding was obtained would be to enhance the parish. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The impact on the SEA baseline data is | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | positive as any contributions and or funding was obtained would be to enhance the parish. | |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The impact on the SEA baseline data is positive as any contributions and or funding was obtained would be to enhance the parish. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The impact on the SEA baseline data is positive as any contributions and or funding was obtained would be to enhance the parish. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The impact on the SEA baseline data is positive as any contributions and or funding was obtained would be to enhance the parish. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The impact on the SEA baseline data is positive as any contributions and or funding was obtained would be to enhance the parish. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | <p>Overall this policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and in line with policies SS5, SC1 and OS3 and where relevant will have a positive effect on the SEA baseline. Uncertain impacts will be mitigated by sustainability policies in the Dilwyn NDP.</p> <p>Note that CIL is currently on hold.</p> | | | | |

Policy DW18 – Traffic Measures within the Parish

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | ? | ? | ? | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy however will have an uncertain impact towards the baseline data. | This policy could benefit from clarification of the impact mitigation of any road amendments incorporated with new development at planning stage. |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data directly addresses and implements mitigation measures in regard to the objectives. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|----|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | the SEA baseline data directly addresses ways of improving the quality of the surroundings. | |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data directly addresses mitigation measures in regard to the historic character. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data directly addresses and implements mitigation measures in regard to the objectives. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data directly addresses and implements mitigation measures in specific regard to moving towards a reduction of traffic in the parish. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data directly addresses and implements mitigation measures in regard to the objectives. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data directly addresses and implements mitigation measures in regard to the objectives. | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data directly addresses and implements mitigation measures in regard to the objectives. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and in line with policies SS4 and MT1. Through the encouragement of sustainable travel environmental issues can be mitigated for the future. The policy will have an overall positive effect on the SEA baseline. | | | | |

Policy DW19 - Highway Design Requirements

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – | Medium term | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | 5 years) | (6 – 10 years) | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | ? | ? | ? | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy however will have an uncertain impact towards the baseline data. | This policy could benefit from clarification of the impact mitigation of any road amendments incorporated with new development. |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data directly addresses and implements mitigation measures in regard to the objectives. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data directly addresses ways of improving the quality of the surroundings. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data directly addresses mitigation measures | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|---|----|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| cultural heritage | | | | in regard to the historic character. | |
| To improve air quality | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data directly addresses and implements mitigation measures in regard to the objectives. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data directly addresses and implements mitigation measures in specific regard to moving towards a reduction of traffic in the parish. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data directly addresses and implements mitigation measures in regard to the objectives. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | the SEA baseline data directly addresses and implements mitigation measures in regard to the objectives. | |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data directly addresses and implements | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | mitigation measures in regard to the objectives. | |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and in line with policy MT1. Through the encouragement of sustainable travel environmental issues can be mitigated for the future. The policy will have an overall positive effect on the SEA baseline. | | | | |

Policy DW20 – Protection and Enhancement of the Public Rights of Way Network

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| townscapes | | | | on the SEA baseline data | |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To improve air quality | x | x | x | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | 0 | 0 | 0 | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a neutral impact on the SEA baseline data. There should be not direct impact on the level of traffic but. | Encouraging residents and visitors to use existing footpaths and rights of way could push people away from vehicle use in the long term. |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | x | x | x | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | x | x | x | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and in line with policies SS4 and MT1. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | | | | |

Key:

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|
| ++ Move towards significantly | + Move towards marginally | -- Move away Significantly | - Move away marginally | 0 Neutral | ? Uncertain | X No relationship |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|

| SEA Objective / Objective / Policy | 1. To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | 2. To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | 3. To improve quality of surroundings | 4. To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage | 5. To improve air quality | 6. To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | 7. To reduce contributions to climate change | 8. To reduce vulnerability to climate change | 9. To improve water quality | 10. To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | 11. To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | 12. To conserve soil resources and quality | 13. To minimise the production of waste | 14. To improve health of the population | 15. To reduce crime and nuisance | 16. To conserve natural and manmade resources |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Objective 1 – | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | 0 | + | 0 | X | X | X | X | X | X | ++ | + | + |
| Objective 2 – | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | ++ | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | ++ | ++ | + |
| Objective 3 – | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Objective 4 – | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + |
| Objective 5 – | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Policy DW1 – Promoting Sustainable Development | + | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | + | | | | + |
| Policy DW2 – Housing Development in Dilwyn Village | + | + | + | + | 0 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW3 – Housing Sites in Dilwyn Village | + | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW4 – Development Principles for Land to the southwest of | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | + | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | + | | | | + |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|--|--|--|----|
| Orchard Close | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Policy DW5 – Affordable and Intermediate Homes | + | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW6 – Conserving the Landscape, Scenic Beauty and Natural Environment of the Parish. | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | + | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW7 – Protecting Heritage Assets | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | + | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW8 - development within Dilwyn Conservation Area | + | ++ | + | ++ | + | + | + | + | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW9 – Foul and Storm Water Drainage and Flooding | + | X | X | X | + | X | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | | | | ++ |
| Policy DW10 – Protection of Local Green Space | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | X | X | X | + | | | | ++ |
| Policy DW11 – Design and Appearance | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | X | | | | ++ |
| Policy DW12 – Small and Home-based businesses | X | + | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW13 – Rural Enterprises, Diversification and Tourism | X | ++ | ++ | + | + | X | + | + | X | X | X | + | | | | + |
| Policy DW14 – Promotion of High-Speed Broadband and Telecommunications | + | 0 | ++ | + | + | + | + | 0 | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW15 – Renewable and Low | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | | | | + |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|----|
| Carbon Energy | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Policy DW16 - Protection and Enhancement of Community Facilities and Services | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | + | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW17 – Contributions to Community Facilities | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | X | X | X | X | + | | | | ++ |
| Policy DW18 – Traffic Measures within the Parish | X | + | ++ | + | + | ++ | + | + | X | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy DW19 - Highway Design Requirements | X | ++ | + | ++ | + | ++ | + | + | X | X | X | + | | | | + |
| Policy DW20 – Protection and Enhancement of the Public Rights of Way Network | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | ++ | + | + | X | X | X | + | | | | ++ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summary of effects of whole plan on each SEA Objective | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | + |
| Cumulative effects of whole plan (1 + 2 + 3...) | Taking into consideration the cumulative effects of policies and options, the plan will have an overall positive impact on environmental assets. The plan is in general conformity with the Core Strategy overall and all of the policies and objectives meet the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. Other issues with a currently neutral outcome have the opportunity to be mitigated by further detail in policies or at planning stage. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Commentary for significant cumulative effects | Overall the plan is positive and would have a positive impact upon the SEA baseline data. Key recommendations would be to ensure that the environmental mitigation impacts are clear and robust, this may require some enhancement of existing policies in regard to air quality and water resources. However the plan as a whole is robust and meets key targets. No significant negative cumulative effects have been identified. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Appendix 5

Appendix 5 – Dilwyn (Reg 14)
Options Considered
August 2018

Option 1 - Accommodating the level of housing growth required to meet Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy through small sites available within Dilwyn main village and Dilwyn Common.

Option 2 – Accommodating the level of housing growth required to meet Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy through a large site at Dilwyn main village.

Option 3 – Accommodating the level of housing growth required to meet Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy through development of several medium sized sites within Dilwyn main village and Dilwyn Common.

Option 4 – Accepting a higher level of growth than that required by Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy through a combination of a larger site and some small sites.

Option 5 – not to prepare an NDP.

Appendix 6

Template C2: SEA Quality Assurance Checklist

Parish Council Name: Dilwyn Parish

Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Dilwyn Parish Neighbourhood Plan

Date completed: January 2019

| Objectives and context | Where are the points covered in Neighbourhood Development Plan SEA (insert chapter, section, page references, as necessary) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Neighbourhood Development Plan's purpose and objectives are made clear. • The Neighbourhood Area's environmental issues and constraints, including acknowledgement of those in the Local Plan (Core Strategy) SA, where relevant, and local environmental protection objectives, are considered in developing objectives and targets. • SEA objectives are clearly set out and linked to indicators and targets where appropriate. • Links with other locally related plans, programmes and policies are identified, explained and acknowledgement for those set out in the SA of the Local Plan (Core Strategy) is given, where relevant. • Conflicts that exist between SEA objectives; between SEA and Neighbourhood Development Plan objectives; and between SEA objectives and other local plan objectives are identified and described. | <p>Chapter 1.6 – 1.10</p> <p>Chapter 2 methodology, chapter 3 and tables A2 and A3</p> <p>Chapter 3 paragraph 3.5 and 3.7</p> <p>Chapter 3, paragraph 3.1</p> <p>Chapter 3</p> |
| <p>Scoping</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statutory Consultees are consulted in appropriate ways and at appropriate times on the content and scope of the Environmental Report. • The assessment focuses on significant issues. • Technical, procedural and other | <p>Chapter 2, paragraphs 2.6-2.9</p> <p>Chapter 2 and chapter 4</p> |

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>difficulties encountered are discussed; assumptions and uncertainties are made explicit.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reasons are given for eliminating issues from further consideration. | <p>Chapter 2</p> <p>Chapter 2, chapter 4 and chapter 5</p> |
| <p>Alternatives</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Realistic alternatives are considered for key issues, and the reasons for choosing them are documented. • Alternatives include 'do minimum' and/or 'business as usual' scenarios wherever relevant. • The environmental effects (both adverse and beneficial) of each alternative are identified and compared. • Inconsistencies between the alternatives and other relevant local plans, programmes or policies are identified and explained. • Reasons are given for selection or elimination of alternatives. | <p>Chapter 4 and chapter 5</p> <p>Paragraph 5.4</p> <p>Chapter 5</p> <p>Chapter 5</p> <p>Chapter 5</p> |
| <p>Baseline information</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relevant aspects of the current state of the local, neighbourhood area environment and their likely evolution without the Neighbourhood Development Plan are described. Acknowledgement to the information in the SA of the Local Plan (Core Strategy) is given, where relevant. • Environmental characteristics of the local, neighbourhood area, likely to be significantly affected are described, including areas wider than the physical boundary of the designated neighbourhood area, where it is likely to be affected by the Neighbourhood Development Plan. • Difficulties such as deficiencies in information or methods are explained. | <p>Chapter 1, Chapter 3</p> <p>Initial screening report and Chapter 1</p> <p>Chapter 2</p> |

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Prediction and evaluation of likely significant environmental effects</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effects identified include the types listed in the Directive (biodiversity, population, human health, fauna, flora, soil, water, air, climate factors, material assets, cultural heritage and landscape), as relevant; and other local likely environmental effects are also covered, as appropriate. • Both positive and negative effects are considered, and the duration of effects (short, medium or long-term) is addressed. • Likely secondary, cumulative (growing in quantity and strength) and synergistic (acting together) effects are identified, where practicable. • Inter-relationships between effects are considered, where practicable. • The prediction and evaluation of effects makes use of relevant accepted standards, regulations, and thresholds (i.e. data gathered for the evidence base). • Methods used to evaluate the effects are described. | <p>Tables A2, A3 and A4</p> <p>Tables B2 and B3</p> <p>Table B4</p> <p>Chapter 5, chapter 6</p> <p>Chapter 5, chapter 6</p> <p>Chapter 2</p> |
| <p>Mitigation measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measures envisaged to prevent, reduce and offset any significant adverse effects of implementing the Neighbourhood Development Plan are indicated. • Issues to be taken into account when determining planning applications or other projects, for example funding bids, are identified. | <p>Chapter 6, paragraph 6.6 – 6.9</p> <p>Chapter 6</p> |
| <p>The Environmental Report</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is clear and concise in its layout and presentation. • Uses simple, clear language and avoids or explains technical terms. • Uses maps and other illustrations, | <p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p> |

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>where appropriate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explains the methodology used. • Explains who was consulted and what methods of consultation were used. • Identifies sources of information, including expert judgement and matters of opinion. • Contains a non-technical summary covering the overall approach to the SEA, the objectives of the Neighbourhood Development Plan, the main options considered, and any changes to the Neighbourhood Development Plan resulting from the SEA. | <p>Chapter 2</p> <p>Paragraph 2.6-2.9</p> <p>Paragraph 2.8-2.9</p> <p>Page 1 / Paragraph 1.8 / Chapter 6</p> |
| <p>Consultation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The SEA is consulted on as an integral part of the plan-making process of the Neighbourhood Development Plan. • Consultation Bodies and the public likely to be affected by, or having an interest in, the Neighbourhood Development Plan are consulted in ways and at times, which give them an early and effective opportunity within appropriate time frames to express their opinions on the draft Neighbourhood Development Plan and Environmental Report. | <p>Paragraph 2.6-2.9</p> <p>Chapter 8</p> |
| <p>Decision-making and information on the decision</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The environmental report and the opinions of those consulted are taken into account in finalising and adopting the Neighbourhood Development Plan. • An explanation is given of how they have been taken into account. • Reasons are given for choosing the Neighbourhood Development Plan as adopted, in the light of other reasonable alternatives considered. | <p>Chapter 8</p> <p>Following draft consultation</p> <p>Following draft consultation</p> |

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Monitoring measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measures proposed for monitoring the Neighbourhood Development Plan are clear, practicable and linked to the indicators and objectives used in the SEA. • Monitoring is used, where appropriate, during implementation of the Neighbourhood Development Plan to make good deficiencies in baseline information in the SEA. • Acknowledgement that monitoring enables unforeseen adverse effects to be identified at an early stage. (These effects may include predictions which prove to be incorrect.) And that • Proposals are made for action in response to significant adverse effects arising from the monitoring of the Neighbourhood Development Plan. | <p>Chapter 7</p> <p>Chapter 7</p> <p>Chapter 7</p> <p>Chapter 7</p> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|

Appendix 7

Template D1: SEA Consultation Feedback

This consultation feedback is **only** for comments received on the SEA of your Neighbourhood Development Plan

Parish Council Name: Dilwyn

Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Dilwyn NDP

Details of consultation: Reg 14

Consultation date: 10 September to 22 October 2018

Consultation title: Dilwyn Draft Neighbourhood Development Plan Regulation 14

| Response Date | Acknowledgement Sent | Consultee | Summary of Comments | Response to Comments |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

No comments for the SEA received.

Appendix 8

Compatibility test for amendments to objectives following Draft Plan Stage

Objectives verses SEA Objectives (SMART and Compatibility Test)

Key:

- + = Compatible
- = Possible conflict
- 0 = Neutral
- X = No relationship between objectives
- ? = Unclear, more information needed

Introduction:

The following matrix appraises any amended **Dilwyn** NDP Objectives in terms of their SMART criteria and their compatibility with the SEA Objectives. These have been developed from Government guidance on SEA and from the local evidence base gathered for identifying the NDP issues.

SMART criteria:

- S – Specific:** NDP objectives should specify what is intended to be done in detail and should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations
- M – Measurable:** It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited resource implications.
- A – Attainable/achievable:** NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale of growth proposed
- R – Realistic:** NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes.
- T – Time-Bound:** Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should specify when the target should be achieved.

| Objectives verses SEA Objectives (SMART and Compatibility Test) | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SEA Stage B1 | Key: | SMART criteria: | | |
| + =/++ | Compatible/very comp | S – Specific: | NDP objectives should specify what is intended to be done in detail and should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations | The following matrix appraises the emerging Dilwyn NDP Objectives in terms of their SMART criteria and their compatibility with the SEA Objectives. These have been developed from Government guidance on SEA and from the local evidence base gathered for identifying the NDP issues. |
| - = | Possible conflict | M – Measurable: | It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited resource implications. | |
| 0 = | Neutral | A – Attainable/achievable: | NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale of growth proposed | |
| X = | No relationship between objectives | R – Realistic: | NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes. | |
| ? = | Unclear, more information needed | T – Time-Bound: | Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should specify when the target should be achieved. | |

| NDP Options and Policies | SEA objectives | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Summary in relation to baseline | Overall commentary and any initial cumulative effects/ Recommendations | Conformity with Core Strategy |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | | | |
| Baseline | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | X | X | X | 16 | | | |
| Policy DW4 – Development Principles for Land to the southwest of Orchard Close | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide development in specific locations with particular emphasis on the protection and investigation of historical assets in the parish. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy DW11 – Design and Appearance | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data where relevant. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide design in the parish and manage the contamination of land that may exist on any site, including through agricultural processes, ensure an assessment is carried out to establish the extent and nature of the contamination, and effective measures taken to ensure potential occupiers, and the wider environment, are not put at unacceptable risk. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |

Template D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the amended Neighbourhood Development Plan policies and sites

Parish Council Name: Dilwyn

Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Dilwyn NDP (Post Regulation 14)

Date completed: January 2019

Key:

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|
| ++ Move towards significantly | + Move towards Marginally | - - Move away significantly | - Move away marginally | 0 Neutral | ? Uncertain | N/A No relationship |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|

Policy DW4 – Development Principles for Land to the southwest of Orchard Close

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | ++ | This policy specifies the type and style of housing that should be provided through development on identified sites through the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. Policy safeguards are in place in avoid or | No, the policy already specifies a full landscape scheme will be required to ensure development fits sensitively into the |

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council, please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part.

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | mitigate effects on nature conservation. | setting of the village. |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | ++ | This policy specifies the type of housing that should be provided through development. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. Policies are in place to maintain and enhance quality of landscapes and townscapes. | No, the policy already specifies a full landscape scheme will be required to ensure development fits sensitively into the setting of the village. |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | ++ | This policy specifies the type of housing that should be provided through development. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy specifies the type of housing that should be provided through development. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. Policy safeguards) are in place to avoid or mitigate effect on the historic environment or cultural heritage. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | 0 | 0 | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line | N/A |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | with Core Strategy Policies. | |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the Dilwyn NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. Policies DW18 and DW19 safeguard aspects of this criteria as they address specific highway design policy. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the Dilwyn NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | 0 | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the Dilwyn NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | New development will include design measure to address vulnerability to climate change; it also depends on location and type of development. Supporting policies and guidance at planning stage should address this. |
| To improve water quality | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the Dilwyn NDP. It will only lead to development in line | New development will include design measure to address improve water quality. |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | with Core Strategy Policies. | Supporting policies and guidance at planning stage should address this. |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the Dilwyn NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | New development has the opportunity to plan for sustainable water resources and this should be considered. |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the Dilwyn NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | Supporting policies and guidance at planning stage should address this. |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the Dilwyn NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade | + | + | + | This policy specifies the type of development for allocation within the Pembridge NDP. It will only | N/A |

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------------------------------|--|
| resources | | | | lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy looks at the type of development in regard to housing on tow identified sites within Pembridge Village and for development brought forward through allocation for proportional growth in line with RA2. Each tenure, size and type of housing will be supported by associated policies in regard to environmental and heritage factors. The amendment of the policy following Reg 14 has seen more robust criteria added to the policy to protect the heritage assets in the parish. | | | | |

Policy DW11 – Design and Appearance

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data due to the specified enhancement measures in the policy regarding the protection of biodiversity. | N/A |

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data due to the specified enhancement measures in the policy. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data due to the specified enhancement measures in the policy. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data due to the specified enhancement measures in the policy. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data through retention of ponds and tree life throughout development. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | 0 | 0 | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data over | N/A |

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council, please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part.

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|---|---|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | time. The impact will be neutral for the shorter term as parking and provision for vehicles is made in the policy. | |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data and directly addresses and implements mitigation measures. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | + | + | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data Directly addresses and implements mitigation measures. | N/A |
| To improve water quality | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data | N/A |

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. This policy helps to shape landscape and townscape of Dilwyn through residential development. A positive overall cumulative will be the impact of this policy. Environmental effects can be mitigated with core strategy and specific environmental protection policies but are also detailed in this policy. Amendments to the policy following Reg 14 have seen criteria added to ensure that any contamination of land that may exist on any site, including through agricultural processes, ensure an assessment is carried out to establish the extent and nature of the contamination, and effective measures taken to ensure potential occupiers, and the wider environment, are not put at unacceptable risk. | | | | |